



Cornell	University	Library

ESSAr o

AND

TREATISES

ON

SEVERAL SUBJECTS.

By DAVID HUME, Efq.

VOL. II.

CONTAINING

An ENQUIRY concerning HUMAN UNDERSTANDING.

An ENQUIRY concerning the PRINCIPLES of MORALS.

AND

The NATURAL HISTORY of RELIGION.

A NEW EDITION.

LONDON:

Printed for A. MILLAR, in the Strand;

AND.

A. Kincaid, and A. Donaldson, at Edinburgh.

M DCC LXVII.



The original of this book is in the Cornell University Library.

There are no known copyright restrictions in the United States on the use of the text.

THE

CONTENTS

OF THE

SECOND, VOLUME.

An ENQUIRY concerning HUMAN UNDERSTANDING.

Section	Page
I. F the different Species of Ph	ilosophy 3
II. Of the Origin of Ideas	15
III. Of the Affociation of Ideas	23
IV. Sceptical Doubts concerning the C	
Understanding	33
V. Sceptical Solution of these Doubt	\$ 49
VI. Of Probability	67
VII. Of the Idea of necessary Connex	•
VIII. Of Liberty and Necessity	₫ 9 1
IX. Of the Reason of Animals	11.7
X. Of Miracles	123
XI. Of a particular Providence and	
•	149
XII. Of the academical or fceptical Pl	nilosophy 167
A Dissertation on the Passions	. 185
sa madona sira di ciro a montono	•••
	An

CONTENTS.

An ENQUIRY concerning the PRINCIPLES of MORALS.

Section	Page
I. F the general Principles of Morals	225
II. Of Benevolence	233
III. Of Justice	249
IV. Of Political Society	273
V. Why Utility pleafes	28 1
VI. Of Qualities useful to Ourselves	303
VII. Of Qualities immediately agreeable to Our	felves
,	321
VIII. Of Qualities immediately agreeable to C) thers
•	33 3
IX. Conclusion	34 ^t
`-	
APPENDIX.	
AFFENDIA.	
T. Concorning movel Continent	
I. Concerning moral Sentiment II. Further Confiderations with regard to Justic	359
III. Of fome verbal Disputes	381
A Dialogue	393
	393
1	
The NATURAL HISTORY OF RELIGIO	N.,
	- '
Introduction	415
Section .	Page
I. HAT Polytheism was the primary	
gion of Men	416
II. Origin of Polytheism	42I
III. The same Subject continued	424
IV. Deities not confidered as Creators or Form	
the World	429
	√ariou e

CONTENTS.

Sectio	n	Page
v.	Various Forms of Polytheism, Allegory, I	Hero-
	Worfhip	436
VI.	Origin of Theism from Polytheism	440
VII.	Confirmation of this Doctrine	445
VIII.	Flux and Reflux of Polytheism and Theism	447
IX.	Comparison of these Religions with regard to	
	fecution and Toleration	449
X.	With regard to Courage or Abasement	453
XI.	With regard to Reason or Absurdity	455
XII.	With regard to Doubt or Conviction	458
XIII.	Impious Conceptions of the divine Nature in	
	popular Religions of both Kinds	47I
XIV.	Bad Influence of most popular Religions on	Mo-
	rality	477
XV.	General Corollary	482
		•

AN

ENQUIRY

CONCERNING

H U M A N
UNDERSTANDING.

SECTION I.

Of the Different Species of Phi-

TORAL philosophy, or the science of human na-Liture, may be treated after two different manners; each of which has its peculiar merit, and may contribute to the entertainment, instruction, and reformation of mankind. The one confiders man chiefly as born for action; and as influenced in his actions by tafte and fentiment; pursuing one object, and avoiding another, according to the value which these objects seem to posses, and according to the light in which they present themselves. Virtue, of all objects, is the most valuable and lovely; and accordingly this species of philosophers paint her in the most amiable colours; borrowing all helps from poetry and eloquence, and treating their subject in an easy and obvious manner, and fuch as is best sitted to please the imagination, and engage the affections. They select the most striking observations and instances from common life; place opposite characters in a proper contrast; and alluring us into the paths of virtue by the views of glory and happiness, direct our steps in these paths by the foundest precepts and most illustrious examples. make us feel the difference between vice and virtue; they excite and regulate our sentiments; and fo they can but bend our hearts to the love of probity and true honour, B 2 they

they think, that they have fully attained the end of all their labours.

The other species of philosophers treat man rather as a reasonable than an active being, and endeavour to form his understanding more than cultivate his manners. They regard mankind as a subject of speculation; and with a narrow ferutiny examine human nature, in order to find those principles, which regulate our understanding, excite our fentiments, and make us approve or blame any particular object, action, or behaviour. They think it a reproach to all literature, that philosophy should not yet have fixed, beyond controverly, the foundation of morals, reasoning, and criticism, and should for ever talk of truth and falshood, vice and virtue, beauty and deformity, without being able to determine the fource of these distinctions. While they attempt this arduous task, they are deterred by no difficulties; but proceeding from particular instances to general principles, they still push on their inquiries to principles more general, and reft not fatisfied till they arrive at those original principles, by which, in every science, all human curiosity must be Tho' their speculations seem abstract, and even unintelligible to common readers, they please themfelves with the approbation of the learned and the wife; and think themselves sufficiently compensated for the labours of their whole lives, if they can discover some hidden truths, which may contribute to the inftruction of posterity.

'Tis certain, that the eafy and obvious philosophy will always, with the generality of mankind, have the preference to the accurate and abstruse; and by many will be recommended, not only as more agreeable, but more useful than the other. It enters more into common life; moulds the heart and affections; and, by touching those principles which actuate men, reforms their conduct, and brings

brings them nearer that model of perfection which it describes. On the contrary, the abstruse philosophy, being sounded on a turn of mind, which cannot enter into business and action, vanishes when the philosopher leaves the shade, and comes into open day; nor can its principles easily retain any influence over our conduct and behaviour. The feelings of our sentiments, the agitations of our passions, the vehemence of our affections, dissipate all its conclusions, and reduce the profound philosopher to a mere plebeian.

This also must be confessed, that the most durable, as well as justest fame has been acquired by the easy philosophy, and that abstract reasoners seem hitherto to have enjoyed only a momentary reputation, from the caprice or ignorance of their own age, but have not been able to support their renown with more equitable posterity. 'Tis easy for a profound philosopher to commit a mistake in his subtle reasonings; and one mistake is the necessary parent of another, while he pushes on his confequences, and is not deterred from embracing any conclusion, by its unusual appearance, or its contradiction to popular opinion. But a philosopher who proposes only to represent the common sense of mankind in more beautiful and more engaging colours, if by accident he commits a mistake, goes no farther; but renewing his appeal to common fense, and the natural fentiments of the mind, returns into the right path, and secures himfelf from any dangerous illusion. The fame of C1-CERO flourishes at present; but that of ARISTOTLE is much decayed. La BRUYERE passes the seas, and still maintains his reputation: But the glory of MALEBRANCHE is confined to his own nation and to his own age. Addison, perhaps, will be read with pleasure, when LOCKE shall be entirely forgotten.

The mere philosopher is a character which is commonly but little acceptable in the world, as being supposed to contribute nothing either to the advantage or pleasure of fociety; while he lives remote from communication with mankind, and is wrapped up in principles and notions equally remote from their comprehension. On the other hand, the mere ignorant is still more despised; nor is any thing deemed a furer fign of an illiberal genius in an age and nation where the sciences flourish, than to be intirely void of all relish for those noble entertainments. The most perfect character is supposed to lie between those extremes; retaining an equal ability and taste for books, company and business; preserving in conversation that discernment and delicacy which arise from polite letters; and in business, that probity and accuracy which are the natural refult of a just philosophy. der to diffuse and cultivate so accomplished a character, nothing can be more useful than compositions of the easy flyle and manner, which draw not too much from life, require no deep application or retreat to be comprehended, and fend back the student among mankind full of noble fentiments and wife precepts, applicable to every exigence of human life. By means of fuch compositions, virtue becomes amiable, science agreeable, company instructive. and retirement entertaining.

Man is a reasonable being; and as such, receives from science his proper food and nourishment: But so narrow are the bounds of human understanding, that little satisfaction can be hoped for in this particular, either from the extent or security of his acquisitions. Man is a sociable, no less than a reasonable being: But neither can he always enjoy company agreeable and amusing, or preserve the proper relish of them. Man is also an active being; and from that disposition, as well as from the various necessities of human life, must submit to business and occupation:

cupation: But the mind requires some relaxation, and cannot always support its bent to care and industry. feems, then, that nature has pointed out a mixed kind of life as most suitable to human race, and secretly admonished them to allow none of these biasses to draw too much, fo as to incapacitate them for other occupations and entertainments. Indulge your passion for science, fays she, but let your science be human, and such as may have a direct reference to action and fociety. thought and profound refearches I prohibit, and will feverely punish by the pensive melancholy which they introduce, by the endless uncertainty in which they involve you, and by the cold reception which your pretended discoveries will meet with, when communicated. Be a philosopher; but, amidst all your philosophy, be still a man.

Were the generality of mankind contented to prefer the easy philosophy to the abstract and prosound, without throwing any blame or contempt on the latter, it might not be improper, perhaps, to comply with this general opinion, and allow every man to enjoy, without opposition, his own taste and sentiment. But as the matter is often carried farther, even to the absolute rejecting all prosound reasonings, or what is commonly called metaphysics, we shall now proceed to consider what can reasonably be pleaded in their behalf.

We may begin with observing, that one considerable advantage which results from the accurate and abstract philosophy, is, its subserviency to the easy and humane; which, without the former, can never attain a sufficient degree of exactness in its sentiments, precepts, or reasonings. All polite letters are nothing but pictures of human life in various attitudes and situations; and inspire us with different sentiments, of praise or blame, admiration or ridicule, according to the qualities of the object

which they fet before us. An artist must be better qualified to succeed in this undertaking, who, besides a delicate tafte and a quick apprehension, possesses an accurate knowlege of the internal fabric, the operations of the understanding, the workings of the passions, and the various species of sentiment which discriminate vice and virtue. However painful this inward fearch or inquiry may appear, it becomes, in fome measure, requisite to those, who would describe with success the obvious and outward appearances of life and manners. The anatomist presents to the eye the most hideous and disagreeable objects; but his science is highly useful to the painter in delineating even a VENUS or an HELEN. While the latter employs all the richest colours of his art, and gives his figures the most graceful and engaging airs; he must still carry his attention to the inward structure of the human body, the polition of the muscles, the fabric of the bones, and the use and figure of every part or organ. Accuracy is, in every case, advantageous to beauty, and just reasoning to delicate sentiments. In vain would we exalt the one by depreciating the other.

Befides, we may observe, in every art or profession, even those which most concern life or action, that a spirit of accuracy, however acquired, carries all of them nearer their perfection, and renders them more subservient to the interests of society. And tho' a philosopher may live remote from business, the genius of philosophy, if carefully cultivated by several, must gradually diffuse itself through the whole society, and bestow a similar correctness on every art and calling. The politician will acquire greater foresight and subtilty, in the subdividing and balancing of power; the lawyer more method and siner principles in his reasonings; and the general more regularity in his discipline, and more caution in his plans and operations. The stability of modern governments

ments above the antient, and the accuracy of modern philosophy, have improved, and probably will still improve, by similar gradations.

Were there no advantage to be reaped from these studies, beyond the gratification of an innocent curiofity, vet ought not even this to be despised; as being one accession to those few fafe and harmless pleasures which are bestowed on human race. The sweetest and most inoffensive path of life leads through the avenues of science and learning; and whoever can either remove any obfiructions in this way, or open any new prospect, ought fo far to be esteemed a benefactor to mankind. tho' these researches may appear painful and fatiguing, 'tis with fome minds as with fome bodies, which being endowed with vigorous and florid health, require fevere exercise, and reap a pleasure from what, to the generality of mankind, may feem burdensome and laborious. Obscurity, indeed, is painful to the mind as well as to the eye; but to bring light from obscurity, by whatever. labour, must needs be delightful and rejoicing.

But this obscurity in the profound and abstract philosophy, is objected to, not only as painful and satiguing,
but as the inevitable source of uncertainty and error.
Here indeed lies the justest and most plausible objection
against a considerable part of metaphysics, that they are
not properly a science, but arise either from the fruitless
efforts of human vanity, which would penetrate into subjects utterly inaccessible to the understanding, or from
the crast of popular superstitions, which, being unable to
defend themselves on fair ground, raise these intangling
brambles to cover and protect their weakness. Chaged
from the open country, these sobbers sly into the forest,
and lie in wait to break in upon every unguarded avenue
of the mind, and overwhelm it with religious sears and
prejudices. The stoutest antagonist, if he remits his

watch a moment, is oppressed. And many, through cowardice and folly, open the gates to the enemy, and willingly receive him with reverence and submission, as their legal sovereign.

But is this a just cause why philosophers should desist from fuch refearches, and leave fuperstition still in possession of her retreat? Is it not reasonable to draw a direct contrary conclusion, and perceive the necessity of carrying the war into the most fecret recesses of the enemy? In vain do we hope, that men, from frequent difappointments, will at last abandon such airy sciences, and discover the proper province of human reason. For, besides that many persons find too sensible an interest in perpetually recalling fuch topics; besides this, I say, the motive of blind despair can never reasonably have place in the sciences; since, however unsuccessful former attempts may have proved, there is still room to hope, that the industry, good fortune, or improved fagacity of succeeding generations may reach discoveries unknown to former ages. Each enterprizing genius will still leap at the arduous prize, and find himself stimulated, rather than discouraged, by the failures of his predecessors; while he hopes that the glory of atchieving fo hard an adventure is referved for him alone. The only method of freeing learning, at once, from these abstruse questions, is to inquire seriously into the nature of human understanding, and shew, from an exact analysis of its powers and capacity, that it is by no means fitted for fuch remote and abstruse subjects. We must submit to this fatigue, in order to live at ease ever after: And must cultivate true metaphysics with some care, in order to deftroy the false and adulterate. Indolence, which to some persons, affords a safeguard against this deceitful philosophy, is, with others, overbalanced by curiofity; and despair, which, at some moments, prevails, may give place

place afterwards to fanguine hopes and expectations. Accurate and just reasoning is the only catholic remedy, fitted for all persons and all dispositions; and is alone able to subvert that abstruse philosophy and metaphysical jargon, which, being mixed up with popular superstition, renders it in a manner impenetrable to careless reasoners, and gives it the air of science and wisdom.

Besides this advantage of rejecting, after deliberate inquiry, the most uncertain and disagreeable part of learning, there are many politive advantages, which refult from an accurate scrutiny into the powers and faculties of human nature. 'Tis remarkable concerning the opcrations of the mind, that tho' most intimately present to us, yet whenever they become the object of reflection, they feem involved in obscurity, nor can the eye readily find those lines and boundaries, which discriminate and diffinguish them. The objects are too fine to remain long in the same aspect or situation; and must be apprehended in an instant, by a superior penetration, derived from nature, and improved by habit and reflection. It becomes, therefore, no inconfiderable part of science barely to know the different operations of the mind, to separate them from each other, to class them under their proper divisions, and to correct all that seeming disorder, in which they lie involved, when made the object of re-section and inquiry. This task of ordering and distinguishing, which has no merit, when performed with regard to external bodies, the objects of our fenses, rifes in its value, when directed towards the operations of the mind, in proportion to the difficulty and labour which we meet with in performing it. And if we can go no farther than this mental geography, or delineation of the distinct parts and powers of the mind, 'tis at least a satisfaction to go fo far; and the more obvious this science may appear (and it is by no means obvious) the more contemptible

contemptible still must the ignorance of it be esteemed in all pretenders to learning and philosophy.

Nor can there remain any suspicion, that this science is uncertain and chimerical; unless we should entertain fuch a scepticism as is entirely subversive of all speculation, and even action. It cannot be doubted, that the mind is endowed with various powers and faculties, that these powers are totally distinct from each other, that what is really distinct to the immediate perception may be diffinguished by reflection; and consequently, that there is a truth and falshood in all propositions on this fubject, and a truth and falshood, which lie not beyond the compass of human understanding. There are many obvious distinctions of this kind, such as those between the will and understanding, the imagination and passions, which fall within the comprehension of every human creature: and the finer and more philosophical diffinctions are no less real and certain, tho' more difficult to be comprehended. Some inflances, especially late ones, of fuccess in these enquiries, may give us a juster notion of the certainty and folidity of this branch of learning. And shall we esteem it worthy the labour of a philosopher. to give us a true fystem of the planets, and adjust the pofition and order of those remote bodies; while we affect to overlook those, who, with so much success, delineate the parts of the mind, in which we are fo intimately concerned?

But may we not hope, that philosophy, if cultivated with care, and encouraged by the attention of the public, may carry its researches still farther, and discover, at least in some degree, the secret springs and principles, by which the human mind is actuated in its operations? Astronomers had long contented themselves with proving, from the phænomena, the true motions, order, and magnitude of the heavenly bodies: Till a philosopher, at last, arose,

arose, who seems, from the happiest reasoning, to have also determined the laws and forces by which the revolutions of the planets are governed and directed. The like has been performed with regard to other parts of nature. And there is no reason to despair of equal success in our inquiries concerning the mental powers and economy, if profecuted with equal capacity and caution. 'Tis probable, that one operation and principle of the mind depends on another; which, again, may be refolved into one more general and universal: And how far these refearches may possibly be carried, it will be difficult for us, before, or even after, a careful trial, exactly to determine. This is certain, that attempts of this kind are every day made even by those who philosophize the most negligently: And nothing can be more requisite than to enter upon the enterprize with thorough care and attention; that, if it lie within the compass of human understanding, it may at last be happily atchieved; if not, it may, however, be rejected with some confidence and security. This last conclusion, furely, is not desirable, nor ought it to be embraced too rashly. For how much must we diminish from the beauty and value of this species of philosophy, upon fuch a supposition? Moralists have hitherto been accustomed, when they considered the vast multitude and diversity of actions that excite our approbation or dislike, to fearch for fome common principle, on which this variety of fentiments might depend. And tho' they have fometimes carried the matter too far, by their passion for fome one general principle; it must, however, be confessed that they are excusable, in expecting to find some general principles, into which all the vices and virtues were justly to be resolved. Such also has been the endeavour of critics, logicians, and even politicians: Nor have their artempts been wholly unfuccessful; tho' perhaps longer time, greater accuracy, and more ardent application may bring these sciences still nearer their perfection. To throw

up at once all pretentions of this kind may justly be deemed more rash, precipitate, and dogmatical, than even the boldest and most affirmative philosophy; which has ever attempted to impose its crude dictates and principles upon mankind.

What tho' these reasonings concerning human nature seem abstract, and of difficult comprehension? This affords no presumption of their falshood. On the contrary, it seems impossible, that what has hitherto escaped so many wise and prosound philosophers can be very obvious and easy. And whatever pains these researches may cost us, we may think ourselves sufficiently rewarded, not only in point of profit but of pleasure, if, by that means, we can make any addition to our stock of knowledge, in subjects of such unspeakable importance.

But as, after all, the abstractedness of these speculations is no recommendation, but rather a disadvantage to them, and as this difficulty may perhaps be surmounted by care and art, and the avoiding all unnecessary detail, we have, in the foilowing inquiry, attempted to throw some light upon subjects, from which uncertainty has hitherto deterred the wise, and obscurity the ignorant. Happy, if we can unite the boundaries of the different species of philosophy, by reconciling prosound inquiry with clearness, and truth with novelty! And still more happy, if, reasoning in this easy manner, we can undermine the foundations of an abstruse philosophy, which seems to have served hitherto only as a shelter to superstition, and a cover to absurdity and error!

SECTION II.

Of the ORIGIN of IDEAS.

VERY one will readily allow, that there is a con-Inderable difference between the perceptions of the mind, when a man feels the pain of excessive heat, or the pleasure of moderate warmth, and when he afterwards recalls to his memory this fensation, or anticipates it by his imagination. These faculties may mimic or copy the perceptions of the fenses; but they never can reach entirely the force and vivacity of the original fentiment. The utmost we say of them, even when they operate with greatest vigour, is, that they represent their object in so lively a manner, that we could almost say we feel or fee it: But except the mind be disordered by disease or madness, they never can arrive at such a pitch of vivacity, as to render these perceptions altogether undiffinguishable. All the colours of poetry, however splendid, can never paint natural objects in such a manner as to make the description to be taken for a real landskip. The most lively thought is still inferior to the dullest sensation.

We may observe a like distinction to run through all the other perceptions of the mind. A man, in a fit of anger, is actuated in a very different manner from one who only thinks of that emotion. If you tell me, that any person person is in love, I easily understand your meaning, and form a just conception of his situation; but never can mistake that conception for the real disorders and agitations of the passion. When we reflect on our past sentiments and affections, our thought is a faithful mirror, and copies its objects truly; but the colours which it employs are faint and dull, in comparison of those in which our original perceptions were clothed. It requires no nice difcernment nor metaphyfical head to mark the distinction between them.

Here therefore we may divide all the perceptions of the mind into two classes or species, which are distinguished by their different degrees of force and vivacity. less forcible and lively are commonly denominated THOUGHTS or IDEAS. The other species want a name in our language, and in most others; I suppose, because it was not requifite for any, but philosophical purposes, to rank them under a general term or appellation. Let us, therefore, use a little freedom, and call them IM-PRESSIONS; employing that word in a fense somewhat different from the usual. By the term impression, then. I mean all our more lively perceptions, when we hear, or fee, or feel, or love, or hate; or defire, or will. impressions are distinguished from ideas, which are the less lively perceptions of which we are conscious, when we reflect on any of those sensations or movements above mentioned.

Nothing, at first view, may feem more unbounded than the thought of man, which not only escapes all human power and authority, but is not even restrained within the limits of nature and reality. To form monsters. and join incongruous shapes and appearances, costs the imagination no more trouble than to conceive the most natural and familiar objects. And while the body is confined to one planet, along which it creeps with pain and difficulty:

difficulty; the thought can in an inflant transport us into the most distant regions of the universe; or even beyond the universe, into the unbounded chaos, where nature is supposed to lie in total consustion. What never was seen, nor heard of, may yet be conceived; nor is any thing beyond the power of thought, except what implies an absolute contradiction.

But the' thought feems to possess this unbounded liberty, we shall find, upon a nearer examination, that it is really confined within very narrow limits, and that all this creative power of the mind amounts to no more than the compounding, transposing, augmenting, or diminish. ing the materials afforded us by the fenses and experience. When we think of a golden mountain, we only join two confistent ideas, gold and mountain, with which we were formerly acquainted. A virtuous horse we can conceive; because, from our own feeling, we can conceive virtue, and this we may unite to the figure and shape of a horse, which is an animal familiar to us. In short, all the materials of thinking are derived either from our outward or inward fentiment: The mixture and composition of these belongs alone to the mind and will. Or, to express myself in philosophical language, all our ideas or more feeble perceptions are copies of our impressions or more lively ones.

To prove this, the two following arguments will, I hope, be sufficient. First, When we analyse our thoughts or ideas, however compounded or sublime, we always find, that they resolve themselves into such simple ideas as were copied from a precedent feeling or sentiment. Even those ideas, which, at first view, seem the most wide of this origin, are sound, upon a narrower scrutiny, to be derived from it. The idea of God, as meaning an infinitely intelligent, wise, and good Being, arises Vol. II.

from reflecting on the operations of our own mind, and augmenting, without limit, those qualities of goodness and wisdom. We may prosecute this enquiry to what length we please; where we shall always find, that every idea we examine is copied from a similar impression. Those who would affert, that this position is not universally true nor without exception, have only one, and that an easy method of resuting it; by producing that idea, which, in their opinion, is not derived from this source. It will then be incumbent on us, if we would maintain our doctrine, to produce the impression or lively perception, which corresponds to it.

Secondly. If it happen, from a defect of the organ, that a man is not fusceptible of any species of fensation, we always find, that he is as little susceptible of the correspondent ideas. A blind man can form no notion of colours; a deaf man of founds. Restore either of them that fense, in which he is deficient; by opening this new inlet for his fensations, you also open an inlet for the ideas, and he finds no difficulty of conceiving these objects. The case is the same, if the object proper for exciting any sensation, has never been applied to the organ. A LAPLANDER or NEGROE has no notion of the relish of wine. And tho' there are few or no instances of a like deficiency in the mind, where a person has never felt or is wholly incapable of a fentiment or passion, that belongs to his species; yet we find the same observation to take place in a less degree. A man of mild manners can form no notion of inveterate revenge or cruelty; nor can a felfish heart easily conceive the heights of friendship and generofity. 'Tis readily allowed, that other beings may possess many fenses, of which we can have no conception; because the ideas of them have never been introduced to us in the only manner by which an idea can have

have access to the mind, viz. by the actual feeling and fenfation.

There is, however, one contradictory phænomenon, which may prove, that 'tis not absolutely impossible for ideas to go before their correspondent impressions. I believe it will readily be allowed, that the feveral distinct ideas of colour, which enter by the eyes, or those of found, which are conveyed by the hearing, are really different from each other; tho' at the same time, refembling. Now if this be true of different colours, it must be no less so, of the different shades of the same colour; and each shade produces a distinct idea, independent of the rest. For if this should be denied, 'tispossible by the continual gradation of shades, to run a colour infenfibly into what is most remote from it; and if you will not allow any of the means to be different, you cannot, without abfurdity, deny the extremes to be the fame. Suppose, therefore, a person to have enjoyed his fight for thirty years, and to have become perfectly acquainted with colours of all kinds, except one particular shade of blue, for instance, which it never has been his fortune to meet with. Let all the different shades of that colour, except that fingle one, be placed before him, descending gradually from the deepest to the lighteft; 'tis plain, that he will perceive a blank, where that shade is wanting, and will be sensible, that there is a greater distance in that place between the contiguous colours than in any other. Now I ask, whether 'tis posfible for him, from his own imagination, to supply this deficiency, and raise up to himself the idea of that particular shade, tho' it had never been conveyed to him by his fenses? I believe there are few but will be of opinion that he can: and this may ferve as a proof, that the simple ideas are not always, in every instance, derived from the correspondent impressions; the this instance is

fo fingular, that 'tis fearce worth our observing, and does not merit, that for it alone, we should alter our general maxim.

Here, therefore, is a propolition, which not only feems, in itself, simple and intelligible; but if a proper use were made of it, might render every dispute equally intelligible, and banish all that jargon, which has so long taken possession of metaphysical reasonings, and drawn fuch difgrace upon them. All ideas, especially abstract ones, are naturally faint and obscure: The mind has but a flender hold of them: They are apt to be confounded with other refembling ideas; and when we have often employed any term, tho' without a distinct meaning, we are apt to imagine that it has a determinate idea, annexed to it. On the contrary, all impressions, that is, all senfations, either outward or inward, are strong and fenfible: The limits between them are more exactly determined: nor is it easy to fall into any error or mistake with regard to them. When we entertain therefore any fuspicion, that a philosophical term is employed without any meaning or idea (as is but too frequent) we need but enquire, from what impression is that supposed idea derived? And if it be impossible to assign any, this will ferve to confirm our suspicion. By bringing ideas into so clear a light, we may reasonably hope to remove all dispute, which may arise, concerning their nature and reality *.

[&]quot;Tis probable, that no more was meant by those, who denied innate ideas, than that all ideas were copies of our impressions; tho it must be consessed, that the terms which they employed were not chosen with such caution, nor so exactly defined as to prevent all mistakes about their doctrine. For what is meant by innate? If innate be equivalent to natural, then all the perceptions and ideas of the mind must be allowed to be innate or natural, in whatever sense we take the latter word, whether in opposition to what is uncommon, artisticial, or miraculous. If by innate be meant, co-temporary to our birth, the dispute seems to be frivolous; nor is it worth while to enquire at what time thinking begins, whether before, at, or after

our birth. Again, the word idea, feems to be commonly taken in a very loofe fense, even by Mr. Locke himself, as standing for any of our perceptions, our sensations and passions, as well as thoughts. Now in this sense, I should desire to know, what can be meant by afferting, that self-love, or resentment of injuries, or the passion between the sexes is not innate?

But admitting these terms, impressions and ideas, in the sense above explained, and understanding by innate what is original or copied from no precedent perception, then may we affert, that all our impressions are innate, and our ideas not innate.

To be ingenuous, I must own it to be my opinion, that Mr. LOCKE was betrayed into this question by the schoolmen, who making use of undefined terms, draw out their disputes to a tedious length, without ever touching the point in question. A like ambiguity and circumfocution seem to run thro' all that philosopher's reasonings on this subject,

SECTION III.

Of the Association of IDEAS.

IS evident, that there is a principle of connexion between the different thoughts or ideas of the mind, and that in their appearance to the memory or imagination, they introduce each other with a certain degree of method and regularity. In our more ferious thinking or discourse, this is so observable, that any particular thought, which breaks in upon this regular tract or chain of ideas, is immediately remarked and rejected. And even in our wildest and most wandering reveries, nay in our very dreams, we shall find, if we reflect, that the imagination ran not altogether at adventures, but that there was still a connection upheld among the different ideas, which fucceeded each other. Were the loofest and freest conversation to be transcribed, there would immediately be observed something, which connected it in all its transitions. Or where this is wanting, the perfon, who broke the thread of discourse, might still inform you, that there had fecretly revolved in his mind a fuccession of thought, which had gradually led him from the subject of conversation. Among the languages of different nations, even where we cannot suspect the least connexion or communication, 'tis found, that the words, expressive of ideas, the most compounded, do yet nearly correspond to each other: A certain proof, that the simple ideas, comprehended in the compound ones,

ones, were bound together by fome universal principle, which had an equal influence on all mankind.

Tho' it be too obvious to escape observation, that different ideas are connected together; I do not find, that any philosopher has attempted to enumerate or class all the principles of association; a subject, however, that seems very worthy of curiosity. To me, there appear to be only three principles of connexion among ideas, viz. Resemblance, Contiguity in time or place, and Cause or Effect.

That these principles serve to connect ideas will not, I believe, be much doubted. A picture naturally leads our thoughts to the original *: The mention of one apartment in a building naturally introduces an enquiry or discourse concerning the others +: And if we think of a wound, we can scarce forbear reflecting on the pain which follows it ‡. But that this enumeration is compleat, and that there are no other principles of affociation, except these, may be difficult to prove to the satisfaction of the reader, or even to a man's own satisfaction. All we can do, in such cases, is to run over several instances, and examine carefully the principle, which binds the different thoughts to each other, never stopping till we render the principle as general as possible. more instances we examine, and the more care we employ, the more affurance shall we acquire, that the enumeration, which we form from the whole, is compleat and entire. Instead of entering into a detail of this kind, which would lead into many useless subtilties, we shall consider some of the effects of this connection upon the passions and imagination; where we may open a field of speculation more entertaining, and perhaps more inftructive, than the other.

Resemblance. † Contiguity. 1 Cause and Effect.

As man is a reasonable being, and is continually in pursuit of happiness, which he hopes to attain by the gratification of some passion or affection, he seldom acts or speaks or thinks without a purpose and intention. He has still some object in view; and however improper the means may sometimes be, which he chuses for the attainment of his end, he never loses view of an end, nor will he so much as throw away his thoughts or reslections, where he hopes not to reap any satisfaction from them.

In all compositions of genius, therefore, 'tis requisite that the writer have some plan or object; and tho' he may be hurried from this plan by the vehemence of thought, as in an ode, or drop it carelessly, as in an epistle or essay, there must appear some aim or intention, in his first setting out, if not in the composition of the whole work. A production without a design would resemble more the ravings of a madman, than the sober efforts of genius and learning.

As this rule admits of no exception, it follows, that in narrative compositions, the events or actions, which the writer relates, must be connected together, by some bond or tye: They must be related to each other in the imagination, and form a kind of *Unity*, which may bring them under one plan or view, and which may be the object or end of the writer in his first undertaking.

This connecting principle among the feveral events, which form the subject of a poem or history, may be very different, according to the different designs of the poet or historian. Ovid has formed his plan upon the connecting principle of resemblance. Every fabulous transformation, produced by the miraculous power of the gods, falls within the compass of his work. There needs but this one circumstance in any event to bring it under his original plan or intention.

An annalist or historian, who should undertake to write the history of Europe during any century, would be influenced by the connexion of contiguity in time and place. All events, which happen in that portion of space, and period of time, are comprehended in his defign, tho' in other respects different and unconnected. They have still a species of unity, amidst all their diversity.

But the most usual species of connection among the different events, which enter into any narrative composition, is that of cause and effect; while the historian traces the feries of actions according to their natural order, remounts to their fecret springs and principles, and delineates their most remote consequences. He chuses for his subject a certain portion of that great chain of events, which compose the history of mankind: each link in this chain he endeavours to touch in his narration: Sometimes unavoidable ignorance renders all his attempts fruitless: Sometimes, he supplies by conjecture what is wanting in knowledge: and always, he is fenfible, that the more unbroken the chain is, which he prefents to his readers, the more perfect is his production. He sees, that the knowlege of causes is not only the most fatisfactory, this relation or connexion being the strongest of all others; but also the most instructive; since it is by this knowlege alone, we are enabled to controul events, and govern futurity.

Here therefore we may attain some notion of that Unity of Action, about which all critics, after Aristotle, have talked so much: Perhaps, to little purpose, while they directed not their taste or sentiment by the accuracy of philosophy. It appears, that in all productions, as well as in the epic and tragic, there is a certain unity required, and that, on no occasion, can our thoughts be allowed to run at adventures, if we would produce

produce a work, which will give any lasting entertainment to mankind. It appears also, that even a biographer, who should write the life of ACHILLES, would connect the events, by shewing their mutual dependence and relation, as much as a poet, who should make the anger of that hero, the subject of his narration *. Not only in any limited portion of life, a man's actions have a dependance on each other, but also during the whole period of his duration, from the cradle to the grave; nor is it possible to strike off one link, however minute, in this regular chain, without affecting the whole feries of events, which follow. The unity of action, therefore, which is to be found in biography or history, differs from that of epic poetry, not in kind, but in degree. In epic poetry, the connexion among the events is more close and sensible: The narration is not carried on thro' fuch a length of time: And the actors haften to fome remarkable period, which fatisfies the curiofity of the reader. This conduct of the epic poet depends on that particular fituation of the Imagination and of the Passions, which is supposed in that production. imagination, both of writer and reader, is more enlivened, and the passions more enslamed than in history, biography, or any species of narration, which confine themselves to strict truth and reality. Let us consider the effect of these two circumstances, an enlivened imagination and enflamed passions, circumstances, which belong to poetry, especially the epic kind, above any other species of composition; and let us examine the reason why they require a stricter and closer unity in the fable.

^{*} Contraty to Aristotle, Müboc d' èche eic, ex, demee reet cies a, ède meet D' évoc %. Honda pae, à amelea ru peres Coucaires, ét de inion en èche ev. Outro de à montesis evoc wondai icu, it de secula pirelai medici, ec. p. 7.

First. All poetry, being a species of painting, approaches us nearer to the objects than any other species of narration, throws a stronger light upon them, and delineates more distinctly those minute circumstances, which, tho' to the historian they seem superfluous, serve mightily to enliven the imagery, and gratify the fancy. If it be not necessary, as in the Iliad, to inform us each time the hero buckles his shoes, and ties his garters, it will be requifite, perhaps, to enter into a greater detail than in the HENRIADE; where the events are run over with fuch rapidity, that we scarce have leisure to become acquainted with the scene or action. Were a poet, therefore, to comprehend in his subject any great compals of time or feries of events, and trace up the death of HECTOR to its remote causes, in the rape of HELEN, or the judgment of PARIS, he must draw out his poem to an immeasurable length, in order to fill this large canvas with just painting and imagery. The reader's imagination, enflamed with fuch a feries of poetical descriptions, and his passions, agitated by a continual sympathy with the actors, must flag long before the period of the narration, and must fink into lassitude and disgust, from the repeated violence of the fame movements.

Secondly. That an epic poet must not trace the causes to any great distance, will farther appear, if we consider another reason, which is drawn from a property of the passions still more remarkable and singular. 'Tis evident, that in a just composition, all the affections, excited by the different events, described and represented, add mutual force to each other; and that while the heroes are all engaged in one common scene, and each action is strongly connected with the whole, the concern is continually awake, and the passions make an easy transition from one object to another. The strong connection of the events, as it facilitates the passage of the thought

thought or imagination from one to another, facilitates also the transsussion of the passions, and preserves the affections still in the same channel and direction. Our fympathy and concern for Eve prepares the way for a like sympathy with ADAM: The affection is preserved almost entire in the transition; and the mind seizes immediately the new object as strongly related to that which formerly engaged its attention. But were the poet to make a total digression from his subject, and introduce a new actor, nowife connected with the personages, the imagination, feeling a breach in the transition, would enter coldly into the new scene; would kindle by slow degrees; and in returning to the main subject of the poem, would pass, as it were, upon foreign ground, and have its concern to excite anew, in order to take party with the principal actors. The same inconvenience follows in a less degree, where the poet traces his events to too great a distance, and binds together actions, which tho' not entirely disjoined, have not so strong a connexion as is requifite to forward the transition of the passions. Hence arises the artifice of the oblique narration, employed in the Odyssey and Eneid; where the hero is introduced, at first, near the period of his designs, and afterwards shows us, as it were in perspective, the more distant events and causes. By this means, the reader's curiofity is immediately excited: The events follow with rapidity, and in a very close connexion: And the concern is preserved alive, and, by means of the near relation of the objects, continually increases, from the beginning to the end of the narration.

The same rule takes place in dramatic poetry; nor is it ever permitted, in a regular composition, to introduce an actor, who has no connexion, or but a small one, with the principal personages of the sable. The spectator's concern must not be diverted by any scenes, disjoined

joined and separated from the rest. This breaks the course of the passions, and prevents that communication of the several emotions, by which one scene adds force to another, and transsuses the pity and terror, which it excites, upon each succeeding scene, 'till the whole produces that rapidity of movement, which is peculiar to the theatre. How must it extinguish this warmth of assection to be entertained, on a sudden, with a new action and new personages, no wise related to the former; to find so sensible a breach or vacuity in the course of the passions, by means of this breach in the connexion of ideas; and instead of carrying the sympathy of one scene into the following, to be obliged every moment, to excite a new concern, and take party in a new scene of action?

To return to the comparison of history and epic poetry, we may conclude, from the foregoing reasonings, that as a certain unity is requisite in all productions, it cannot be wanting to history, more than to any other; that in history, the connexion among the several events, which unites them into one body, is the relation of cause and effect, the same which takes place in epic poetry; and that in the latter composition, this connexion is only required to be closer and more sensible, on account of the lively imagination and strong passions, which must be touched by the poet in his narration. The Pelopon-Nesian war is a proper subject for history, the siege of Athens for an epic poem, and the death of Alcibiades for a tragedy.

As the difference, therefore, between history and epic poetry consists only in the degrees of connexion, which bind together those several events, of which their subject is composed, it will be difficult, if not impossible, by words, to determine exactly the bounds which separate them from each other. That is a matter of taste more than of reasoning; and perhaps, this unity may often be

discovered in a subject, where, at first view, and from an abstract consideration, we should least expect to find it.

'Tis evident, that HOMER, in the course of his narration, exceeds the first proposition of his subject; and that the anger of ACHILLES, which caused the death of HECTOR, is not the same with that which produced so many ills to the GREEKS. But the strong connexion between these two movements, the quick transition from one to another, the contrast + between the effects of concord and discord among the princes, and the natural curiosity which we have to see ACHILLES in action, after such long repose; all these causes carry on the reader, and produce a sufficient unity in the subject.

It may be objected to MILTON, that he has traced up his causes to too great a distance, and that the rebellion of the angels produces the fall of man by a train of events. which is both very long and very casual. Not to mention that the creation of the world, which he has related at length, is no more the cause of that catastrophe, than the battle of PHARSALIA, or any other event, that has ever happened. But if we consider, on the other hand, that all these events, the rebellion of the angels, the creation of the world, and the fall of man, refemble each other, in being miraculous and out of the common course of nature; that they are supposed to be contiguous in time; and that being detached from all other events, and being the only original facts, which revelation discovers, they strike the eye at once, and naturally recall each other to the thought or imagination: If we confider all these circumstances, I say, we shall find, that these parts of the action have a fufficient unity to make them be compre-

[†] Contrast or contrariety is a connexion among ideas, which may, perhaps, be considered as a mixture of causation and resemblance. Where two objects are contrary, the one destroys the other, i.e. is the cause of its annihilation, and the idea of the annihilation of an object implies the idea of its former existence,

hended in one fable or narration. To which we may add, that the rebellion of the angels and the fall of man have a peculiar refemblance, as being counterparts to each other, and prefenting to the reader the fame moral, of obedience to our Creator.

These loose hints I have thrown together, in order to excite the curiofity of philosophers, and beget a suspicion at least, if not a full persuasion, that this subject is very copious, and that many operations of the human mind depend on the connexion or affociation of ideas, which is here explained. Particularly the fympathy between the passions and imagination will, perhaps, appear remarkable; while we observe that the affections, excited by one object, pass easily to another connected with it; but transfuse themselves with difficulty, or not at all, along different objects, which have no manner of connexion together. By introducing, into any composition, personages and actions, foreign to each other, an injudicious author loses that communication of emotions, by which alone he can interest the heart, and raise the pasfions to their proper height and period. The full explication of this principle and all its consequences would lead us into reasoning too profound and too copious for this 'Tis fufficient, at present, to have established this conclusion, that the three connecting principles of all ideas are the relations of Resemblance, Contiguity, and Causation.

SECTION IV.

SCEPTICAL DOUBTS concerning the OPER ASTIONS of the UNDERSTANDING.

PART'I.

A LL the objects of human reason or enquiry may naturally be divided into two kinds, viz. Relations of Ideas and Matters of Fast. Of the first kind are the sciences of Geometry, Algebra, and Arithmetic; and in short, every affirmation, which is either intuitively or demonstratively certain. That the square of the hypothenuse is equal to the square of the two sides, is a proposition, which expresses a relation between these figures. That three times five is equal to the half of thirty, expresses a relation between these numbers. Propositions of this kind are discoverable by the mere operation of thought, without dependence on what is any where existent in the universe. The there never were a circle or triangle in nature, the truths demonstrated by Euclid, would for ever retain their certainty and evidence.

Matters of fact, which are the second objects of human reason, are not ascertained in the same manner; nor is our evidence of their truth, however great, of a like nature with the foregoing. The contrary of every matter of fact is still possible; because it can never imply a contradiction, and is conceived by the mind with equal favor. II.

cility and distinctness, as if ever so conformable to reality. That the sun will not rise to-morrow is no less intelligible a proposition, and implies no more contradiction, than the affirmation, that it will rise. We should in vain, therefore, attempt to demonstrate its falshood. Were it demonstratively false, it would imply a contradiction, and could never be distinctly conceived by the mind.

It may, therefore, be a fubject worthy curiofity, to enquire what is the nature of that evidence, which affures us of any real existence and matter of fact, beyond the present testimony of our senses, or the records of our memory. This part of philosophy, 'tis observable, has been little cultivated, either by the ancients or moderns; and therefore our doubts and errors, in the profecution of fo important an enquiry, may be the more excusable, while we march through fuch difficult paths, without any guide They may even prove useful, by exciting or direction. curiofity, and destroying that implicit faith and security, which is the bane of all reasoning and free enquiry. The discovery of defects in the common philosophy, if any fuch there be, will not, I prefume, be a discouragement, but rather an incitement, as is usual, to attempt something more full and fatisfactory, than has yet been proposed to the public.

All reasonings concerning matter of fact seem to be founded in the relation of Cause and Effect. By means of that relation alone can we go beyond the evidence of our memory and senses. If you were to ask a man, why he believes any matter of fact, which is absent; for instance, that his friend is in the country, or in France; he would give you a reason; and this reason would be some other fact; as a letter received from him, or the knowlege of his former resolutions and promises. A man, finding a watch or any other machine in a desart island, would conclude, that there had once been men in that

that island. All our reasonings concerning fact are of the same nature. And here 'tis constantly supposed, that there is a connexion between the present fact and that inferred from it. Were there nothing to bind them together, the inference would be entirely precarious. The hearing of an articulate voice and rational discourse in the dark assures us of the presence of some person: Why because these are effects of the human make and fabric, and closely connected with it. If we anatomize all the other reasonings of this nature, we shall find, that they are founded in the relation of cause and effect, and that this relation is either near or remote, direct or collateral Heat and light are collateral effects of fire, and the one effect may justly be inferred from the other.

If we would fatisfy ourselves, therefore, concerning the nature of that evidence, which assures us of all matters of fact, we must enquire how we arrive at the knowlege of cause and effect.

I shall venture to affirm, as a general proposition, which admits of no exception, that the knowledge of this relation is not, in any inftance, attained by reasonings à priori; but arises entirely from experience, when we find, that any particular objects are constantly conjoined with each other. Let an object be presented to a man of ever fo strong natural reason and abilities; if that object be entirely new to him, he will not be able, by the most accurate examination of its sensible qualities, to discover any of its causes or effects. ADAM, tho' his rational faculties be supposed, at the very first, entirely perfect, could not have inferred from the fluidity and transparency of water, that it would suffocate him, or from the light and warmth of fire, that it would consume No object ever discovers, by the qualities which appear to the senses, either the causes which produced it, or the effects, which will arise from it; nor-can our reason, unassisted by experience, ever draw any inferences concerning real existence and matter of sact.

This proposition, that causes and effects are discoverable, not by reason but by experience, will readily be admitted with regard to such objects, as we remember to have been once altogether unknown to us; fince we must be conscious of the utter inability which we then lay under of foretelling what would arise from them. Present two fmooth pieces of marble to a man who has no tincture of natural philosophy; he will never discover that they will adhere together, in such a manner as to require great force to separate them in a direct line, while they make fo small a resistance to a lateral pressure. Such events, as bear little analogy to the common course of nature, are also readily confessed to be known only by experience; nor does any man imagine that the explosion of gunpowder, or the attraction of a loadstone, could ever bediscovered by arguments à priori. In like manner, when an effect is supposed to depend upon an intricate machinery or secret structure of parts, we make no difficulty to attribute all our knowlege of it to experience. will affert, that he can give the ultimate reason, why milk or bread is proper nourishment for a man, not for a lion or a tyger?

But the same truth may not appear, at first sight, to have the same evidence with regard to events, which have become samiliar to us from our first appearance in the world, which bear a close analogy to the whole course of nature, and which are supposed to depend on the simple qualities of objects, without any secret structure of parts. We are apt to imagine, that we could discover these effects by the mere operations of our reason, without experience. We sancy, that, were we brought, on a sudden, into this world, we could at first have inferred, that one billiardball would communicate motion to another upon impulse;

And that we needed not to have waited for the event, in order to pronounce with certainty concerning it. Such is the influence of custom, that, where it is strongest, it not only covers our natural ignorance, but even conceals itself, and seems not to take place, merely because it is found in the highest degree.

But to convince us, that all the laws of nature and all the operations of bodies, without exception, are known only by experience, the following reflections may, perhaps, fuffice. Were any object presented to us, and were we required to pronounce concerning the effect, which will refult from it, without confulting past observation; after what manner, I befeech you, must the mind proceed in this operation? It must invent or imagine some event, which it ascribes to the object as its effect; and 'tis plain that this invention must be entirely arbitrary. The mind can never possibly find the effect in the supposed cause, by the most accurate scrutiny and examination. For the effect is totally different from the cause, and consequently can never be discovered in it. Motion in the fecond billiard-ball is a quite distinct event from motion in the first; nor is there any thing in the one to fuggest the smallest hint of the other. A stone or piece of metal raifed into the air, and left without any support, immediately falls: But to consider the matter à priori, is there any thing we discover in this situation, which can beget the idea of a downward, rather than an upward, or any other motion, in the stone or metal?

And as the first imagination or invention of a particular effect, in all natural operations, is arbitrary, where we consult not experience; so must we also esteem the supposed tye or connexion between the cause and effect, which binds them together, and renders it impossible, that any other effect could result from the operation of that cause. When I see, for instance, a billiard-ball moving

in a strait line towards another; even suppose motion in the second ball should by accident be suggested to me, as the result of their contact or impulse; may I not conceive, that a hundred different events might as well sollow from that cause? May not both these balls remain at absolute rest? May not the first ball return in a strait line, or leap off from the second in any line or direction? All these suppositions are consistent and conceivable. Why then should we give the preference to one, which is no more consistent nor conceivable than the rest? All our reasonings à priori will never be able to shew us any soundation for this preference.

In a word, then, every effect is a distinct event from its cause. It could not, therefore, be discovered in the cause, and the first invention or conception of it, à priori, must be entirely arbitrary. And even after it is suggested, the conjunction of it with the cause must appear equally arbitrary; since there are always many other effects, which, to reason, must seem fully as consistent and natural. In vain, therefore, should we pretend to determine any single event, or infer any cause or effect, without the assistance of observation and experience.

Hence we may discover the reason, why no philosopher, who is rational and modest, has ever pretended to assign the ultimate cause of any natural operation, or to show distinctly the action of that power, which produces any single effect in the universe. 'Tis confessed, that the utmost effort of human reason is, to reduce the principles, productive of natural phænomena, to a greater simplicity, and to resolve the many particular effects into a few general causes, by means of reasonings from analogy, experience, and observation. But as to the causes of these general causes, we should in vain attempt their discovery; nor shall we ever be able to satisfy ourselves, by any parti-

cular explication of them. These ultimate springs and principles are totally shut up from human curiosity and Elasticity, gravity, cohesion of parts, comenquiry. munication of motion by impulse; these are probably the ultimate causes and principles which we shall ever discover in nature; and we may esteem ourselves sufficiently happy, if, by accurate enquiry and reasoning, we can trace up the particular phænomena to, or near to, these general principles. The most perfect philosophy of the natural kind only removes our ignorance a little further: As perhaps the most perfect philosophy of the moral or metaphyfical kind ferves only to discover larger portions of our ignorance. Thus the observation of human blindness and weakness is the result of all philosophy, and meets us, at every turn, in spight of our endeavours to elude or avoid it.

Nor is geometry, when taken into the affiftance of natural philosophy, ever able to remedy this defect, or lead us into the knowlege of ultimate causes, by all that accuracy of reasoning, for which it is so justly celebrated. Every part of mixed mathematics goes upon the suppofition, that certain laws are established by nature in her operations; and abstract reasonings are employed, either to affift experience in the discovery of these laws, or to determine their influence in particular inflances, where it depends upon any precise degrees of distance and quantity. Thus 'tis a law of motion, discovered by experience, that the moment or force of any body in motion is in the compound ratio or proportion of its folid contents and its velocity; and consequently, that a small force may remove the greatest obstacle or raise the greatest weight, if by any contrivance or machinery we can increase the velocity of that force, so as to make it an overmatch for its antagonist. Geometry assists us in the application of this law, by giving us the just dimensions of all the parts

and figures, which can enter into any species of machine; but still the discovery of the law itself is owing merely to experience, and all the abstract reasonings in the world could never lead us one step towards the knowlege of it. When we reason à priori, and consider merely any object or cause, as it appears to the mind, independent of all observation, it never could suggest to us the notion of any distinct object, such as its effect; much less, shew us the inseparable and inviolable connection between them. A man must be very sagacious, who could discover by reasoning, that crystal is the effect of heat, and ice of cold, without being previously acquainted with the operations of these qualities.

PART II.

But we have not, as yet, attained any tolerable fatiffaction with regard to the question first proposed. folution still gives rife to a new question as difficult as the foregoing, and leads us on to farther enquiries. When it is asked, What is the nature of all our reasonings concerning matter of fact? The proper answer seems to be, that they are founded on the relation of cause and effect. When again it is asked, What is the foundation of all our reasonings and conclusions concerning that relation? it may be replied in one word, Experience. But if we still carry on our fifting humour, and ask, What is the foundation of all conclusions from experience? this implies a new question, which may be of more difficult folution and explication. Philosophers, that give themselves airs of superior wisdom and fufficiency, have a hard task, when they encounter perfons of inquisitive dispositions, who push them from every sorner, to which they retreat, and who are fure at last to bring them to some dangerous dilemma. The best expedient to prevent this confusion, is to be modest in our pretentions; and even to discover the difficulty our**felves**

felves before it is objected to us. By this means, we may make a kind of merit of our very ignorance.

I shall content myself, in this section, with an easy task, and shall pretend only to give a negative answer to the question here proposed. I say then, that even after we have experience of the operations of cause and effect, our conclusions from that experience are not sounded on reasoning, or any process of the understanding. This answer we must endeavour, both to explain and to defend.

It must certainly be allowed, that nature has kept us at a great distance from all her secrets, and has afforded us only the knowledge of a few fuperficial qualities of objects, while the conceals from us those powers and principles, on which the influence of these objects entirely depends. Our senses inform us of the colour, weight, and confistence of bread; but neither sense nor reason ever can inform us of those qualities, which fit it for the nourishment and support of a human body. Sight or feeling conveys an idea of the actual motion of bodies; but as to that wonderful force or power, which would carry on a moving body for ever in a continued change of place, and which bodies never lose but by communicating it to others; of this we cannot form the most distant concep-But notwithstanding this ignorance of natural powers * and principles, we always presume, where we fee like fenfible qualities, that they have like fecret powers, and lay our account, that effects, fimilar to those, which we have experienced, will follow from them. of like colour and confisence with that of bread, which we have formerly eat, be prefented to us, we make no fcruple of repeating the experiment, and expect, with cer-

The word, Power, is here used in a loose and popular sense. The more accurate explication of it would give additional evidence to this argument. See Sect. 7.

tainty, like nourishment and support. Now this is a process of the mind or thought, of which I would willingly know the foundation. 'Tis allowed on all hands, that there is no known connection between the fenfible qualities and the fecret powers; and confequently, that the mind is not led to form fuch a conclusion concerning their constant and regular conjunction, by any thing which it knows of their nature. As to past Experience, it can be allowed to give direct and certain information only of those precise objects, and that precise period of time, which fell under its cognizance: But why this experience should be extended to future times, and to other objects, which, for aught we know, may be only in appearance fimilar; this is the main question on which I would infift. The bread, which I formerly eat, nourished me; that is, a body of such fensible qualities, was, at that time, endued with fuch fecret powers: but does it follow, that other bread must also nourish-me at another time. and that like sensible qualities must always be attended with like fecret powers? The consequence feems nowife necessary. At least, it must be acknowleded, that there is here a consequence drawn by the mind; that there is a certain step taken; a process of thought, and an inference, which wants to be explained. These two propositions are far from being the same, I have found that such an object has always been attended with such an effect, and I foresce, that other objects; which are, in appearance, smilar, will be attended with similar effects. I shall allow, if you pleafe, that the one proposition may justly be inferred from the other: I know in fact, that it always is inferred. But/if you insist, that the inference is made by a chain of reasoning, I desire you to produce that reasoning The connection between these propositions is not in-There is required a medium, which may enable the mind to draw fuch an inference, if indeed it be drawn by reasoning and argument. What that medium is, I muft

must confess, passes my comprehension; and 'tis incumbent on those to produce it, who affert, that it really exists, and is the origin of all our conclusions concerning matter of fact.

This negative argument must certainly, in process of time, become altogether convincing, if many penetrating and able philosophers shall turn their enquiries this way; and no one be ever able to discover any connecting proposition or intermediate step, which supports the understanding in this conclusion. But as the question is yet new, every reader may not trust so far to his own penetration, as to conclude, because an argument escapes his research and enquiry, that therefore it does not really exist. For this reason it may be requisite to venture upon a more difficult task; and enumerating all the branches of human knowledge, endeavour to shew, that none of them can afford such an argument.

All reafonings may be divided into two kinds, viz. demonstrative reasonings, or those concerning relations of ideas, and moral reasonings, or those concerning matter of fact and existence. That there are no demonstrative arguments in the case, seems evident; since it implies no contradiction, that the course of nature may change, and that an object feemingly like those which we have experienced, may be attended with different or contrary effects. May I not clearly and distinctly conceive, that a body falling from the clouds, and which, in all other respects, resembles snow, has yet the taste of salt or feeling of fire? Is there any more intelligible proposition than to affirm, that all the trees will flourish in DECEM-BER and JANUARY, and decay in MAY and JUNE? Now whatever is intelligible, and can be distinctly conceived, implies no contradiction, and can never be proved false by any demonstrative argument or abstract reasoning à priori,

If we be, therefore, engaged by arguments to put trust in past experience, and make it the standard of our future judgment, these arguments must be prohable only, or fuch as regard matter of fact and real existence, according to the division above-mentioned. But that there is no argument of this kind, must appear, if our explication of that species of reasoning be admitted as solid and fatisfactory. We have faid, that all arguments concerning existence are founded on the relation of cause and effect; that our knowlege of that relation is derived entirely from experience; and that all our experimental conclusions proceed upon the supposition that the future will be conformable to the past. To endeavour, therefore, the proof of this last supposition by probable arguments, or arguments regarding existence, must be evidently going in a circle, and taking that for granted, which is the very point in question.

In reality all arguments from experience are founded on the fimilarity, which we discover among natural objects, and by which we are induced to expect effects fimilar to those, which we have found to follow from such objects. And the none but a fool or madman will ever pretend to dispute the authority of experience, or to reject that great guide of human life; it may furely be allowed a philosopher to have so much curiosity at least, as to examine the principle of human nature which gives this mighty authority to experience, and makes us draw advantage from that fimilarity, which nature has placed among different objects. From causes, which appear fimilar, we expect fimilar effects. This is the fum of all our experimental conclusions. Now it feems evident, that if this conclusion were formed by reason, it would be as perfect at first, and upon one instance, as after ever fo long a course of experience. But the case is far otherwife. Nothing so like as eggs; yet no one, on account

of this apparent fimilarity, expects the same taste and relish in all of them. 'Tis only after a long course of uniform experiments in any kind, that we attain a firm reliance and security with regard to a particular event. Now where is that process of reasoning, which from one instance draws a conclusion, so different from that which it infers from an hundred instances, that are nowise different from that single instance? This question I propose as much for the sake of information, as with an intention of raising difficulties. I cannot find, I cannot imagine any such reasoning. But I keep my mind still open to instruction, if any one will vouchsafe to bestow it on me.

Should it be faid, that from a number of uniform experiments, we infer a connexion between the fenfible qualities and the fecret powers; this, I must confess, feems the same difficulty, couched in different terms. The question still recurs, On what process of argument is this inference founded? Where is the medium, the interposing ideas, which join propositions so very wide of each other? 'Tis confessed, that the colour, consistence and other fenfible qualities of bread appear not, of themfelves, to have any connexion with the fecret powers of nourishment and support. For otherwise we could infer these secret powers from the first appearance of these fensible qualities, without the aid of experience; contrary to the fentiment of all philosophers, and contrary to plain matter of fact. Here then is our natural state of ignorance with regard to the powers and influence of all objects. How is this remedied by experience? It only shews us a number of uniform effects, resulting from certain objects, and teaches us, that those particular objects, at that particular time, were endowed with fuch powers and forces. When a new object, endowed with fimilar sensible qualities, is produced, we expect fimilar

fimilar powers and forces, and lay our account with a like effect. From a body of like colour and confisence with bread, we look for like nourishment and support. But this furely is a step or progress of the mind, which wants to be explained. When a man fays, I have found, in all past instances, such sensible qualities conjoined with such fecret powers: And when he fays, similar sensible qualities will always be conjoined with similar secret powers; he is not guilty of a tautology, nor are these propositions in any respect the same. You say that the one proposition is an inference from the other. But you must confess, that the inference is not intuitive; neither is it demonstrative: Of what nature is it then? To fay it. is experimental, is begging the question. For all inferences from experience suppose, as their foundation, that the future will refemble the past, and that similar powers will be conjoined with fimilar fenfible qualities. If there be any fuspicion, that the course of nature may change, and that the past may be no rule for the future, all experience becomes useless, and can give rise to no inference or conclusion. 'Tis impossible, therefore, that any arguments from experience can prove this refemblance of the past to the future; fince all these arguments are founded on the supposition of that resemblance. the course of things be allowed hitherto ever so regular; that alone, without some new argument or inference, proves not, that, for the future, it will continue fo. vain do you pretend to have learnt the nature of bodies from your past experience. Their secret nature, and consequently, all their effects and influence may change, without any change in their fensible qualities. This happens sometimes, and with regard to some objects: Why may it not happen always, and with regard to all objects? What logic, what process of argument secures you against this supposition? My practice, you say, resutes my doubts. But you mistake the purport of my question.

As an agent, I am quite satisfied in the point; but as a philosopher, who has some share of curiosity, I will not say scepticism, I want to learn the soundation of this inference. No reading, no enquiry has yet been able to remove my difficulty, or give me satisfaction in a matter of such vast importance. Can I do better than propose the difficulty to the public, even tho, perhaps, I have small hopes of obtaining a solution? We shall at least, by this means, be sensible of our ignorance, if we do not augment our knowlege.

I must consess, that a man is guilty of unpardonable arrogance, who concludes, because an argument has escaped his own investigation, that therefore it does not really exist. I must also consess, that the all the learned, for several ages, should have employed their time in fruitless researches upon any subject, it may still, perhaps, be rash to conclude positively, that the subject must, therefore, pass all human comprehension. Even the we examine all the sources of our knowlege, and conclude them unsit for such a subject, there may still remain a suspicion, that the enumeration is not compleat, or the examination not accurate. But with regard to the present subject, there are some considerations, which seem to remove all this accusation of arrogance or suspicion of mistake.

'Tis certain, that the most ignorant and stupid peafants, nay infants, nay even brute beasts improve by experience, and learn the qualities of natural objects, by observing the effects, which result from them. When a child has felt the sensation of pain from touching the stame of a candle, he will be careful not to put his hand near any candle; but will expect a similar effect from a cause, which is similar in its sensible qualities and appearance. If you affert, therefore, that the understanding of the child is led into this conclusion by any process of argument or ratiocination, I may justly require you to produce that argument; nor have you any pretence to refuse so equitable a demand. You cannot say, that the argument is abstruse, and may possibly escape your enquiry; fince you confess, that it is obvious to the capacity of a mere infant. If you helitate, therefore, a moment, or if, after reflection, you produce any intricate or profound argument, you, in a manner, give up the question, and confess, that it is not reasoning which engages us to suppose the past resembling the future, and to expect fimilar effects from causes, which are, to appearance, similar. This is the proposition which I intended to enforce in the present section. ' If I be right, I pretend to have made no mighty discovery. And if I be wrong, I must acknowlege myself to be indeed a very backward scholar; fince I cannot now discover an argument, which, it feems, was perfectly familiar to me, long before I was out of my cradle.

SECTION V.

SCEPTICAL SOLUTION of these Doubts.

PART I.

HE passion for philosophy, like that for religion, feems liable to this inconvenience, that, tho' it aims at the correction of our manners, and extirpation of our vices, it may only ferve, by imprudent management, to foster a predominant inclination, and push the mind, with more determined refolution, towards that fide, which already draws too much, by the biass and propensity of the natural temper. 'Tis certain, that, while we aspire to the magnanimous firmness of the philosophic fage, and endeavour to confine our pleasures altogether within our own minds, we may, at last, render our philosophy, like that of EPICTETUS, and other Stoics; only a more refined fystem of selfishness, and may reason ourselves out of all virtue, as well as focial enjoyment. While we study with attention the vanity of human life, and turn all our thoughts towards the empty and transitory nature of riches and honours, we are, perhaps, all the while flattering our natural indolence, which, hating the buffle of the world, and drudgery of business, seeks a pretence of reason, to give itself a full and uncontrouled indulgence. There is, however, one species of philosophy, which feems little liable to this inconvenience, and that Vol. IL because. E

because it strikes in with no disorderly passion of the human mind, nor can mingle itself with any natural affection or propenfity; and that is the ACADEMIC or SCEP-TICAL philosophy. The academics talk always of doubts, and suspense of judgment, of danger in hasty determinations, of confining to very narrow bounds the enquiries of the understanding, and of renouncing all speculations which lie not within the limits of common life and practice. Nothing, therefore, can be more contrary than fuch a philosophy to the supine indolence of the mind, its rash arrogance, its lofty pretensions, and its superstitious credulity. Every passion is mortified by it, except the love of truth; and that passion never is, nor can be carried to too high a degree. 'Tis furprifing, therefore, that this philosophy, which, in almost every instance, must be harmless and innocent, should be the subject of fo much groundless reproach and obloquy. But, perhaps, the very circumstance which renders it so innocent, is what chiefly exposes it to the public hatred and refent-By flattering no irregular passion, it gains few partizans: By opposing so many vices and follies, it raises to itself abundance of enemies, who stigmatize it as libertine, profane, and irreligious.

Nor need we fear, that this philosophy, while it endeavours to limit our enquiries to common life, should ever undermine the reasonings of common life, and carry its doubt so far as to destroy all action, as well as speculation. Nature will always maintain her rights, and prevail in the end over any abstract reasoning whatsoever. Tho' we should conclude, for instance, as in the foregoing section, that in all reasonings from experience, there is a step taken by the mind, which is not supported by any argument or process of the understanding; there is no danger, less these reasonings, on which almost all knowledge depends, will ever be affected by such a discovery.

If the mind be not engaged by argument to make this step, it must be induced by some other principle of equal weight and authority; and that principle will preserve its influence as long as human nature remains the same. What that principle is, may well be worth the pains of enquiry.

Suppose a person, tho' endowed with the strongest faculties of reason and reflection, to be brought on a sudden into this world; he would, indeed, immediately observe a continual fuccession of objects, and one event following another; but he would not be able to discover any thing farther. He would not, at first, by any reasoning, be able to reach the idea of cause and effect; since the particular powers, by which all natural operations are performed, never appear to the fenses; nor is it reasonable to conclude, merely because one event, in one instance, precedes another, that therefore the one is the cause, and the other the effect. Their conjunction may be arbitrary and casual. There may be no reason to infer the existence of the one from the appearance of the other. And in a word, fuch a person without more experience, could never employ his conjecture or reasoning concerning any matter of fact, or be affured of any thing beyond what was immediately present, to his memory and senses.

Suppose again, that he has acquired more experience, and has lived so long in the world as to have observed similar objects or events to be constantly conjoined together; what is the consequence of this experience? He immediately infers the existence of the one object from the appearance of the other. Yet he has not, by all his experience, acquired any idea or knowlege of the secret power, by which the one object produces the other; nor is it, by any process of reasoning, he is engaged to draw this inference. But still he finds himself determined to draw it: And the should be convinced, that his understanding

derstanding has no part in the operation, he would nevertheless continue in the same course of thinking. There is some other principle, which determines him to form such a conclusion.

This principle is Custom or Habit. For wherever the repetition of any particular act or operation produces a propenfity to renew the fame act or operation, without being impelled by any reasoning or process of the understanding; we always fay, that this propensity is the effect of Custom. By employing that word, we pretend not to have given the ultimate reason of such a propen-We only point out a principle of human nature, which is univerfally acknowleged, and which is well known by its effects. Perhaps, we can push our enquiries no farther, or pretend to give the cause of this cause; but must rest contented with it as the ultimate principle, which we can affign of all our conclusions from expe-'Tis sufficient satisfaction, that we can go so far; without repining at the narrowness of our faculties, because they will carry us no farther. And 'tis certain we here advance a very intelligible proposition at least, if not a true one, when we affert, that, after the constant conjunction of two objects, heat and flame, for instance, weight and solidity, we are determined by cuftom alone to expect the one from the appearance of the This hypothesis seems even the only one, which explains the difficulty, why we draw, from a thousand inftances, an inference, which we are not able to draw from one instance, that is, in no respect, different from them. Reason is incapable of any such variation. conclusions, which it draws from confidering one circle, are the same which it would form upon surveying all the circles in the universe. But no man, having feen only one body move after being impelled by another, could infer, that every other body will move after a like impulse. pulse. All inferences from experience, therefore, are effects of custom, not of reasoning *.

Cuftom,

* Nothing is more usual than for writers even on moral, political, or pbyfical subjects, to distinguish between reason and experience, and to suppose, that these species of argumentation are entirely different from each other. The former are taken for the mere refult of our intellectual faculties, which by confidering à priori the nature of things, and examining the effects, that must follow from their operation, establish particular principles of science and philosophy. The latter are supposed to be derived entirely from sense and observation, by which we learn what has actually resulted from the operation of particular objects, and are thence able to infer, what will, for the future, refult from them. Thus, for instance, the limitations and restraints of civil government, and a legal constitution, may be defended, either from reason, which, reflecting on the great frailty and corruption of human nature, teaches, that no man can fafely be truffed with unlimited authority; or from experience and history, which inform us of the enormous abuses, that ambition, in every age and country, has been found to make of so imprudent a confidence.

The same distinction betwirt reason and experience is maintained in all our deliberations concerning the conduct of life; while the experienced states same and policy and the unpractised novice, with whatever natural talents endowed, neglected and despised. Tho it be allowed, that reason may form very plausible conjectures with regard to the consequences of such a particular conduct in such particular circumstances; its still supposed imperfect, without the affistance of experience, which is alone able to give stability and certainty to the maxims, derived from study and reslection.

But notwithstanding that this distinction be thus universally received, both in the active and speculative scenes of life, I shall not scruple to pronounce, that it is, at bottom, erroneous, or at least, superficial.

If we examine those arguments, which, in any of the sciences above-mentioned, are supposed to be the mere effects of reasoning and restection, they will be found to terminate, at last, in some general principle or conclusion, for which we can assign no reason but observation and experience. The only difference betwixt them and those maxims, which are vulgarly esteemed the result of pure experience, is, that the former cannot be established without some process of thought, and some restection on what we have observed, in order to distinguish its circumstances, and trace its consequences: Whereas in the latter, the experienced event is exactly and fully similar to that which we inser as the result of any particular situation. The history of a TIBERRUS or a NERO makes us dread a like tyranny were our monarchs

Custom, then, is the great guide of human life. 'Tis that principle alone, which renders our experience useful to us, and makes us expect for the future, a similar train of events with those which have appeared in the past. Without the influence of custom, we should be entirely ignorant of every matter of fact, beyond what is immediately present to the memory and senses. We should never know how to adjust means to ends, or to employ our natural powers in the production of any effect. There would be an end at once of all action, as well as of the chief part of speculation.

But here it may be proper to remark, that tho' our conclusions from experience carry us beyond our memory and senses, and assure us of matters of sact, which happened in the most distant places and most remote ages; yet some sact must always be present to the senses

freed from the reftraints of laws and fenates: But the observation of any fraud or cruelty in private life is sufficient, with the aid of a little thought, to give us the same apprehension; while it serves as an instance of the general corruption of human nature, and shews us the danger which we must incur by reposing an entire confidence in mankind. In both cases, 'tis experience which is ultimately the soundation of our inserence and conclusion.

There is no man so young and unexperienced, as not to have formed from observation, many general and just maxims concerning human affairs and the conduct of life; but it must be consessed, that, when a man comes to put these in practice, he will be extremely liable to error, till time and farther experience, both enlarge these maxims, and teach him their proper use and application. In every situation or incident, there are many particular and seemingly minute circumstances, which the man of greatest talents is, at first, apt to overlook, tho' on them the justness of his conclusions, and confequently the prudence of his conduct, entirely depend. Not to mention, that, to a young beginner, the general observations and maxims occur not always on the proper occasions, nur can be immediately applied with due calmness and distinction. The truth is, an unexperienced reafoner could be no reasoner at all, were he absolutely unexperienced; and when we assign that character to any one, we mean it only in a comparative fense, and suppose him possessed of experience, in a smaller and more imperfect degree.

or memory, from which we may first proceed in drawing these conclusions. A man, who should find in a desert country the remains of pompous buildings, would conclude, that the country had, in antient times, been cuitivated by civilized inhabitants; but did nothing of this nature occur to him, he could never form such an inference. We learn the events of former ages from history; but then we must peruse the volumes, in which this instruction is contained, and thence carry up our inferences from one testimony to another, till we arrive at the eye-witnesses and spectators of these distant events. In a word, if we proceed not upon some fact, present to the memory or fenses, our reasonings would be merely hypothetical; and however the particular links might be connected with each other, the whole chain of inferences would have nothing to support it, nor could we ever, by its means, arrive at the knowlege of any real existence. If I ask, why you believe any particular matter of fact, which you relate, you must tell me some reason; and this reason will be some other fact, connected with it: But as you cannot proceed after this manner, in infinitum, you must at last terminate in some fact, which is present to your memory or fenses; or must allow that your belief is entirely without foundation.

What then is the conclusion of the whole matter? A simple one; tho' it must be confessed, pretty remote from the common theories of philosophy. All belief of matter of fact or real existence is derived merely from some object, present to the memory or senses, and a customary conjunction between that and any other object. Or in other words; having found, in many instances, that any two kinds of objects, slame and heat, snow and cold, have always been conjoined together; if slame or snow be presented anew to our senses; the mind is carried by custom to expect heat or cold, and to believe, that

fuch a quality does exist, and will discover itself upon a nearer approach. This belief is the necessary result of placing the mind in such circumstances. 'Tis an operation of the soul, when we are so situated, as unavoidable as to feel the passion of love, when we receive benefits, or hatred, when we meet with injuries. All these operations are a species of natural instincts, which no reasoning or process of the thought and understanding is able, either to produce, or to prevent.

At this point, it would be very allowable for us to ftop our philosophical researches. In most questions, we can never make a fingle step farther; and in all questions, we must terminate here at last, after our most restless and curious enquiries. But still our curiofity will be pardonable, perhaps commendable, if it carry us on to still farther researches, and make us examine more accurately the nature of this belief, and of the customary conjunction, whence it is derived. By this means we may meet with fome explications and analogies, that will give satisfaction; at least to such as love the abstract sciences, and can be entertained with speculations, which, however accurate, may still retain a degree of doubt and uncertainty. As to readers of a different tafte; the remaining part of this fection is not calculated for them, and the following enquiries may well be understood, tho? it be neglected.

PART II.

There is nothing more free than the imagination of man; and tho' it cannot exceed that original stock of ideas, which is furnished by the internal and external senses, it has unlimited power of mixing, compounding, separating, and dividing these ideas, to all the varieties of sistion and vision. It can seign a train of events, with

with all the appearance of reality, ascribe to them a particular time and place, conceive them as existent, and paint them out to itself with every circumstance, that belongs to any historical fact, which it believes with the greatest certainty. Wherein, therefore, consists the difference between such a fiction and belief? It lies not merely in any peculiar idea, which is annexed to fuch a conception, as commands our affent, and which is wanting to every known fiction. For as the mind has authority over all its ideas, it could voluntarily annex this particular idea to any fiction, and confequently be able to believe whatever it pleases; contrary to what we find by daily experience. We can, in our conception, join the head of a man to the body of a horse; but it is not in our power to believe, that fuch an animal has ever really existed.

It follows, therefore, that the difference between fiction and belief lies in some sentiment or feeling, which is annexed to the latter, not to the former, and which depends not on the will, nor can be commanded at pleafure. It must be excited by nature, like all other fentiments; and must arise from the particular situation, in which the mind is placed at any particular juncture. Whenever any object is presented to the memory or senfes, it immediately, by the force of custom, carries the imagination to conceive that object, which is usually conjoined to it; and this conception is attended with a feeling or fentiment, different from the loofe reveries of the fancy. In this confifts the whole nature of belief. For as there is no matter of fact which we believe for firmly, that we cannot conceive the contrary, there would be no difference between the conception affented to, and that which is rejected, were it not for some fentiment, which distinguishes the one from the other. If I see a billiard-ball moving towards another, on a smooth table,

table, I can easily conceive it to stop upon contact. This conception implies no contradiction; but still it feels very differently from that conception, by which I represent to myself the impulse, and the communication of motion from one ball to another.

Were we to attempt a definition of this fentiment, we should, perhaps, find it a very difficult, if not an impossible task; in the same manner as if we should endeavour to define the feeling of cold or passion of anger, to a creature who never had an experience of these senti-Belief is the true and proper name of this ments. feeling; and no one is ever at a lofs to know the meaning of that term; because every man is every moment conscious of the sentiment represented by it. It may not, however, be improper to attempt a description of this sentiment; in hopes we may, by that means, arrive at fome analogies, which may afford a more perfect explication I fay then, that belief is nothing but a more vivid, lively, forcible, firm, sleady conception of an object, than what the imagination alone is ever able to attain. This variety of terms, which may feem fo unphilosophical, is intended only to express that act of the mind, which renders realities, or what is taken for fuch, more present to us than fictions, causes them to weigh more in the thought, and gives them a superior influence on the passions and imagination. Provided we agree about the thing, 'tis needless to dispute about the terms. The imagination has the command over all its ideas, and can join and mix and vary them, in all the ways posfible. It may conceive fictitious objects with all the circumstances of place and time. It may set them, in a manner, before our eyes, in their true colours, just as they might have existed. But as it is impossible, that that faculty of imagination can ever, of itself, reach belief, 'tis evident, that belief confifts not in the peculiar

nature or order of ideas, but in the manner of their conception, and in their feeling to the mind. I confess, that tis impossible perfectly to explain this feeling or manner of conception. We may make use of words, which express something near it. But its true and proper name, as we observed before, is belief; which is a term, that every one fufficiently understands in common life. And in philosophy, we can go no farther than affert, that belief is fomething felt by the mind, which distinguishes the ideas of the judgment from the fictions of the ima-It gives them more force and influence: makes them appear of greater importance; inforces them in the mind; and renders them the governing principle of all our actions. I hear at present, for instance, a perfon's voice with whom I am acquainted; and the found comes as from the next room. This impression of my fenses immediately conveys my thought to the person. together with all the furrounding objects. I paint them out to myself as existing at present, with the same qualities and relations, of which I formerly knew them poffest. These ideas take faster hold of my mind, than ideas of an inchanted castle. They are very different to the feeling, and have a much greater influence of every kind, either to give pleasure or pain, joy or forrow.

Let us, then, take in the whole compass of this doctrine, and allow, that the sentiment of belief is nothing but a conception of an object more intense and steady than what attends the mere sections of the imagination, and that this manner of conception arises from a customary conjunction of the object with something present to the memory or senses: I believe that it will not be difficult, upon these suppositions, to find other operations of the mind analogous to it, and to trace up these phænomena to principles still more general.

We have already observed, that nature has established connexions among particular ideas, and that no fooner one idea occurs to our thoughts than it introduces its correlative, and carries our attention towards it, by a gentle and infensible movement. These principles of connexion or affociation we have reduced to three, viz. Resemblance, Contiguity, and Causation; which are the only bonds, that unite our thoughts together, and beget that regular train of reflection or discourse, which, in a greater or less degree, takes place among all mankind. Now here arises a question, on which the folution of the present difficulty will depend. Does it happen, in all these relations, that, when one of the objects is prefented to the fenses or memory, the mind is not only carried to the conception of the correlative, but reaches a steadier and stronger conception of it than what otherwife it would have been able to attain? This feems to be the case with that belief, which arises from the relation of cause and effect. And if the case be the same with the other relations or principles of affociation, this may be established as a general law, which takes place in all the operations of the mind.

We may, therefore, observe, as the first experiment to our present purpose, that upon the appearance of the picture of an absent friend, our idea of him is evidently enlivened by the resemblance, and that every passion, which that idea occasions, whether of joy or forrow, acquires new force and vigour. In producting this effect, there concur both a relation and a present impression. Where the picture bears him no resemblance, or at least was not intended for him, it never so much as conveys our thought to him: And where it is absent, as well as the person; tho' the mind may pass from the thought of the one to that of the other; it feels its idea to be rather weakened than enlivened by that transition. We

take a pleasure in viewing the picture of a friend, when 'tis set before us; when 'tis removed, rather chuse to consider him directly, than by resection in an image, which is equally distant and obscure.

The ceremonies of the ROMAN CATHOLIC religion may be confidered as experiments of the fame nature. The devotees of that superstition usually plead in excuse of the mummeries, with which they are upbraided, that they feel the good effect of those external motions, and postures, and actions, in enlivening their devotion and quickening their fervor, which otherwife would decay, if directed intirely to distant and immaterial objects. shadow out the objects of our faith, fay they, in sensible types and images, and render them more prefent to us by the immediate presence of these types, than 'tis posfible for us to do, merely by an intellectual view and contemplation. Sensible objects have always a greater influence on the fancy than any other; and this influence they readily convey to those ideas, to which they are related, and which they refemble. I shall only infer from these practices, and this reasoning, that the effect of refemblance in enlivening the ideas is very common; and as in every case a resemblance and a present impression must concur, we are abundantly supplied with experiments to prove the reality of the foregoing principle.

We may add force to these experiments by others of a different kind, in considering the effects of contiguity as well as of resemblance. 'Tis certain that distance diminishes the force of every idea, and that upon our approach to any object; tho' it does not discover itself to our senses; it operates upon the mind with an influence, which imitates an immediate impression. The thinking on any object readily transports the mind to what is contiguous; but 'tis only the actual presence of an object, that transports it with a superior vivacity. When

Iam

I am a few miles from home, whatever relates to it touches me more nearly than when I am two hundred leagues distant; tho' even at that distance the reslecting on any thing in the neighbourhood of my friends or family naturally produces an idea of them. But as in this latter case, both the objects of the mind are ideas; notwithstanding there is an easy transition between them; that transition alone is not able to give a superior vivacity to any of the ideas, for want of some immediate impression *.

No one can doubt but causation has the same influence as the other two relations of resemblance and contiguity. Superstitious people are fond of the relicts of saints and holy men, for the same reason, that they seek after types or images, in order to enliven their devotion, and give them a more intimate and strong conception of those exemplary lives, which they desire to imitate. Now 'tis evident, that one of the best relicts, which a devotee could procure, would be the handywork of a faint; and if his cloaths and surniture are ever to be considered in this light, 'tis because they were once at his disposal, and were moved and affected by him; in which respect they are to be considered as impersed effects, and as con-

[&]quot;Alturane nobis, inquit, datum dicam, an errore quodam, ut, cum"

"e a loca videamus, in quibus memoria dignos viros acceperimus multum

"effe versatos, magis moveamur, quam siquando eorum ipsorum aut facta

"audiamus aut scriptum aliquod legamus? Velut ego nunc moveor. Venit

"enim mihi Platonis in mentem, quem accepimus primum hse dispu
"tare solitum: Cujus etiam illi hortuli propinqui non memoriam solum

"mihi afferunt, sed ipsum videntur in conspectu meo hse ponere. Hie

"Speusippus, hie Xenocrates, hie ejus auditor Polemo; cujus

"ipsa illa sessio suit, quam videamus. Equidem etiam curiam nostram,

"Hostiliam dico, non hane novam, quæ mihi minor esse videtur post
"quam est major, solebam intuens, Scipionem, Catonem, Lælium,

"nostrum vero in primis avum cogitare. Tanta vis admonitionis est in

st locis; ut non sine causa ex his memoriæ deducta sit disciplina." Cicero

nected with him by a shorter chain of consequences than any of those, by which we learn the reality of his existence.

Suppose, that the son of a friend, who had been long dead or absent, were presented to us; 'tis evident, that this object would instantly revive its correlative idea, and recal to our thoughts all past intimacies and familiarities in more lively colours than they would otherwise have appeared to us. This is another phænomenon, which seems to prove the principle above-mentioned.

We may observe, that in these phænomena the belief of the correlative object is always pre-supposed; without which the relation could have no effect in enlivening the idea. The influence of the picture supposes, that we believe our friend to have once existed. Contiguity to home can never excite our ideas of home, unless we believe that it really exists. Now I affert, that this belief, where it reaches beyond the memory or fenses, is of a fimilar nature, and arises from fimilar causes, with the transition of thought and vivacity of conception here explained. When I throw a piece of dry wood into a fire, my mind is immediately carried to conceive, that it augments, not extinguishes the flame. This transition of thought from the cause to the effect proceeds not from reason. It derives its origin altogether from custom and experience. And as it first begins from an object, prefent to the fenses, it renders the idea or conception of flame more strong and lively than any loose, floating reverie of the imagination. That idea arises immediately. The thought moves instantly towards it, and conveys to it all that force of conception, which is derived from the impression present to the senses. When a sword is levelled at my breast, does not the idea of wound and pain strike me more strongly, than when a glass of wine is prefented to me, even tho' by accident this idea should occur after the appearance of the latter object? But what is there in this whole matter to cause such a strong conception, except only a present object and a customary transition to the idea of another object, which we have been accustomed to conjoin with the former? This is the whole operation of the mind in all our conclusions concerning matter of sact and existence; and 'tis a satisfaction to find some analogies, by which it may be explained. The transition from a present object does in all cases give strength and solidity to the related idea.

Here, then, is a kind of pre-established harmony between the course of nature and the succession of our ideas : and tho' the powers and forces, by which the former is governed, be wholly unknown to us; yet our thoughts and conceptions have still, we find, gone on in the same train with the other works of nature. Custom is that admirable principle, by which this correspondence has been effected; so necessary to the subsistence of our species, and the regulation of our conduct, in every circumstance and occurrence of human life. Had not the prefence of an object instantly excited the idea of those objects, commonly conjoined with it, all our knowlege must have been limited to the narrow sphere of our memory and fenses; and we should never have been able to adjust means to ends, or employ our natural powers. either to the producing of good, or avoiding of evil. Those, who delight in the discovery and contemplation of final causes, have here ample subject to employ their wonder and admiration.

I shall add, for a further confirmation of the foregoing theory, that as this operation of the mind, by which we inser like effects from like causes, and vice versa, is so essential to the subsistence of all human creatures, it is not probable that it could be trusted to the fallacious deductions of our reason, which is slow in its operations,

appears

appears not, in any degree, during the first years of infancy; and at best is, in every age and period of human life, extremely liable to error and mistake. 'Tis more conformable to the ordinary wisdom of nature to secure fo necessary an act of the mind, by some instinct, or mechanical tendency, which may be infallible in its operations, may discover itself at the first appearance of life and thought, and may be independent of all the laboured deductions of the understanding. As nature has taught us the use of our limbs, without giving us the knowledge of the muscles and nerves, by which they are actuated; fo has the implanted in us an instinct, which carries forward the thought in a correspondent course to that which she has established among external objects; though we are ignorant of those powers and forces, on which this regular course and succession of objects totally depends.

SECTION VI.

Of PROBABILITY *.

H O' there be no fuch thing as Chance in the world; our ignorance of the real cause of any event has the same influence on the understanding, and begets a like species of belief or opinion.

There is certainly a probability, which arises from a superiority of chances on any side; and according as this superiority encreases, and surpasses the opposite chances, the probability receives a proportionable encrease, and begets still a higher degree of belief or assent to that side, in which we discover the superiority. If a dye were marked with one sigure or number of spots on four sides, and with another sigure or number of spots on the two remaining sides, it would be more probable, that the former would turn up than the latter; the if it had a thousand sides marked in the same manner, and only one side different, the probability would be much higher, and our belief or expectation of the event more steady and secure. This process of the thought or reasoning may seem trivial and obvious; but to those, who consi-

* Mr. Locke divides all arguments into demonstrative and probable. In this view, we must say, that 'tis only probable all men must die, or that the sun will rise to morrow. But to conform our language more to common use, we should divide arguments into demonstrations, proofs, and probabilities. By proofs meaning such arguments from experience as leave no room for doubt or opposition.

F 2

der it more narrowly, it may, perhaps, afford matter for very curious speculations.

It feems evident, that when the mind looks forward to discover the event, which may result from the throw of fuch a dye, it considers the turning up of each particular fide as alike probable; and this is the very nature of chance, to render all the particular events, comprehended in it, entirely equal. But finding a greater number of fides concur in the one event than in the other, the mind is carried more frequently to that 'event, and meets it oftener, in revolving the various possibilities or chances, on which the ultimate result depends. This concurrence of feveral views in one particular event begets immediately, by an inexplicable contrivance of nature, the fentiment of belief, and gives that event the advantage over its antagonist, which is supported by a fmaller number of views, and recurs less frequently to the mind. If we allow, that belief is nothing but a firmer and stronger conception of an object than what attends the mere fictions of the imagination, this operation may, perhaps, in fome measure, be accounted for. The concurrence of these several views or glimpses imprints its idea more strongly on the imagination, gives it superior force and vigour; renders its influence on the passions and affections more sensible; and in a word, begets that reliance or fecurity, which constitutes the nature of belief and opinion.

The case is the same with the probability of causes, as with that of chance. There are some causes, which are entirely uniform and constant in producing a particular effect; and no instance has ever yet been sound of any failure or irregularity in their operation. Fire has always burnt, and water suffocated every human creature: The production of motion by impulse and gravity is an universal law, which has hitherto admitted of

no exception. But there are other causes that have been found more irregular and uncertain; nor has rhubarb proved always a purge, or opium a foporific to every one, who has taken these medicines. 'Tis true, when any cause fails of producing its usual effect, philosophers ascribe not this to any irregularity in nature; but suppose, that some secret causes, in the particular structure of parts, have prevented the operation. Our reasonings, however, and conclusions concerning the event are the fame as if this principle had no place. Being determined by custom to transfer the past to the future, in all our inferences; where the past has been entirely regular and uniform, we expect the event with the greatest assurance, and leave no room for any contrary supposition. where different effects have been found to follow from causes, which are to appearance exactly similar, all these various effects must occur to the mind in transferring the past to the future, and enter into our consideration, when we determine the probability of the event. Tho' we give the preference to that which has been found most usual, and believe that this effect will exist, we must not overlook the other effects, but must give each of them a particular weight and authority, in proportion as we have found it to be more or less frequent. 'Tis more probable, in every place of EUROPE, that there will be frost fometime in JANUARY, than that the weather will continue open throughout that whole month; though this probability varies according to the different climates, and approaches to a certainty in the more northern kingdoms. Here then it feems evident, that when we transfer the past to the future, in order to determine the effect, which will refult from any cause, we transfer all the different events, in the same proportion as they have appeared in the past, and conceive one to have existed a hundred times, for instance, another ten times, and another once. As a great number of views do here concur in one event, they fortify and confirm it to the imagination, beget that fentiment which we call belief, and give it the preference above its antagonist, which is not supported by an equal number of experiments, and occurs not so frequently to the thought in transferring the past to the future. Let any one try to account for this operation of the mind upon any of the received systems of philosophy, and he will be sensible of the difficulty. For my part, I shall think it sufficient, if the present hints excite the curiosity of philosophers, and make them sensible how extremely defective all common theories are, in treating of such curious and such sublime subjects.

SECTION VII.

Of the IDEA of NECESSARY CONNEXION.

PART I.

THE great advantage of the mathematical above the moral sciences consists in this, that the ideas of the former, being fenfible, are always clear and determinate, the smallest distinction between them is immediately perceptible, and the same terms are still expressive of the fame ideas, without ambiguity or variation. oval is never miftaken for a circle, nor an hyperbola for an elipsis. The isosceles and scalenum are distinguished by boundaries more exact than vice and virtue, right and wrong. If any term be defined in geometry, the mind readily, of itself substitutes, on all occasions, the definition for the term defined: Or even when no definition is employed, the object itself may be presented to the fenses, and by that means be steadily and clearly apprehended. But the finer fentiments of the mind, the operations of the understanding, the various agitations of the passions, tho' really in themselves distinct, easily escape us, when surveyed by reflection; nor is it in our power to recall the original object, as often as we have occasion to contemplate it. Ambiguity, by this means, is gradually introduced into our reasonings: Similar objects are readily taken to be the same: And the conclufion becomes at last very wide of the premises.

F 4

One

One may fafely, however, affirm, that, if we consider these sciences in a proper light, their advantages and difadvantages very nearly compensate each other, and reduce both of them to a state of equality. If the mind with greater facility retains the ideas of geometry clear and determinate, it must carry on a much longer and more intricate chain of reasoning, and compare ideas much wider of each other, in order to reach the abstrucer. truths of that science. And if moral ideas are apt, without extreme care, to fall into obscurity and confusion, the inferences are always much shorter in these disquisitions, and the intermediate steps, which lead to the conclusion, much fewer than in the sciences which treat of quantity and number. In reality, there is fcarce a proposition in Euclid fo simple, as not to consist of more parts, than are to be found in any moral reasoning which runs not into chimera and conceit. Where we trace the principles of the human mind through but a few steps, we may be very well fatisfied with our progress; confidering how foon nature throws a bar to all our inquiries concerning causes, and reduces us to an acknowlegement of our ignorance. The chief obstacle, therefore, to our improvement in the moral or metaphyfical fciences is the obscurity of the ideas, and ambiguity of the terms. The principal difficulty in the mathematics is the length of inferences and compass of thought, requisite to the forming any conclusion. And perhaps, our progress in natural philosophy is chiefly retarded by the want of proper experiments and phænomena, which often are discovered by chance, and cannot always be found, when requifite, even by the most diligent and prudent enquiry. As moral philosophy seems hitherto to have received less improvements than either geometry or physics, we may conclude, that, if there be any difference in this respect among these sciences, the difficulties, which obstruct

the progress of the former, require superior care and capacity to be surmounted.

There are no ideas, which occur in metaphyfics, more obscure and uncertain, than those of power, force, energy, or necessary connexion, of which it is every moment necessary for us to treat in all our disquisitions. We shall, therefore endeavour, in this section, to fix, if possible, the precise meaning of these terms, and thereby remove some part of that obscurity, which is so much complained of in this species of philosophy.

It feems a propolition, which will not admit of much dispute, that all our ideas are nothing but copies of our impressions or, in other words, that 'tis impossible for us to think of any thing, which we have not antecedently felt, either by our external or internal fenses. have endeavoured * to explain and prove this proposition. and have expressed my hopes, that, by a proper application of it, men may reach a greater clearness and precifion in philosophical reasonings, than what they have hitherto been ever able to attain. Complex ideas may perhaps, be well known by definition, which is nothing but an enumeration of those parts or simple ideas, that compose them. But when we have pushed up definitions to the most simple ideas, and find still some ambiguity and obscurity; what resource are we then possessed of? By what invention can we throw light upon these ideas, and render them altogether precise and determinate to our intellectual view? Produce the impressions or original fentiments, from which the ideas are copied. These impressions are all strong and sensible. They admit not of ambiguity. They are not only placed in a full light themselves, but may throw light on their correspondent ideas, which lie in obscurity. And by this means, we may, perhaps, attain a new microscope or species of optics, by which in the moral sciences, the most minute, and most simple ideas may be so enlarged as to fall readily under our apprehension, and be equally known with the grossest and most sensible ideas, which can be the object of our enquiry.

To be fully acquainted therefore, with the idea of power or necessary connexion, let us examine its impression; and in order to find the impression with greater certainty, let us search for it in all the sources, from which it may possibly be derived.

When we look about us towards external objects, and confider the operation of causes, we are never able, in a fingle instance, to discover any power or necessary connexion; any quality, which binds the effect to the cause, and renders the one an infallible consequence of the other. We only find, that the one does actually, in fact, follow the other. The impulse of one billiard ball is attended with motion in the second. This is the whole that appears to the outward senses. The mind seels no sentiment or inward impression from this succession of objects: Consequently, there is not, in any single, particular instance of cause and effect, any thing which can suggest the idea of power or necessary connexion.

From the first appearance of an object, we never can conjecture what effect will result from it. But were the power or energy of any cause discoverable by the mind, we could foresee the effect, even without experience, and might, at first, pronounce with certainty concerning it, by the mere dint of thought and reasoning.

In reality, there is no part of matter, that does ever, by its fensible qualities, discover any power or energy, or give us ground to imagine, that it could produce any thing, or be followed by any other object, which we could denominate its effect. Solidity, extension, mo-

tion;

tion; these qualities are all complete in themselves, and never point out any other event which may result from them. The scenes of the universe are continually shifting, and one object follows another in an uninterrupted succession; but the power or force, which actuates the whole machine, is intirely concealed from us, and never discovers itself in any of the sensible qualities of body. We know, that, in fact, heat is a constant attendant of slame; but what is the connexion between them, we have no room so much as to conjecture or imagine. 'Tis impossible, therefore, that the idea of power can be derived from the contemplation of bodies, in single instances of their operation; because no bodies ever discover any power, which can be the original of this idea*.

Since, therefore, external objects, as they appear to the fenfes, give us no idea of power or necessary connection, by their operations in particular inflances, let us fee, whether this idea be derived from reflection on the operations of our own minds, and be copied from any internal impression. It may be faid, that we are every moment conscious of power in our own minds; while we feel, that, by the simple command of our will, we can move the organs of our body, or direct the faculties of our minds, in their operation. An act of volition produces motion in our limbs, or raises a new idea in our imagination. This influence of the will we know by consciousness. Hence we acquire the idea of power or energy; and are certain, that we ourselves and all other intelligent beings are possessed of power. This idea, then, is an idea of reflection, fince it arises from reflect-

^{*} Mr. Locks, in his chapter of power, fays, that finding from experience, that there are fleveral new productions in matter, and concluding that there must somewhere be a power capable of producing them, we arrive at last by this reasoning at the idea of power. But no reasoning can ever give us a new, original, simple idea; as this philosopher himself consesses. This therefore, can never be the origin of that idea.

ing on the operations of our own minds, and on the command which is exercised by will, both over the organs of the body and faculties of the mind.

We shall proceed to examine this pretension; and sirst with regard to the influence of volition over the organs of the body. This influence, we may observe, is a fact, which, like all other natural operations, can be known only by experience, and can never be foreseen from any apparent energy or power in the cause, which connects it with the effect, and renders the one an infallible consequence of the other. The motion of our body sollows upon the command of our will. Of this we are every moment conscious: But the means, by which this is effected; the energy, by which the will performs so extraordinary an operation; of this we are so far from being immediately conscious, that it must for ever escape our most diligent inquiry.

For first; is there any principle in all nature more mysterious than the union of soul with body; by which a supposed spiritual substance acquires such an influence over a material one, that the most refined thought is able to actuate the grossest matter? Were we empowered, by a secret wish, to remove mountains, or control the planets in their orbit; this extensive authority would not be more extraordinary, nor more beyond our comprehension. But if by consciousness we perceived any power or energy in the will, we must know this power; we must know its connexion with the effect; we must know the secret union of soul and body, and the nature of both these substances; by which the one is able to operate, in so many instances, upon the other.

Secondly, We are not able to move all the organs of the body with a like authority; tho' we cannot affign any other reason, besides experience, for so remarkable a difference between one and the other. Why has the will

an influence over the tongue and fingers, and not over the heart or liver? This question would never embarrass us, were we conscious of a power in the former case, and not in the latter. We should then perceive, independent of experience, why the authority of will over the organs of the body is circumscribed within such particular limits. Being in that case fully acquainted with the power or force, by which it operates, we should also know, why its influence reaches precisely to such boundaries, and no farther.

A man, struck suddenly with a palsy in the leg or arm, or who had newly lost those members, frequently endeavours, at first to move them, and employ them in their usual offices. Here he is as much conscious of power to command such limbs as a man in perfect health is confcious of power to actuate any member which remains in its natural state and condition. But consciousness never deceives. Consequently, neither in the one case nor in the other, are we ever conscious of any power. We learn the influence of our will from experience alone. And experience only teaches us, how one event constantly follows another without instructing us in the secret connexion, which binds them together, and renders them inseparable.

Thirdly, We learn from anatomy, that the immediate object of power in voluntary motion, is not the member itself which is moved, but certain muscles, and nerves, and animal spirits, and perhaps, something still more minute and more unknown, thro' which the motion is successively propagated, ere it reach the member itself whose motion is the immediate object of volition. Can there be a more certain proof, that the power, by which this whole operation is performed, so far from being directly and fully known by an inward sentiment or consciousness, is, to the last degree, mysterious and unintelligible? Here

Here the mind wills a certain event: Immediately, another event, unknown to ourselves, and totally different from that intended, is produced: This event produces another, equally unknown: till at last, thro' a long succession, the desired event is produced. But if the original power were selt, it must be known: Were it known, its effect must also be known; since all power is relative to its effect. And vice versa, if the effect be not known, the power cannot be known or selt. How indeed can we be conscious of a power to move our limbs, when we have no such power; but only that to move certain animal spirits, which, tho' they produce at last the motion of our limbs, yet operate in such a manner as is wholly beyond our comprehension?

We may, therefore, conclude from the whole, I hope without any temerity, tho' with affurance; that our idea of power is not copied from any fentiment or consciousness of power within ourselves, when we give rise to animal motion, or apply our limbs to their proper use and office. That their motion follows the command of the will is a matter of common experience, like other natural events: But the power or energy, hy which this is effected, like that in other natural events, is unknown and inconceivable *.

^{*} It may be pretended, that the relistance which we meet with in bodies, obliging us frequently to exert our force, and call up all our power, this gives us the idea of force and power. 'Tis this nifus or strong endeavour, of which we are conscious, that is the original impression from which this idea is copied. But, firft, we attribute power to a vast number of objects, where we never can suppose this resistance or exertion of force to take place; to the Supreme Being, who never meets with any refistance; to the mind in its command over its ideas and limbs, in common thinking and motion, where the effect follows immediately upon the will, without any exertion or fummoning up of force; to inanimate matter, which is not capable of this fentiment. Secondly, This fentiment of an endeavour to overcome refistance has no known connexion with any event: What follows it, we know by experience; but could not know it à priori. It must, however, be confessed, that the animal nifus, which we experience, tho' it can afford no accurate precise idea of power, enters very much into that vulgar, inaccurate idea, which is formed of it. See p. Sg. Shall

Shall we then affert, that we are conscious of a power or energy in our own minds, when, by an act or command of our will, we raise up a new idea, fix the mind to a contemplation of it, turn it on all sides, and at last dismiss it for some other idea, when we think that we have surveyed it with sufficient accuracy? I believe the same arguments will prove, that even this command of the will gives us no real idea of sorce or energy.

First, It must be allowed, that when we know a power. we know that very circumstance in the cause, by which it is enabled to produce the effect: For these are supposed to be synonimous. We must, therefore, know both the cause and effect, and the relation between them. But do we pretend to be acquainted with the nature of the human foul and the nature of an idea, or the aptitude of the one to produce the other? This is a real creation; a production of something out of nothing: Which implies a power fo great, that it may feem, at first fight, beyond the reach of any being, less than infinite. At least it must be owned, that such a power is not felt, nor known, nor even conceivable by the mind. We only feel the event, viz. the existence of an idea, consequent to a command of the will': But the manner, in which this operation is performed; the power, by which it is produced; is intirely beyond our comprehension.

Secondly, The command of the mind over itself is limited, as well as its command over the body; and these limits are not known by reason, or any acquaintance with the nature of cause and effect; but only by experience and observation, as in all other natural events and in the operation of external objects. Our authority over our sentiments and passions is much weaker than that over our ideas; and even the latter authority is circumscribed within very narrow boundaries. Will any one pretend to assign the ultimate reason of these boundaries,

daries, or show why the power is deficient in one case and not in another.

Thirdly, This felf-command is very different at different times. A man in health possesses more of it, than one languishing with sickness. We are more master of our thoughts in the morning than in the evening: Fasting, than after a full meal. Can we give any reason for these variations, except experience? Where then is the power, of which we pretend to be conscious? Is there not here, either in a spiritual or material substance, or both, some secret mechanism or structure of parts, upon which the effect depends, and which, being intirely unknown to us, renders the power or energy of the will equally unknown and incomprehensible?

Volition is furely an act of the mind, with which we are fufficiently acquainted. Reflect upon it. Confider it on all fides. Do you find any thing in it like this creative power, by which it raises from nothing a new idea, and with a kind of Fiat, imitates the omnipotence of its Maker, if I may be allowed so to speak, who called forth into existence all the various scenes of nature? So far from being conscious of this energy in the will, it requires as certain experience, as that of which we are possessed, to convince us, that such extraordinary effects do ever result from a simple act of volition.

The generality of mankind never find any difficulty in accounting for the more common and familiar operations of nature; such as the descent of heavy bodies, the growth of plants, the generation of animals, or the nourishment of bodies by sood: But suppose, that, in all these cases, they perceive the very force or energy of the cause, by which it is connected with its effect, and is for ever infallible in its operation. They acquire, by long habit, such a turn of mind, that, upon the appearance of the cause, they immediately expect with assurance its

ufual

usual attendant, and hardly conceive it possible, that any other event could refult from it. 'Tis only on the difcovery of extraordinary phænomena, fuch as earthquakes, pestilence, and prodigies of any kind, that they find themselves at a loss to assign a proper cause, and to explain the manner in which the effect is produced by it. Tis usual for men, in such difficulties, to have recourse to fome invisible intelligent principle *, as the immediate cause of that event, which surprises them, and which, they think, cannot be accounted for from the common powers of nature. But philosophers, who carry their scrutiny a little farther, immediately perceive, that, even in the most familiar events, the energy of the cause is as unintelligible as in the most unusual, and that we only learn by experience the frequent Conjunction of objects, without being ever able to comprehend any thing like CONNEXION between them. Here then, many philosophers think themselves obliged by reason to have recourse, on all occasions, to the same principle, which the vulgar never appeal to but in cases, that appear miraculous and fupernatural. They acknowlege mind and intelligence to be, not only the ultimate and original cause of all things, but the immediate and sole cause of every event, which appears in nature. They pretend, that those objects, which are commonly denominated causes, are in reality nothing but occasions; and that the true and direct principle of every effect is not any power or force in nature, but a volition of the Supreme Being, who wills, that such particular objects should, for ever, be conjoined with each other. Instead of faying, that one billiard-ball moves another, by a force which it has derived from the author of nature; 'tis the Deity himself, fay they, who, by a particular volition, moves the fecond ball, being determined to this operation by the impulse

^{*} ОЕФ апо илхатис.

of the first ball; in consequence of those general laws. which he has laid down to himself in the government of the universe. But philosophers, advancing still in their inquiries, discover, that, as we are totally ignorant of the power on which depends the mutual operation of bodies, we are no less ignorant of that power, on which depends the operation of mind on body, or of body on mind; nor are we able, either from our fenfes or consciousness, to assign the ultimate principle in one case, more than in the other. The same ignorance, therefore, reduces them to the fame conclusion. They affert, that the Deity is the immediate cause of the union between foul and body, and that they are not the organs of fense, which, being agitated by external objects, produce fenfations in the mind; but that 'tis a particular volition of our omnipotent Maker, which excites fuch a fensation. in confequence of fuch a motion in the organ. manner, it is not any energy in the will, that produces local motion in our members: 'Tis God himself, who is pleased to second our will, in itself impotent, and to command that motion, which we erroneously attribute to our own power and efficacy. Nor do philosophers stop at this conclusion. They fometimes extend the same inference to the mind itself, in its internal operations. Our mental vision or conception of ideas is nothing but a revelation made to us by our Maker. When we voluntarily turn our thoughts to any object, and raife up its image in the fancy; it is not the will which creates that idea: 'Tis the universal Creator of all things, who discovers it to the mind, and renders it present to us.

Thus, according to these philosophers, every thing is full of God. Not contented with the principle, that nothing exists but by his will, that nothing possesses any power but by his concession: They rob nature, and all created beings, of every power, in order to render their dependance on the Deity still more sensible and immediate.

diate. They consider not, that by this theory they diminish, instead of magnifying, the grandeur of those attributes which they affect so much to celebrate. It argues surely more power in the Deity to delegate a certain degree of power to inserior creatures, than to operate every thing by his own immediate volition. It argues more wisdom to contrive at first the sabric of the world with such perfect foresight, that of itself, and by its proper operation, it may serve all the purposes of providence, than if the great Creator were obliged every moment to adjust its parts, and animate by his breath all the wheels of that stupendous machine.

But if we would have a more philosophical consutation of this theory, perhaps the two following reflections may suffice.

First, It seems to me, that this theory of the univerfal energy and operation of the Supreme Being, is too bold ever to carry conviction with it to a man who is fufficiently apprized of the weakness of human reason, and the narrow limits, to which it is confined in all its operations. Tho' the chain of arguments, which conduct to it, were ever so logical, there must arise a strong suspicion, if not an absolute assurance, that it has carried us quite beyond the reach of our faculties, when it leads to conclusions so extraordinary, and so remote from common life and experience. We are got into fairy land, long ere we have reached the last steps of our theory; and there we have no reason to trust our common methods of argument, or to think that our usual analogies and probabilities have any authority. Our line is too short to fathom such immense abysses. And however we may flatter ourselves, that we are guided, in every step which we take, by a kind of verifimilitude and experience; we may be assured, that this fancied experience has no authority when we thus apply it to subjects that lie intirely

out of the sphere of experience. But on this we shall have occasion to touch afterwards *.

Secondly, I cannot perceive any force in the arguments on which this theory is founded. We are ignorant, 'tis true, of the manner in which bodies operate on each other: Their force or energy is intirely incomprehenfible. But are we not equally ignorant of the manner or force, by which a mind, even the Supreme Mind, operates either on itself or on body? Whence, I beseech you, do we acquire any idea of it? We have no fentiment or consciousness of this power in ourselves. We have no idea of the Supreme Being but what we learn from reflection on our own faculties. Were our ignorance, therefore, a good reason for rejecting any thing, we should be led into that principle of denying all energy in the Supreme Being as much as in the groffest matter. We furely comprehend as little the operations of one as of the other. Is it more difficult to conceive, that motion may arise from impulse, than that it may arise from volition? All we know is our profound ignorance in both cases +.

PART II.

But to hasten to a conclusion of this argument, which is already drawn out to too great a length: We have fought in vain for an idea of power or necessary connexion,

^{*} Section XII.

[†] I need not examine at length the wis inertiæ which is so much talked of in the new philosophy, and which is ascribed to matter. We find by experience, that a body at rest or in motion continues for ever in its present state, till put from it by some new cause: And that a body impelled takes as much motion from the impelling body as it acquires itself. These are facts. When we call this a vis inertiæ, we only mark these facts, without pretending to have any idea of the inert power; in the same manner as, when we talk of gravity, we mean certain effects without comprehending that active

nexion, in all the fources from which we could suppose it to be derived. / It appears, that, in fingle instances of the operation of bodies, we never can, by our utmost scrutiny, discover any thing but one event following another; without being able to comprehend any force or power, by which the cause operates, or any connexion between it and its supposed effect. The same difficulty occurs in contemplating the operations of mind on body where we observe the motion of the latter to follow upon the volition of the former; but are not able to observe nor conceive the tye, which binds together the motion and volition, or the energy by which the mind produces this effect. The authority of the will over its own faculties and ideas is not a whit more comprehensible: So that, upon the whole, there appears not, through all nature, any one instance of connexion which is conceivable by us. All events feem intirely loofe and feparate. One event follows another; but we never can observe any tye between them. They seem conjoined, but never connetted. And as we can have no idea of any thing, which mever appeared to our outward sense or inward sentiment, the necessary conclusion feems to be, that we have no idea of connexion or power at all, and that these words are absolutely without any meaning, when em-

active power. It was never the meaning of Sir Isaac New Ton to rob fecond causes of all force or energy; though some of his followers have endeavoured to establish that theory upon his authority. On the contrary, that great philosopher had recourse to an etherial active sluid to explain his universal attraction; though he was so cautious and modest as to allow, that it was a mere hypothesis, not to be insisted on, without more experiments. I must confess, that there is something in the fate of opinions a little extraordinary. Des-Cartes insignated that doctrine of the universal and sole essicacy of the Deity, without insisting on it. Malebranche and other Cartesians made it the soundation of all their philosophy. It had, however, no authority in England. Locke, Clarke, and Cudworth, never so much as take notice of it, but supposed all along, that matter has a real, though subordinate and derived power. By what means has it become so prevalent among our modern metaphysicians?

ployed either in philosophical reasonings, or common life.

But there still remains one method of avoiding this conclusion, and one fource which we have not yet examined. When any natural object or event is presented, tis impossible for us, by any sagacity or penetration, to discover, or even conjecture, without experience, what event will refult from it, or to carry our forefight beyond that object, which is immediately present to the memory and fenses. Even after one instance or experiment, where we have observed a particular event to follow upon another, we are not entitled to form a general rule, or foretel what will happen in like cases; it being justly estcemed an unpardonable temerity to judge of the whole course of nature from one fingle experiment, however accurate or certain. But when one particular species of event has always, in all instances, been conjoined with another, we make no longer any fcruple to foretel the one upon the appearance of the other, and to employ that reasoning, which can alone assure us of any matter of fact or existence. We then call the one object, Cause; and the other, Effect. We suppose that there is some connexion between them; some power in the one, by which it infallibly produces the other, and operates with the greatest certainty and strongest neceffity.

It appears, then, that this idea of a necessary connexion amongst events arises from a number of similar instances, which occur, of the constant conjunction of these events; nor can that idea ever be fuggested by any one of these instances, surveyed in all possible lights and positions. But there is nothing in a number of inflances, different from every fingle instance, which is supposed to be exactly fimilar; except only, that after a repetition of fimilar instances, the mind is carried by habit, upon the ap-

pearance

pearance of one event, to expect its usual attendant, and to believe, that it will exist. This connexion, therefore, which we feel in the mind, or customary transition of the imagination from one object to its usual attendant, is the fentiment or impression, from which we form the idea of power or necessary connexion. Nothing farther is in the case. Contemplate the subject on all sides, you will never find any other origin of this idea. This is the fole difference between one instance, from which we never can receive the idea of connexion, and a number of fimilar instances, by which it is suggested. The first time a man faw the communication of motion by impulse, as by the shock of two billiard balls, he could not pronounce that the one event was connected; but only that it was conjoined with the other. After he has obferved feveral instances of this nature, he then pronounces them to be connected. What alteration has happened to give rife to this new idea of connexion? Nothing but that he now feels these events to be connected in his imagination, and can readily foretel the existence of one from the appearance of the other. When we fay, therefore, that one object is connected with another, we mean only, that they have acquired a connexion in our thoughts, and give rife to this inference, by which they become proofs of each other's existence: A conclusion, which is fomewhat extraordinary; but which feems founded on fufficient evidence. Nor will its evidence be weakened by any general diffidence of the understanding, or sceptical fuspicion concerning every conclusion, which is new and extraordinary. No conclusions can be more agreeable to scepticism than such as make discoveries concerning the weakness and narrow limits of human reason and capacity.

And what stronger instance can be produced of the surprising ignorance and weakness of the understanding,

than the present? For surely, if there be any relation among objects, which it imports us to know perfectly, 'tis that of cause and effect. On this are founded all our reasonings concerning matter of fact or existence. By means of it alone we attain any affurance concerning objects which are removed from the prefent testimony of our memory and fenses. The only immediate utility of all sciences, is to teach us, how to control and regulate future events by their causes. Our thoughts and inquiries are, therefore, every moment, employed about this relation. And yet fo imperfect are the ideas which we form concerning it, that 'tis impossible to give any just definition of cause, except what is drawn from something extraneous and foreign to it. Similar objects are always conjoined with fimilar. Of this we have experience. Suitable to this experience, therefore, we may define a cause to be an object, followed by another, and where all the objects, similar to the first, are followed by objects similar to the second. Or in other words, where, if the first object had not been, the second never had existed. The appearance of a cause always conveys the mind, by a customary transition, to the idea of the effect. Of this also we have experience. We may, therefore, fuitable to this experience, form another definition of cause, and call it, an object followed by another, and whose appearance always conveys the thought to that other. But the' both these definitions be drawn from circumstances foreign to the cause, we cannot remedy this inconvenience, or attain any more perfect definition, which may point but that circumstance in the cause, which gives it a connexion with its effect. We have no idea of this connexion; nor even any distinct notion what it is we desire to know, when we endeavour at a conception of it. We fay, for instance, that the vibration of this string is the cause of this particular found, But what

do we mean by that affirmation? We either mean, that this vibration is followed by this found, and that all fimilar vibrations have been followed by similar founds: Or, that this vibration is followed by this found, and that upon the appearance of one, the mind anticipates the senses, and forms immediately an idea of the other. We may consider the relation of cause and effect in either of these two lights; but beyond these, we have no idea of it *.

To recapitulate, therefore, the reasonings of this section: Every idea is copied from some preceding impression or sentiment; and where we cannot find any im-

* According to these explications and definitions, the idea of power is relative as much as that of cause; and both have a reference to an effect, or some other event constantly conjoined with the former. When we consider the unknown circumstance of an object, by which the degree or quantity of its effect is fixed and determined, we call that its power: And accordingly, 'tis allowed by all philosophers, that the effect is the measure of the power. But if they had any idea of power, as it is in itself, why could not they measure it in itself? The dispute whether the force of a body in motion he as its velocity, or the square of its velocity; this dispute, I say, needed not be decided by comparing its effects in equal or unequal times; but by a direct mensuration and comparison.

As to the frequent use of the words, Force, Power, Energy, &c. which every where occur in common convertation, as well as in philosophy; that is no proof that we are acquainted, in any instance, with the connecting principle between cause and effect, or can account ultimately for the production of one thing by another. These words as commonly used, have very loofe meanings annexed to them; and their ideas are very uncertain and confuled. No animal can put external bodies in motion without the fentiment of a nifus or endeavour; and every animal has a fentiment or feeling from the stroke or blow of an external object, that is in motion. These sensations, which are merely animal, and from which we can à priori draw no inference, we are apt to transfer to inanimate objects, and to suppose, that they have fome furh feelings, whenever they transfer or receive motion. With regard to energies, which are exerted, without our annexing to them any idea of communicated motion, we confider only the constant experienced conjunction of the events; and as we feel a customary connexion between the ideas, we transfer that feeling to the objects; as nothing is more usual than to apply to external bodies every internal sensation, which they accation.

6

pression, we may be certain that there is no idea. In all fingle inflances of the operation of bodies or minds, there is nothing that produces any impression, nor consequently can fuggest any idea of power or necessary connexion. But when many uniform instances appear, and the same object is always followed by the same event; we then begin to entertain the notion of cause and connection. We then feel a new fentiment or impression, viz. a customary connexion in the thought or imagination between one object, and its usual attendant; and this sentiment is the original of that idea which we feek for. For as this idea arifes from a number of fimilar instances, and not from any fingle instance; it must arise from that circumstance, in which the number of instances differ from every individual instance. But this customary connexion or transition of the imagination is the only circumstance, in which they differ. In every other particular they are alike. The first instance which we saw of motion, communicated by the shock of two billiard-balls (to return to this obvious instance) is exactly similar to any instance that may, at prefent, occur to us; except only, that we could not, at first, infer one event from the other; which we are enabled to do at present, after so long a course of uniform experience. I know not, if the reader will readily apprehend this reasoning. I am afraid, that, should I multiply words about it, or throw it into a greater variety of lights, it would only become more obscure and intricate. In all abstract reasonings, there is one point of view, which if we can happily hit, we shall go farther towards illustrating the subject, than by all the eloquence and copious expression in the world. This point of view we should endeavour to reach, and reserve the flowers of rhetoric for fubjects which are more adapted to them.

SECTION VIII.

Of LIBERTY and NECESSITY.

PART I.

I T might reasonably be expected, in questions, which have been canvassed and disputed with great eagerness fince the first origin of science and philosophy, that the meaning of all the terms, at least, should have been agreed upon among the disputants; and our enquiries, in the course of two thousand years, been able to pass from words to the true and real subject of the controversy. For how easy may it feem to give exact definitions of the terms employed in reasoning, and make these definitions, not the mere found of words, the object of future scrutiny and examination? But if we consider the matter more narrowly, we shall be apt to draw a quite opposite conclusion. From that circumstance alone, that a controversy has been long kept on foot, and remains still undecided, we may presume, that there is some ambiguity in the expression, and that the disputants affix different ideas to the terms employed in the controversy. For as the faculties of the foul are supposed to be naturally alike in every individual; otherwise nothing could be more fruitless than to reason or dispute together; it were impossible, if men affix the same ideas to their terms, that they could fo long form different opinions of the same subject; especially when they communicate their views,

views, and each party turn themselves on all sides, in search of arguments, which may give them the victory over their antagonists. 'Tis true, if men attempt the discussion of questions, which lie entirely beyond the reach of human capacity, such as those concerning the origin of worlds, or the occonomy of the intellectual system or region of spirits, they may long beat the air in their fruitless contests, and never arrive at any determinate conclusion. But if the question regard any subject of common life and experience; nothing, one would think, could keep the dispute so long undecided, but some ambiguous expressions, which hold the antagonists still at a distance, and hinder them from grappling with each other.

This has been the case in the long disputed question concerning liberty and necessity; and to so remarkable a degree, that, if I be not much mistaken, we shall find, that all mankind, both learned and ignorant, have been always of the same opinion with regard to that subject, and that a few intelligible definitions would immediately have put an end to the whole controverfy. I own, that this dispute has been so much canvassed, on all hands, and has led Philosophers into such a labyrinth of obscure sophistry, that 'tis no wonder if a sensible reader indulge his ease so far as to turn a deaf ear to the propofal of fuch a question, from which he can expect neither instruction nor entertainment. But the state of the argument here proposed may, perhaps, serve to renew his attention; as it has more novelty, promifes at least some decision of the controversy, and will not much disturb his eafe, by any intricate or obscure reasoning.

I hope, therefore, to make it appear, that all men have ever agreed in the doctrines both of necessity and of liberty, according to any reasonable sense, which can be put on these terms; and that the whole controversy has hitherto turned merely upon words. We shall begin with examining the doctrine of necessity.

'Tis univerfally allowed, that matter, in all its operatione, is actuated by a necessary force, and that every natural effect is so precisely determined by the energy of its cause, that no other effect, in such particular circumstances, could possibly have resulted from the operation of that cause. The degree and direction of every motion is, by the laws of nature, prescribed with such exactness, that a living creature may as soon arise from the shock of two bodies, as motion in any other degree or direction, than what is actually produced by it. Would we, therefore, form a just and precise idea of neversity, we must consider, whence that idea arises, when we apply it to the operation of bodies.

It feems evident, that, if all the fcenes of nature were shifted continually in such a manner, that no two events bore any resemblance to each other, but every object was entirely new, without any fimilitude to whatever had been feen before, we should never, in that case, have attained the least idea of necessity, or of a connexion among these objects. We might say, upon such a supposition, that one object or event has followed another; not that one was produced by the other. The relation of cause and effect must be utterly unknown to mankind. Inference and reasoning concerning the operations of nature would, from that moment, be at an end; and the memory and fenses remain the only canals, by which the knowlege of any real existence could posfibly have access to the mind. Our idea, therefore, of necessity and causation arises entirely from that uniformity, observable in the operations of nature; where fimilar objects are constantly conjoined together, and the mind is determined by custom to infer the one from the appearance of the other. These two circumstances form the whole of that necessity, which we ascribe to matter. Beyond the constant conjunction of similar objects, and the consequent inference from one to the other, we have no notion of any necessity, or connection.

If it appear, therefore, that all mankind have ever allowed, without any doubt or hefitation, that these two circumstances take place in the voluntary actions of men, and in the operations of the mind; it must follow, that all mankind have ever agreed in the doctrine of necessity, and that they have hitherto disputed, merely for not understanding each other.

As to the first circumstance, the constant and regular conjunction of fimilar events; we may possibly fatisfy ourselves by the following considerations. It is universally acknowleged, that there is a great uniformity among the actions of men, in all nations and ages, and that human nature remains still the fame, in its principles and operations. The same motives produce always the same actions: The same events follow from the same causes. Ambition, avarice, felf-love, vanity, friendship, generosity, public spirit; these passions, mixed in various degrees, and distributed thro' fociety, have been, from the beginning of the world, and still are, the source of all the actions and enterprizes, which have ever been observed among mankind. Would you know the fentiments, inclinations, and course of life of the GREEKS and ROMANS? Study well the temper and actions of the FRENCH and ENGLISH. You cannot be much mistaken in transferring to the former most of the observations, which you have made with regard to the latter. kind are so much the same, in all times and places, that history informs us of nothing new or strange in this particular. Its chief use is only to discover the constant and univerfal principles of human nature, by shewing men in all varieties of circumstances and situations, and furnishing

nishing us with materials, from which we may form our observations, and become acquainted with the regular springs of human action and behaviour. These records of wars, intrigues, sactions, and revolutions, are so many collections of experiments, by which the politician or moral philosopher fixes the principles of his science; in the same manner as the physician or natural philosopher becomes acquainted with the nature of plants, minerals, and other external objects, by the experiments, which he forms concerning them. Nor are the earth, water, and other elements, examined by Aristoter, and Hippocrates, more like to those, which at present lie under our observation, than the men, described by Polybbius and Tacitus, are to those who now govern the world.

Should a traveller, returning from a far country, bring us an account of men, entirely different from any, with whom we were ever acquainted; men, who were entirely divested of avarice, ambition, or revenge; who knew no pleasure but friendship, generosity, and public spirit; we should immediately, from these circumstances, detect the falsehood, and prove him a liar, with the same certainty as if he had stuffed his narration with stories of centaurs and dragons, miracles and prodigies. And if we would explode any forgery in history, we cannot make use of a more convincing argument, than to prove, that the actions, ascribed to any person, are directly contrary to the course of nature, and that no human motives, in fuch circumstances, could ever induce him to such a conduct. The veracity of QUINTUS CURTIUS is as much to be suspected, when he describes the supernatural courage of ALEXANDER, by which he was hurried on fingly to attack multitudes, as when he describes his supernatural force and activity, by which he was able to refift them. So readily and univerfally do we acknowlege an uniforuniformity in human motives and actions as well as in the operations of body.

Hence likewise the benefit of that experience, acquired by long life and a variety of business and company, in order to instruct us in the principles of human nature, and regulate our future conduct, as well as speculation. By means of this guide, we mount up to the knowlege of mens inclinations and motives, from their actions, expressions, and even gestures; and again, descend to the interpretation of their actions from our knowlege of their motives and inclinations. The general observations, treasured up by a course of experience, give us the clue of human nature, and teach us to unravel all its in-Pretexts and appearances no longer deceive us. Public declarations pass for the specious colouring of a cause. And the virtue and honour be allowed their proper weight and authority, that perfect difinterestedness, so often pretended to, is never expected in multitudes and parties; feldom in their leaders; and fcarcely even in individuals of any rank or station. But were there no uniformity in human actions, and were every experiment which we could form of this kind irregular and anomalous, it were impossible to collect any general observations concerning mankind; and no experience, however accurately digested by reflection, would ever ferve to any purpose. Why is the aged husbandman more skilful in his calling than the young beginner, but because there is a certain uniformity in the operation of the fun, rain, and earth, towards the production of vegetables; and experience teaches the old practitioner the rules, by which this operation is governed and directed?

We must not, however, expect, that this uniformity of human actions should be carried to such a length, as that all men in the same circumstances, should always act precisely in the same manner, without any allowance for the diversity of characters, prejudices, and opinions. Such an uniformity, in every particular, is scarce sound in any part of nature. On the contrary, from observing the variety of conduct in different men, we are enabled to form a greater variety of maxims, which still suppose a degree of uniformity and regularity.

Are the manners of men different in different ages and countries? We learn thence the great force of custom and education, which mould the human mind from its infancy, and form it into a fixed and established character. Is the behaviour and conduct of the one fex very unlike that of the other? 'Tis from thence we become acquainted with the different characters, which nature has impressed upon the sexes, and which she preserves with constancy and regularity. Are the actions of the fame person much diversified in the different periods of his life, from infancy to old age? This affords room for many general observations concerning the gradual change of our fentiments and inclinations, and the different maxims, which prevail in the different ages of human creatures. Even the characters which are peculiar to each individual, have an uniformity in their influence, otherwise our acquaintance with the persons, and our observation of their conduct could never teach us their dispositions, nor serve to direct our behaviour with regard to them.

I grant it possible to find some actions, which seem to have no regular connexion with any known motives, and are exceptions to all the measures of conduct, which have ever been established for the government of men. But if we would willingly know, what judgment should be formed of such irregular and extraordinary actions; we may consider the sentiments that are commonly entertained with regard to those irregular events, which appear in the course of nature, and the operations of ex
Vol. II.

H ternal

ternal objects. All causes are not conjoined to their usual effects, with like uniformity. The artificer, who handles only dead matter, may be disappointed of his aim as well as the politician, who directs the conduct of sensible and intelligent agents.

The vulgar, who take things according to their first appearance, attribute the uncertainty of events to fuch an uncertainty in the causes as makes the latter often fail of their usual influence; tho' they meet with no impediment in their operation. But philosophers, observing, that almost in every part of nature there is contained a vast variety of springs and principles, which are hid, by reason of their minuteness or remoteness, find, that 'tis at least possible the contrariety of events may not proceed from any contingency in the cause, but from the secret operation of contrary causes. This possibility is converted into certainty by farther observation, when they remark, that, upon an exact ferutiny, a contrariety of effects always betrays a contrariety of causes, and proceeds from their mutual opposition. A peasant can give no better reason for the stopping of any clock or watch than to sav that it commonly does not go right: But an artizan eafily perceives, that the same force in the spring or pendulum has always the same influence on the wheels; but fails of its usual effect, perhaps by reason of a grain of dust, which puts a stop to the whole movement. From the observation of several parallel instances, philosophers form a maxim, that the connexion between all causes and effects is equally necessary, and that its seeming uncertainty in some instances proceeds from the secret opposition of contrary causes.

Thus for instance, in the human body, when the usual fymptoms of health or sickness disappoint our expectation; when medicines operate not with their wonted powers; when irregular events follow from any particular

causes; the philosopher and physician are not surprized at the matter, nor are ever tempted to deny, in general, the necessity and uniformity of those principles, by which the animal economy is conducted. They know, that a human body is a mighty complicated machine: That many secret powers lurk in it, which are altogether beyond our comprehension: That to us it must often appear very uncertain in its operations: And that therefore the irregular events, which outwardly discover themselves, can be no proof, that the laws of nature are not observed with the greatest regularity in its internal operations and government.

The philosopher, if he be consistent, must apply the fame reasonings to the actions and volitions of intelligent agents. The most irregular and unexpected resolutions of men may frequently be accounted for by those who know every particular circumstance of their character and fituation. A person of an obliging disposition gives a peevish answer: But he has the tooth-ake, or has not dined. A flupid fellow discovers an uncommon alacrity in his carriage: But he has met with a fudden piece of good fortune. Or even when an action, as fometimes happens, cannot be particularly accounted for, either by the person himself or by others; we know, in general, that the characters of men are, to a certain degree, inconstant and irregular. This is, in a manner, the constant character of human nature; tho' it be applicable, in a more particular manner, to some persons, who have no fixed rule for their conduct, but proceed in a continued course of caprice and inconstancy. The internal principles and motives may operate in an uniform manner, notwithstanding these seeming irregularities; in the same manner as the winds, rain, clouds, and other variations of the weather are supposed to be governed by steady H 2 prinprinciples; tho' not eafily discoverable by human sagacity and enquiry.

Thus it appears, not only that the conjunction between motives and voluntary actions is as regular and uniform, as that between the cause and effect in any part of nature; but also that this regular conjunction has been univerfally acknowleged among mankind, and has never been the subject of dispute, either in philosophy or common life. Now as it is from past experience, that we draw all inferences concerning the suture, and as we conclude, that objects will always be conjoined together, which we find always to have been conjoined; it may seem superfluous to prove, that this experienced uniformity in human actions is the source of all the inferences, which we form concerning them. But in order to throw the argument into a greater variety of lights, we shall also insist, the briefly, on this latter topic.

The mutual dependance of men is fo great, in all focieties, that scarce any human action is entirely compleat in itself, or is performed without some reference to the actions of others, which are requisite to make it answer fully the intention of the agent. The poorest artificer, who labours alone, expects at least the protection of the magistrate, to ensure the enjoyment of the fruits of his labour. He also expects, that, when he carries his goods to market, and offers them at a reasonable price, he shall find buyers; and shall be able, by the money he acquires, to engage others to supply him with those commodities which are requisite for his fubfistence. In proportion as men extend their dealings, and render their intercourse with others more complicated, they always comprehend, in their schemes of life, a greater variety of voluntary actions, which, they expect, from their proper motives, will co-operate with their own. In all these conclusions, they take their measures from past experience, in the same manner as in their reasonings concerning external objects; and firmly believe, that men, as well as all the elements, are to continue, in their operations, the same, which they have ever found them. A manufacturer reckons upon the labour of his servants, for the execution of any work, as much as upon the tools, which he employs, and would be equally surprized, were his expectations disappointed. In short, this experimental inference and reasoning concerning the actions of others enters so much into human life, that no man, while awake, is ever a moment without employing it. Have we not reason, therefore, to affirm, that all mankind have always agreed in the doctrine of necessity, according to the foregoing definition and explication of it?

Nor have philosophers ever entertained a different opinion from the people in this particular. For not to mention, that almost every action of their life supposes that opinion; there are even few of the speculative or practical parts of learning, to which it is not effential. What would become of bistory, had we not a dependence on the veracity of the historian, according to the experience, which we have had of mankind? How could politics be a science, if laws and forms of government had not an uniform influence upon fociety? Where would be the foundation of morals, if particular characters had no certain nor determinate power to produce particular fentiments, and if these sentiments had no constant operations on action? And with what pretence could we employ our criticism upon any poet or polite author, if we could not pronounce the conduct and sentiments of his actors, either natural or unnatural, to fuch characters, and in fuch circumstances? It seems almost impossible, therefore, to engage, either in science or action of any kind, without acknowleging the doctrine of necessity, and this infe-

rence from motives to voluntary actions; from characters to conduct.

And indeed, when we confider how aptly natural and moral evidence link together, and form only one chain of argument, we shall make no scruple to allow, that they are of the same nature, and derived from the same principles. A prisoner, who has neither money nor interest, discovers the impossibility of his escape, as well when he confiders the obstinacy of the gaoler, as the walls and bars, with which he is furrounded; and in all attempts for his freedom, chuses rather to work upon the stone and iron of the one, than upon the inflexible nature of the other. The fame prisoner, when conducted to the scaffold, foresees his death as certainly from the constancy and fidelity of his guards, as from the operation of the ax or wheel. His mind runs along a certain train of ideas: The refusal of the soldiers to permit his escape; the action of the executioner; the separation of the head and body; bleeding, convultive motions, and death. Here is a connected chain of natural causes and voluntary actions; but the mind feels no difference between them, in passing from one link to another: Nor is less certain of the future event than if it were connected with the objects present to the memory or fenses, by a train of causes, cemented together by what we are pleased to call a physical necessity. The same experienced union has the same effect on the mind, whether the united objects be motives, volitions, and actions; or figure and motion. We may change the names of things; but their nature and their operation on the understanding never change.

I have frequently confidered, what could possibly be the reason, why all mankind, tho' they have ever, without hesitation, acknowleged the doctrine of necessity,

in their whole practice and reasoning, have yet discovered such a reluctance to acknowlege it in words, and have rather shewn a propensity, in all ages, to profess the contrary opinion. The matter, I think, may be accounted for, after the following manner. If we examine the operations of bodies and the production of effects from their causes, we shall find, that all our faculties can never carry us farther in our knowlege of this relation, than barely to observe, that particular objects are constantly conjoined together, and that the mind is carried by a customary transition, from the appearance of one to the belief of the other. But tho' this conclusion concerning human ignorance be the result of the strictest scrutiny of this subject, men still entertain a strong propenfity to believe, that they penetrate farther into the powers of nature, and perceive something like a necesfary connexion between the cause and the effect. When again they turn their reflections towards the operations of their own minds, and feel no such connexion of the motive and the action; they are apt, from thence, to suppose, that there is a difference between the effects, refulting from material force, and those which arise from thought and intelligence. But being once convinced, that we know nothing farther of causation of any kind, than merely the constant conjunction of objects, and the consequent inference of the mind from one to another, and finding, that these two circumstances are universally acknowleged to have place in voluntary actions; we may thence be more eafily led to own the same necessity common to all causes. And tho' this reasoning may contradict the fystems of many philosophers, in ascribing necessity to the determinations of the will, we shall find, upon reflection, that they diffent from it in words only, not in their real fentiments. Necessity, according to the fense, in which it is here taken, has never yet been rejected, jected, nor can ever, I think, be rejected by any philofopher. It may only, perhaps, be pretended, that the mind can perceive, in the operations of matter, fome farther connexion between the cause and effect; and a connexion which has not place in the voluntary actions of intelligent beings. Now whether it be so or not, can only appear upon examination; and it is incumbent on these philosophers to make good their affertion, by defining or describing that necessity, and pointing it out to us, in the operations of material causes.

It would feem, indeed, that men begin at the wrong end of this question concerning liberty and necessity, when they enter upon it by examining the faculties of the foul, the influence of the understanding, and the operations of Let them first discuss a more simple question, namely, the operations of body and of brute unintelligent matter; and try whether they can there form any idea of causation and necessity, except that of a constant conjunction of objects, and subsequent inference of the mind from one to another. If these circumstances form, in reality, the whole of that necessity, which we can conceive in matter, and if these circumstances be also univerfally acknowleged to take place in the operations of the mind, the dispute is at an end; or, at least, must be owned to be thenceforth merely verbal. But as long as we will rathly suppose, that we have some farther idea of necessity and causation in the operations of external objects; at the same time, that we can find nothing farther, in the voluntary actions of the mind; there is no possibility of bringing the dispute to any determinate issue, while we proceed upon fo erroneous a supposition. only method of undeceiving us, is, to mount up higher: to examine the narrow extent of science, when applied to material causes; and to convince ourselves, that all we know of them, is, the constant conjunction and inferençe

ference above-mentioned. We may, perhaps, find, that 'tis with difficulty we are induced to fix fuch narrow limits to human understanding. But we can afterwards find no difficulty, when we come to apply this doctrine to the actions of the will, For as 'tis evident, that these have a regular conjunction with motives and circumstances and characters, and as we always draw inferences from the one to the other, we must be obliged to acknowlege in words, that necessity, which we have already avowed, in every deliberation of our lives, and in every step of our conduct and behaviour*,

But

* The prevalence of the doctrine of liberty may be accounted for, from another cause, viz. a false sensation or seeming experience which we have, or may have, of liberty or indifference, in many of our actions. The necessity of any action, whether of matter or of mind, is not, properly speaking, a quality in the agent, but in any thinking or int lligent being, who may confider the action; and it confifts chiefly in the determination of his thoughts to infer the existence of that action from some preceding objects; as liberty, when opposed to necessity, is nothing but the want of that determination. and a certain looseness or indifference, which we feel, in passing, or not pasfing, from the idea of one object to that of any fucceeding one. Now we may observe, that tho' in reflecting on human actions we seldem feel such a loofeness or indifference, but are commonly able to infer them with confiderable certainty from their motives, and from the dispositions of the agent; yet it frequently happens, that, in performing the actions themselves. we are fensible of fomething like it: And as all refembling objects are readily taken for each other, this has been employed as a demonstrative and even an intuitive proof of human liberty. We feel, that our actions are subject to our will, on most occasions; and imagine we feel, that the will itself is subject to nothing, because, when by a denial of it we are provoked to try. we feel that it moves easily every way, and produces an image of itself, (or a Velleity, as it is called in the schools) even on that side, on which it did not fettle. This image, or faint motion, we persuade ourselves, could, at that time, have been compleated into the thing itself; because, should that be denied, we find, upon a second trial, that, at present, it can. We confider not, that the fantastical defire of shewing liberty, is here the motive of our actions. And it feems certain, that however we may imagine we feel a liberty within ourselves, a spectator can commonly infer our actions from our motives and character; and even where he cannot, he concludes in general, that he might, were he perfectly acquainted with every circumstance

306

But to proceed in this reconciling project with regard to the question of liberty and necessity; the most contentious question, of metaphysics, the most contentious science; it will not require many words to prove, that all mankind have ever agreed in the doctrine of liberty as well as in that of necessity, and that the whole dispute, in this respect also, has been hitherto merely verbal. For what is meant by liberty, when applied to voluntary actions? We cannot furely mean, that actions have fo little connexion with motives, inclinations, and circumstances, that the one does not follow with a certain degree of uniformity from the other, and that the one affords no inference from which we can conclude the existence of the other. For these are plain and acknowleged matters of fact. By liberty, then, we can only mean a power of acting or not acting, according to the determinations of the will; that is, if we chuse to remain at rest, we may; if we chuse to move, we also may. Now this hypothetical liberty is univerfally allowed to belong to every body, who is not a prisoner and in chains. Here then is no subject of dispute.

Whatever definition we may give of liberty, we should be careful to observe two requisite circumstances; first, that it be consistent with plain matter of fact; secondly, that it be confistent with itself. If we observe these circumstances, and render our definition intelligible, I am perfuaded that all mankind will be found of one opinion with regard to it.

'Tis univerfally allowed, that nothing exists without a cause of its existence, and that chance, when strictly examined, is a mere negative word, and means not any real power, which has, any where, a being in nature.

of our fituation and temper, and the most secret springs of our complexion and disposition. Now this is the very essence of necessity, according to the foregoing doctrine. But

But 'tis pretended that some causes are necessary, and fome are not necessary. Here then is the admirable advantage of definitions. Let any one define a cause, without comprehending, as a part of the definition, a necessary connexion with its effect; and let him shew distinctly the origin of the idea, expressed by the definition; and I shall frankly give up the whole controversy. But if the foregoing explication of the matter be received, this must be absolutely impracticable. Had not objects a regular conjunction with each other, we should never have entertained any notion of cause and effect; and this regular conjunction produces that inference of the understanding, which is the only connexion, that we can have any comprehension of. Whoever attempts a definition of cause, exclusive of these circumstances, will be obliged, either to employ unint elligible terms, or fuch as are fynonimous to the term, which he endeavours to define * And if the definition above-mentioned be admitted; liberty, when opposed to necessity, not to constraint, is the same thing with chance; which is univerfally allowed to have no existence.

PART II.

There is no method of reasoning more common, and yet none more blameable, than in philosophical debates, to endeavour the resultation of any hypothesis, by a pretence of its dangerous consequences to religion and mo-

^{*} Thus if a cause be defined, that which produces any thing; 'tis easy to observe, that producing is synonimous to causing. In like manner, if a cause be defined, that by which any thing exists; this is liable to the same objection. For what is meant by these words, by which? Had it been said, that a cause is that after which any thing constantly exists; we should have understood the terms. For this is, indeed, all we know of the matter. And this constancy forms the very effence of necessity, nor have we any other idea of it.

rality. When any opinion leads into abfurdities, 'tis certainly false; but it is not certain that an opinion is false, because 'tis of dangerous consequence. Such topics, therefore, ought entirely to be forborne; as serving nothing to the discovery of truth, but only to make the person of an antagonist odious. This I observe in general, without pretending to draw any advantage from it. I submit frankly to an examination of this kind, and shall venture to affirm, that the doctrines, both of necessity and of liberty, as above explained, are not only consistent with morality and religion, but are absolutely essential to the support of them.

Necessity may be defined two ways, conformable to the two definitions of cause, of which it makes an essential part. It consists either in the constant conjunction of like objects, or in the inference of the understanding from one object to another. Now necessity, in both these senses, (which, indeed, are, at bottom, the same) has univerfally, tho' tacitly, in the schools, in the pulpit, and in common life, been allowed to belong to the will of man; and no one has ever pretended to deny, that we can draw inferences concerning human actions, and that those inferences are founded in the experienced union of like actions, with like motives, inclinations, and circumstances. The only particular, in which any one can differ, is, that either, perhaps, he will refuse to give the name of necessity to this property of human actions: But as long as the meaning is understood, I hope the word can do no harm: Or that he will maintain it possible to discover something farther in the operations of matter. But this, it must be acknowleged, can be of no consequence to morality and religion, whatever it may be to natural philosophy or metaphysics. We may here be mistaken in afferting, that there is no idea of

any other necessity or connexion in the actions of body: But surely we ascribe nothing to the actions of the mind, but what every one does, and must readily allow of. We change no circumstance in the received orthodox system with regard to the will, but only in that with regard to material objects and causes. Nothing therefore can be more innocent, at least, than this doctrine.

All laws being founded on rewards and punishments, 'tis supposed as a sundamental principle, that these motives have a regular and uniform influence on the mind, and both produce the good and prevent the evil actions. We may give to this influence, what name we please; but as 'tis usually conjoined with the action, it must be esteemed a cause, and be looked upon as an instance of that necessity, which we would here establish.

The only proper object of hatred or vengeance, is a person or creature, endowed with thought and consciousness; and when any criminal or injurious actions excite that paffion, 'tis only by their relation to the person or connexion with him. Actions are, by their very nature, temporary and perishing; and where they proceed not from some cause in the characters and disposition of the person who performed them, they can neither redound to his honour, if good, nor infamy, if evil. The actions themselves may be blameable; they may be contrary to all the rules of morality and religion: But the person is not answerable for them; and as they proceeded from nothing in him, that is durable and constant, and leave nothing of that nature behind them, 'tis impossible he can, upon their account, become the object of punishment or vengeance. According to the principle, therefore, which denies necessity,

and confequently causes, a man is as pure and untainted, after having committed the most horrid crime, as at the first moment of his birth, nor is his character any wise concerned in his actions; since they are not derived from it, and the wickedness of the one can never be used as a proof of the depravity of the other.

Men are not blamed for such actions, as they perform ignorantly and casually, whatever may be the consequences. Why? but because the principles of these actions are only momentary, and terminate in them alone. Men are less blamed for such actions as they perform hastily and unpremeditately, than for such as proceed from deliberation. For what reason? but because a hasty temper, tho' a constant cause or principle in the mind, operates only by intervals, and infects not the whole character. Again, repentance wipes off every crime, if attended with a reformation of life and manners. How is this to be accounted for? but by afferting, that actions render a person criminal, merely as they are proofs of criminal principles in the mind; and when, by any alteration of these principles, they cease to be just proofs, they likewise cease to be criminal. But except upon the doctrine of necessity, they never were just proofs, and confequently never were criminal.

It will be equally easy to prove, and from the fame arguments, that *liberty*, according to that definition above-mentioned, in which all men agree, is also essential to morality, and that no human actions, where it is wanting, are susceptible of any moral qualities, or can be the objects either of approbation or dislike. For as actions are objects of our moral sentiments, so far only as they are indications of the internal character, passions,

and affections; 'tis impossible that they can give rise either to praise or blame, where they proceed not from these principles, but are derived altogether from external violence.

I pretend not to have obviated or removed all objections to this theory, with regard to necessity and liberty. I can foresee other objections, derived from topics, which have not here been treated of. It may be faid, for instance, that if voluntary actions be subjected to the same laws of necessity with the operations of matter, there is a continued chain of necessary causes, pre-ordained and pre-determined, reaching from the original cause of all, to every fingle volition of every human creature. No contingency any where in the universe; no indifference; no liberty. While we act, we are, at the same time, acted upon. The ultimate Author of all our volitions is the Creator of the world, who first bestowed motion on this immense machine, and placed all beings in that particular polition, whence every subsequent event, by an inevitable necessity, must result. Human actions, therefore, either can have no moral turpitude at all, as proceeding from fo good a cause; or if they have any turpitude, they must involve our Creator in the same guilt, while he is acknowledged to be their ultimate cause and author. For as a man, who fired a mine, is anfwerable for all the confequences, whether the train he employed be long or short: so wherever a continued chain of necessary causes are fixed, that Being, either finite or infinite, who produce the first, is likewise the author of all the rest, and must both bear the blame and acquire the praife, which belong to them. Our clearest and most unalterable ideas of morality establish this rule, upon unquestionable reasons, when we examine the confequences of any human action; and these reasons must Rill have greater force, when applied to the volitions and intenintentions of a Being, infinitely wise and powerful. Ignorance or impotence may be pleaded for so limited a creature as man; but those imperfections have no place in our Creator. He foresaw, he ordained, he intended all those actions of men, which we so rashly pronounce criminal. And we must conclude, therefore, either that they are not criminal, or that the Deity, not man, is accountable for them. But as either of these positions is absurd and impious, it follows, that the doctrine from which they are deduced, cannot possibly be true, as being liable to all the same objections. An absurd consequence, if necessary, proves the original doctrine to be absurd; in the same manner that criminal actions render criminal the original cause, if the connexion between them be necessary and inevitable.

This objection confifts of two parts, which we shall examine separately; First, that if human actions can be traced up, by a necessary chain, to the Deity, they can never be criminal; on account of the infinite perfection of that Being, from whom they are derived, and who can intend nothing but what is altogether good and laudable. Or Secondly, if they be criminal, we must retract the attribute of perfection, which we ascribe to the Deity, and must acknowlege him to be the ultimate author of guilt and moral turpitude in all his creatures.

The answer to the first objection seems obvious and convincing. There are many philosophers, who, after an exact scrutiny of all the phænomena of nature, conclude, that the Whole, considered as one system, is, in every period of its existence, ordered with perfect benevolence; and that the utmost possible happiness will, in the end, result to every created being, without any mixture of positive or absolute ill and misery. Every physical ill, say they, makes an essential part of this benevolent system, and could not possibly be removed, even

by the Deity himself, considered as a wife agent, without giving entrance to greater ill, or excluding greater good, which will result from it. From this theory, some philosophers, and the antient Stoics among the rest, derived a topic of confolation, under all afflictions, while they taught their pupils, that those ills, under which they laboured, were, in reality, goods to the universe; and that to an enlarged view, which could comprehend the whole system of nature, every event became an object of joy and exultation. But though this topic be specious and fublime, it was foon found in practice weak and ineffectual. You would furely more irritate, than appeale a man, lying under the racking pains of the gout, by preaching up to him the rectitude of those general laws, which produced the malignant humours in his body, and led them, thro' the proper canals, to the nerves and finews, where they now excite fuch acute torments. These enlarged views may, for a moment, please the imagination of a speculative man, who is placed in ease and security; but neither can they dwell with constancy on his mind, even the' undiffurbed by the entotions of pain or passion; much less can they maintain their ground, when attacked by such powerful antagonists. The affections take a narrower and more natural furvey of their objects, and by an economy, more fuitable to the infirmity of human minds, regard alone the beings around us, and are actuated by such events as appear good or ill to the private system. The case is the same with moral as with physical ill. It cannot reasonably be supposed that those remote considerations, which are found of so little efficacy with regard to one, will have a more powerful influence with regard to the other. The mind of man is so formed by nature, that, upon the appearance of certain characters, dispositions, and actions, it immediately feels the fentiment of approbation or blame; Vol. II.

nor are there any emotions more effential to its frame and conflitution.

The characters, which engage its approbation, are chiefly fuch as contribute to the peace and fecurity of human fociety; as the characters, which excite blame, are chiefly fuch as tend to public detriment and difturbance: Whence we may reasonably presume, that the moral fentiments arise, either mediately or immediately, from a reflection on these opposite interests. What tho? philosophical meditations establish a different opinion or conjecture; that every thing is right with regard to the WHOLE, and that the qualities, which disturb fociety, are, in the main, as beneficial, and are as suitable to the primary intention of nature, as those which more directly promote its happiness and welfare? Are such remote and uncertain speculations able to counter-balance the fentiments, which arise from the natural and immediate view of the objects? A man, who is robbed of a confiderable fum; does lie find his vexation for the loss any wife diminished by these sublime reslections? Why then should his moral resentment against the crime be supposed incompatible with them? Or why should not the acknowlegement of a real distinction between vice and virtue be reconcileable to all speculative systems of philosophy, as well as that of a real distinction between personal beauty and deformity? Both these distinctions are sounded in the natural fentiments of the human mind: And these sentiments are not to be controlled nor altered by any philosophical theory or speculation whatsoever.

The fecond objection admits not of so easy and satisfactory an answer; nor is it possible to explain distinctly, how the Deity can be the mediate cause of all the actions of men, without being the author of sin and moral turpitude. These are mysteries, which mere natural and unassisted reason is very unsit to handle; and whatever system

fystem it embraces, it must find itself involved in inextricable difficulties, and even contradictions, at every step which it takes with regard to such subjects. To reconcile the indifference and contingency of human actions with prescience; or to defend absolute decrees, and yet free the Deity from being the author of sin, has been found hitherto to exceed all the skill of philosophy. Happy, if she be thence sensible of her temerity, when she pries into these sublime mysteries; and leaving a scene so full of obscurities and perplexities, return, with suitable modesty, to her true and proper province, the examination of common life; where she will find difficulties enow to employ her enquiries, without launching into so boundless an ocean of doubt, uncertainty, and contradiction!

SECTION IX.

Of the REASON of ANIMALS.

LL our reasonings concerning matter of fact are founded on a species of ANALOGY, which leads us to expect from any cause the same events, which we have observed to result from similar causes. Where the causes are entirely fimilar, the analogy is perfect, and the inference, drawn from it, is regarded as certain and conclufive: Nor does any man ever entertain a doubt, where he fees a piece of iron, that it will have weight and cohesion. of parts; as in all other instances, which have ever fallen under his observation. But where the objects have not fo exact a fimilarity, the analogy is less perfect, and the inference is less conclusive; tho' still it has some force, in proportion to the degrees of similarity and resemblance. The anatomical observations, formed upon one animal, are, by this reasoning, extended to all animals; and 'tis certain, that when the circulation of the blood, for instance, is proved clearly to have place in a particular species, as a frog, or fish, it forms a strong presumption, that the same principle has place in the others. analogical observations may be carried farther, even to this science, of which we are now treating; and any theory, by which we explain the operations of the understanding, or the origin and connexion of the passions in man, will acquire additional authority, if we find, that the same theory is requisite to explain the same phæ-I 3 nomena

nomena in all other animals. We shall make trial of this, with regard to the hypothesis, by which, in the foregoing discourse, we have endeavoured to account for all experimental reasonings; and 'tis hoped, that this new point of view will ferve to confirm all our former observations.

First, It seems evident, that animals, as well as men, learn many things from experience, and infer, that the fame events will always follow from the fame causes. By this principle they become acquainted with the more obvious properties of external objects, and gradually, from their birth, treasure up a knowlege of the nature of fire, water, earth, stones, heights, depths, &c. and of the effects, which result from their operation. ignorance and inexperience of the young are here plainly. diffinguishable from the cunning and fagacity of the old. who have learned, by long observation, to avoid what hurt them, and to pursue what gave ease or pleasure. A horse, that has been accustomed to the field, becomes acquainted with the proper height, which he can leap, and will never attempt what exceeds his force and abiality. An old greyhound will trust the more fatiguing part of the chace to the younger, and will place himself fo as to meet with the hare in her doubles; nor are the conjectures, which he forms on this occasion, founded in any thing but his observation and experience.

This is still more evident from the effects of discipline and education on animals, who, by the proper application of rewards and punishments, may be taught any course of action, the most contrary to their natural inflincts and propenfities. Is it not experience, which renders a dog apprehensive of pain, when you menace him, or lift up the whip to beat him? Is it not even experience, which makes him answer to his name, and infer, from such an arbitrary found, that you mean him rather than

than any of his fellows; and intend to call him, when you pronounce it in a certain manner, and with a certain tone and accent?

In all these cases, we may observe, that the animal infers some fact beyond what immediately strikes his senses; and that this inference is altogether sounded on past experience, while the creature expects from the present object the same events, which it has always sound in its observation to result from similar objects.

Secondly, 'Tis impossible, that this inference of the animal can be founded on any process of argument or reafoning, by which he concludes, that like events must follow like objects, and that the course of nature will always be regular in its operations. For if there be in reality any arguments of this nature, they furely lie too abstrufe for the observation of such impersect understandings; fince it may well employ the utmost care and attention of a philosophic genius to discover and observe Animals, therefore, are not guided in these inferences by reasoning: Neither are children: Neither are the generality of mankind, in their ordinary actions and conclusions: Neither are philosophers themselves, who, in all the active parts of life, are, in the main, the fame with the vulgar, and are governed by the fame Nature must have provided some other principle, of a more ready, and more general use and application; nor can an operation of fuch immense consequence in life, as that of inferring effects from causes, be trufted to the uncertain process of reasoning and argumentation. Were this doubtful with regard to men, it feems to admit of no question with regard to the brutecreation; and the conclusion being once firmly established in the one, we have a strong presumption, from all the rules of analogy, that it ought to be univerfally admitted, without any exception or referve. 'Tis custom alone, which I 4

which engages animals, from every object, that strikes their senses, to infer its usual attendant, and carries their imagination, from the appearance of the one, to conceive the other, in that strong and lively manner, which we denominate belief. No other explication can be given of this operation, in all the higher, as well as lower classes of sensitive beings, which fall under our notice and observation *.

But

* Since all reasoning concerning facts or causes is derived merely from custom, it may be asked how it happens, that men so much surpass animals in reasoning, and one man so much surpasses another? Has not the same custom the same influence on all?

We shall here endeavour briefly to explain the great difference in human understandings: After which the reason of the difference between men and animals will easily be comprehended.

- 1. When we have lived any time, and have been accustomed to the uniformity of nature, we acquire a general habit, by which we always transfer the lenown to the unknown, and conceive the latter to refemble the former. By means of this general habitual principle, we regard even one experiment as the foundation of reasoning, and expect a similar event with some degree of certainty, where the experiment has been made accurately, and free from all foreign circumstances. 'Tis therefore considered as a matter of great importance to observe the consequences of things; and as one man may very much surpass another in attention and memory and observation, this will make a very great difference in their reasoning.
 - 2. Where there is a complication of causes in produce any effect, one mind may be much larger than another, and better able to comprehend the whole-system of objects, and to infer justly their consequences.
 - 3. One man is able to carry on a chain of consequences to a greater length than another.
 - 4. Few men can think long without running into a confusion of ideas, and mistaking one for another; and there are various degrees of this infirmity.
 - 5. The circumstance, on which the effect depends, is frequently involved in other circumstances, which are foreign and extrinsic. The separation of it often requires great attention, accuracy, and subtilty.
 - 6. The forming general maxims from particular observation is a very nice operation; and nothing is more usual, from haste or a narrowness of mind, which sees not on all sides, than to commit mistakes in this particular.

But the' animals learn many parts of their knowlege from observation, there are also many parts of it, which they derive from the original hand of nature; which much exceed the share of capacity they possess on ordinary occasions; and in which they improve, little or nothing, by the longest practice and experience. These we denominate Instincts, and are fo apt to admire, as fomething very extraordinary, and inexplicable by all the disquisitions of human understanding. But our wonder will, perhaps, cease or diminish; when we consider, that the experimental reasoning itself, which we possess in common with beafts, and on which the whole conduct of life depends, is nothing but a species of inftinct or mechanical power, that acts in us unknown to ourfelves: and in its chief operations, is not directed by any fuch relations or comparisons of ideas, as are the proper objects of our intellectual faculties. Tho' the instinct be different, yet still it is an instinct, which teaches a man to avoid the fire; as much as that, which teaches a bird. with fuch exactness, the art of incubation, and the whole œconomy and order of its nursery.

- 7. When we reason from analogies, the man, who has the greater experience or the greater promptitude of suggesting analogies, will be the better reasoner.
- 8. Byasies from prejudice, education, passion, party, &c. hang more upon one mind than another.
- 9. After we have acquired a confidence in human testimony, books and conversation enlarge much more the sphere of one man's experience and thought than those of another.

It would be eafy to difcover many other circumstances that make a difference in the understandings of men.

SECTION X.

Of MIRACLES.

PART I.

HERE is in Dr. TILLOTSON'S writings an argument against the real presence, which is as concise, and elegant, and strong as any argument can possibly be supposed against a doctrine, that is so little worthy of a ferious refutation. 'Tis acknowledged on all hands, fays that learned prelate, that the authority, either of the scripture or of tradition, is founded merely in the testimony of the apostles, who were eye-witnesses to those miracles of our Saviour, by which he proved his divine mission. Our evidence, then, for the truth of the Christian religion is less than the evidence for the truth of our senses; because, even in the first authors of our religion, it was no greater; and 'tis evident it must diminish in passing from them to their disciples; nor can any one be fo certain of the truth of their testimony, as of the immediate object of his fenses. But a weaker evidence can never destroy a stronger; and therefore, were the doctrine of the real presence ever so clearly revealed in scripture, it were directly contrary to the rules of just reasoning to give our assent to it. It contradicts sense, tho' both the scripture and tradition, on which it is supposed to be built, carry not such evidence with them as fense; when they are considered merely as external evidences.

dences, and are not brought home to every one's breaft, by the immediate operation of the Holy Spirit.

Nothing is so convenient as a decisive argument of this kind, which must at least filence the most arrogant bigotry and superstition, and free us from their impertinent solicitations. I flatter myself, that I have discovered an argument of a like nature, which, if just, will, with the wise and learned, be an everlasting check to all kinds of superstitious delusion, and consequently, will be useful as long as the world endures. For so long, I presume, will the accounts of miracles and prodigies be sound in all history, sacred and profane.

Tho' experience be our only guide in reasoning concerning matters of fact; it must be acknowledged, that this guide is not altogether infallible, but in some cases is apt to lead us into errors and mistakes. One, who, in our climate, should expect better weather in any week of June than in one of DECEMBER, would reason justly and conformable to experience; but 'tis certain, that he may happen, in the event, to find himself mistaken. However, we may observe, that, in such a case, he would have no cause to complain of experience; because it commonly informs us beforehand of the uncertainty, by that contrariety of events, which we may learn from a diligent observation. All effects follow not with like certainty from their supposed causes. Some events are found, in all countries and all ages, to have been constantly conjoined together: Others are found to have been more variable, and fometimes to disappoint our expectations; so that in our reasonings concerning matter of fact, there are all imaginable degrees of affurance, from the highest certainty to the lowest species of moral evidence.

A wife man, therefore, proportions his belief to the evidence. In such conclusions as are sounded on an infallible experience, he expects the event with the last de-

gree of affurance, and regards his past experience as a full proof of the future existence of that event. In other cases, he proceeds with more caution: He weighs the opposite experiments: He confiders which fide is supported by the greatest number of experiments: To that side he inclines, with doubt and hefitation; and when at last he fixes his judgment, the evidence exceeds not what we properly call probability. All probability, then, supposes an oppofition of experiments and observations; where the one fide is found to over-balance the other, and to produce a degree of evidence, proportioned to the superiority. An hundred instances or experiments on one side, and fifty on another, afford a very doubtful expectation of any event; tho' a hundred uniform experiments, with only one that is contradictory, reasonably beget a pretty strong degree of affurance. In all cases, we must balance the opposite experiments, where they are opposite, and deduct the smaller number from the greater, in order to know the exact force of the superior evidence.

To apply these principles to a particular instance; we may observe, that there is no species of reasoning more common, more useful, and even necessary to human life, than that derived from the testimony of men, and the reports of eye-witnesses and spectators. This species of reafoning, perhaps, one may deny to be founded on the relation of cause and effect. I shall not dispute about a word. It will be sufficient to observe, that our assurance in any argument of this kind is derived from no other principle than our observation of the veracity of human testimony, and of the usual conformity of facts to the reports of witnesses. It being a general maxim, that no objects have any discoverable connexion together, and that all the inferences, which we can draw from one to another, are founded merely on our experience of their constant and regular conjunction; 'tis evident, that we ought not to make an exception to this maxim in favour of human testimony, whose connexion with any events seems, in itself, as little necessary as any other. Were not the memory tenacious to a certain degree; had not men commonly an inclination to truth and a principle of probity; were they not sensible to shame, when detected in a falsehood: Were not these, I say, discovered by experience to be qualities, inherent in human nature, we should never repose the least considence in human testimony. A man delirious, or noted for salsehood and villany, has no manner of authority with us.

And as the evidence, derived from witnesses and human testimony, is founded on past experience, so it varies with the experience, and is regarded either as a proof or a probability, according as the conjunction between any particular kind of report and any kind of objects, has been found to be constant or variable. There are a number of circumstances to be taken into consideration in all judgments of this kind; and the ultimate standard, by which we determine all disputes, that may arise concerning them, is always derived from experience and observation. Where this experience is not entirely uniform on any fide, 'tis attended with an unavoidable contrariety in our judgments, and with the same opposition and mutual destruction of arguments as in every other kind of evidence. We frequently hesitate concerning the reports We balance the opposite circumstances, which cause any doubt or uncertainty; and when we discover a superiority on any side, we incline to it; but still with a diminution of affurance, in proportion to the force of its antagonist.

This contrariety of evidence, in the present case, may be derived from several different causes; from the opposition of contrary testimony; from the character or number of the witnesses; from the manner of their delivering their their testimony; or from the union of all these circumflances. We entertain a suspicion concerning any matter of sact, when the witnesses contradict each other; when they are but sew, or of a suspicious character; when they have an interest in what they affirm; when they deliver their testimony with doubt and hesitation, or on the contrary, with too violent asseverations. There are many other particulars of the same kind, which may diminish or destroy the force of any argument, derived from human testimony.

Suppose, for instance, that the fact, which the testimony endeavours to establish, partakes of the extraordinary and the marvellous; in that case, the evidence, resulting from the testimony, admits of a diminution, greater or less, in proportion as the fact is more or less unusual. The reafon, why we place any credit in witnesses and historians is not from any connexion, which we perceive à priori, between testimony and reality, but because we are accustomed to find a conformity between them. But when the fact attested is such a one as has seldom fallen under our observation, here is a contest of two opposite experiences; of which the one destroys the other, as far as its force goes, and the fuperior can only operate on the mind by the force, which remains. The very same principle of experience, which gives us a certain degree of affurance in the testimony of witnesses, gives us also, in this case, another degree of assurance against the fact, which they endeavour to establish; from which contradiction there necessarily arise a counterpoise, and mutual destruction of belief and authority.

I should not believe such a story were it told me by CATO; was a proverbial saying in ROME, even during the lifetime of that philosophical patriot *. The incredibility of a sact, it was allowed, might invalidate so great an authority.

PLUTARCH, in vita Catenis.

The Indian prince, who refused to believe the first relations concerning the effects of frost, reasoned justly; and it naturally required very strong testimony to engage his assent to facts, which arose from a state of nature, with which he was unacquainted, and which bore so little analogy to those events, of which he had had constant and uniform experience. Tho' they were not contrary to his experience, they were not conformable to it *.

But in order to increase the probability against the testimony of witnesses, let us suppose that the fact, which they affirm, instead of being only marvellous, is really miraculous; and suppose also, that the testimony, considered apart, and in itself, amounts to an entire proof; in that case there is proof against proof, of which the strongest must prevail, but still with a diminution of its force, in proportion to that of its antagonist.

A miracle is a violation of the laws of nature; and as a firm and unalterable experience has established these laws, the proof against a miracle, from the very nature of the

^{*} No Indian, 'tis evident, could have experience that water did not freeze in cold climates. This is placing nature in a fituation quite unknown to him; and 'tis impossible for him to tell à priori what will result from it. 'Tis making a new experiment, the consequence of which is always uncertain. One may fometimes conjecture from analogy what will follow; but fill this is but conjecture. And it must be confest, that, in the present case of freezing, the event follows contrary to the rules of analogy, and is fuch as a rational Indian would not look for. The operations of cold upon water are not gradual, according to the degrees of cold; but whenever it comes to the freezing point, the water passes, in a moment, from the utmost liquidity to persect hardness. Such an event, therefore, may be denominated extraordinary, and requires a pretty firong testimony, to render it credible to people in a warm climate: But still it is not miraculous, nor contrary to uniform experience of the course of nature in cases where all the circumstances are the fame. The inhabitants of SUMATRA have always feen water fluid in their own climate, and the seezing of their rivers ought to be deemed a prodigy; But they never faw water in Muscovy during the winter; and therefore they cannot reasonably be positive what would there be the consequence.

fact, is as entire as any argument from experience can possibly be imagined. Why is it more than probable, that all men must die; that lead cannot, of itself, remain sufpended in the air; that fire confumes wood, and is extinguished by water; unless it be, that these events are found agreeable to the laws of nature, and there is required a violation of these laws, or in other words, a miracle to prevent them? Nothing is esteemed a miracle if it ever happen in the common course of nature. no miracle that a man in feeming good health should die on a sudden; because such a kind of death, tho' more unufual than any other, has yet been frequently observed to happen. But 'tis a miracle, that a dead man should come to life; because that has never been observed, in any age or country. There must, therefore, be an uniform experience against every miraculous event, otherwife the event would not merit that appellation. And as an uniform experience amounts to a proof, there is here a direct and full proof, from the nature of the fact, against the existence of any miracle; nor can such a proof be destroyed, or the miracle rendered credible, but by an opposite proof, which is superior *.

The

Sometimes an event may not, in itself, seem to be contrary to the laws of nature, and yet, if it were real, it might, by reason of some circumstances, be denominated a miracle; because, in fast, it is contrary to these laws: Thus if a person, claiming a divine authority, should command a fick person to be well, a healthful man to fall down dead, the clouds to pour rain, the winds to blow, in fliort, should order many natural events, which immediately follow upon his command; these might justly be esteemed miracles, because they are really, in this case, cootrary to the laws of nature. For if any fuspicion remain, that the event and command concurred by accident, there is no miracle and no transgression of the laws of nature. If this suspicion be removed, there is evidently a miracle, and a transgression of these laws; because nothing can be more contrary to nature than that the voice or command of a man should have such an influence. A miracle may be accurately defined, a transgression of a law of nature by a particular volition of the Deity, or by the interposition of some invisible agent. A miracle may either be discoverable by men or not. This alters not its nature and essence. The VOL. II. raifinz

The plain confequence is (and 'tis a general maxim worthy of our attention) " that no testimony is sufficient to establish a miracle, unless the testimony be of " fuch a kind, that its falfhood would be more miracuof lous, than the fact, which it endeavours to establish: 44 And even in that case there is a mutual destruction of " arguments, and the superior only gives us an assurance " fuitable to that degree of force, which remains, after "deducting the inferior." When any one tells me, that he saw a dead man restored to life, I immediately consider with myself, whether it be more probable, that this perfon should either deceive or be deceived, or that the fact which he relates, should really have happened. I weigh the one miracle against the other, and according to the superiority, which I discover, I pronounce my decision, and always reject the greater miracle. If the falshood of his testimony would be more miraculous, than the event which he relates; then, and not till then, can he pretend to command my belief or opinion.

PART II.

In the foregoing reasoning we have supposed, that the testimony, upon which a miracle is founded, may possibly amount to an intire proof, and that the falshood of that testimony would be a kind of prodigy: But 'tis easy to shew, that we have been a great deal too liberal in our concessions, and that there never was a miraculous event established on so full an evidence.

For first, there is not to be found, in all history, any miracle attested by a sufficient number of men, of such unquestioned good-sense, education, and learning, as to secure us against all delusion in themselves; of such un-

raising of a house or ship into the air is a visible miracle. The raising of a feather, when the wind wants ever so little of a force requisite for that purpose, is as real a miracle, tho' not so sensible with regard to us.

doubted

doubted integrity, as to place them beyond all suspicion of any design to deceive others; of such credit and reputation in the eyes of mankind, as to have a great deal to lose in case of being detected in any salfhood; and at the same time attesting sacts, performed in such a public manner, and in so celebrated a part of the world, as to render the detection unavoidable: All which circumstances are requisite to give us a full assurance in the testimony of men.

Secondly. We may observe in human nature a principle, which, if strictly examined, will be found to diminish extremely the affurance which we might have, from human testimony, in any kind of prodigy. The maxim, by which we commonly conduct ourselves in our reasonings, is, that the objects of which we have no experience, resemble those, of which we have: that what we have found to be most usual is always most probable; and that where there is an opposition of arguments, we ought to give the preference to fuch of them as are founded on the greatest number of past observations. But the in proceeding by this rule, we readily reject any fact that is unufual and incredible in any ordinary degree; yet in advancing farther, the mind observes not always the same rule; but when any thing is affirmed utterly abfurd and miraculous, it rather the more readily admits such a fact, upon account of that very circumstance which ought to destroy all its authority. The passion of surprize and wonder, arising from miracles, being an agreeable emotion, gives a fensible tendency towards the belief of those events from which it is derived. And this goes fo far, that even those who cannot enjoy this pleasure immediately, nor can believe those miraculous events, of which they are informed, yet love to partake of the fatisfaction at secondhand, or by rebound, and place a pride and delight in exciting the admiration of others.

With what greediness are the miraculous accounts of travellers received, their descriptions of sea and land monsters, their relations of wonderful adventures, strange men, and uncouth manners? But if the spirit of religion join itself to the love of wonder, there is an end of common sense; and human testimony, in these circumstances, loses all pretensions to authority. A religionist may be an enthusiast, and imagine he sees what has no reality: He may know his narration to be false, and yet persevere in it, with the best intentions in the world, for the sake of promoting fo holy a cause: Or even where this delufion has no place, vanity, excited by fo ftrong a temptation, operates on him more powerfully than on the rest of mankind in any other circumstances; and self-interest with equal force. His auditors may not have, and commonly have not sufficient judgment to canvass his evicence: What judgment they have, they renounce by principle, in these sublime and mysterious subjects: Or, if vey were ever fo willing to employ it, passion and a heated imagination disturb the regularity of its operations. Their credulity increases his impudence: And his impudence over-powers their credulity.

Eloquence, when in its highest pitch, leaves little room for reason or reslection; but addressing itself intirely to the sancy or the affections, captivates the willing hearers, and subdues their understanding. Happily, this pitch it seldom attains. But what a Cicero or a Demosthenes could scarcely operate over a Roman or Athenian audience, every Capuchin, every itinerant or stationary teacher can perform over the generality of mankind, and in a higher degree, by touching such gross and vulgar passions *.

Thirdly.

^{*} The many instances of forged miracles, and prophecies, and supernatural events, which, in all ages, have either been detected by contrary evidence, or which detect themselves by their absurdity, mark sufficiently the strong propensity

Thirdly. It forms a very strong presumption against all supernatural and miraculous relations, that they are obferved chiefly to abound among ignorant and barbarous nations; or if a civilized people has ever given admission to any of them, that people will be found to have received them from ignorant and barbarous ancestors, who transmitted them with that inviolable fanction and authority which always attend received opinions. When we perufe the first histories of all nations, we are apt to imagine ourselves transported into some new world, where the whole frame of nature is disjointed, and every element performs its operations in a different manner, from what it does at present. Battles, revolutions, pestilences, famines, and death, are never the effects of those natural causes, which we experience. Prodigies, omens, oracles, judgments, quite obscure the few natural events, that are intermingled with them. But as the former grow thinner every page, in proportion as we advance nearer the enlightened ages of science and knowlege, we soon learn, that there is nothing mysterious or supernatural in the case, but that all proceeds from the usual propensity of mankind towards the marvellous, and that the' this inclination may at intervals receive a check from fense and

propenfity of mankind to the extraordinary and the marvellous, and ought reasonably to beget a suspicion against all relations of this kind. This is our natural way of thinking, even with regard to the most common and most credible events. For instance: There is no kind of report, which rises so easily, and spreads so quickly, especially in country places and provincial towns, as those concerning marriages; insomuch that two young persons of equal condition never see each other twice, but the whole neighbourhood immediately join them together. The pleasure of telling a piece of news so interesting, of propagating it, and of being the first reporters of it, spreads the intelligence. And this is so well known, that no man of sense gives attention to these reports, till he finds them confirmed by some greater evidence. Do not the same passions, and others still stronger, incline the generality of mankind to the believing and reporting, with the greatest vehemence and assurance, all religious miracles?

learning, it can never thoroughly be extirpated from human nature.

'Tis strange, a judicious reader is apt to say, upon the perusal of these wonderful historians, that such prodigious events never happen in our days. But 'tis nothing strange, I hope, that men should lie in all ages. You must surely have seen instances enow of that frailty. You have yourself heard many such marvellous relations started, which being treated with scorn by sall the wise and judicious, have at last been abandoned even by the vulgar. Be assured, that those renowned lies, which have spread and slourished to such a monstrous height, arose from like beginnings; but being sown in a more proper soil, shot up at last into prodigies almost equal to those which they relate.

It was wife policy in that cunning impostor, ALEX-ANDER, who, tho' now forgotten, was once fo famous, to lay the first scene of his impostures in PAPHLAGO-NIA, where, as Lucian tells us, men were extremely ignorant and stupid, and ready to swallow even the groffest delusion. People at a distance, who are weak enough to think the matter at all worthy inquiry, have no opportunity of receiving better information. The stories come magnified to them by a hundred circumstances. Fools are industrious to propagate the delusion; while the wife and learned are contented, in general, to deride its absurdity, without informing themselves of the particular facts by which it may be distinctly refuted. And thus the impostor above-mentioned was enabled to proceed, from his ignorant PAPHLAGONIANS, to the inlifting of votaries, even among the GRECIAN philosophers, and men of the most eminent rank and distinction in ROME: Nay, could engage the attention of that fage emperor MARCUS AURELIUS; fo far as to make him trust the success of a military expedition to his delusive prophecies.

The advantages are fo great of flarting an imposture among an ignorant people, that even tho' the delufion fhould be too gross to impose on the generality of them (which, tho' feldom, is sometimes the case) it has a much better chance of succeeding in remote countries, than if the first scene had been laid in a city renowned for arts and knowlege. The most ignorant and barbarous of these barbarians carry the report abroad. None of their countrymen have large enough correspondence, or sufficient credit and authority to contradict and beat down the delusion. Mens inclination to the marvellous has full opportunity to display itself. And thus a story, which is universally exploded in the place where it was first started, shall pass for certain at a thousand miles distance. But had ALEX-ANDER fixed his residence at ATHENS, the philosophers of that renowned mart of learning had immediately fpread thro' the whole ROMAN empire, their sense of the matter, which being supported by so great authority, and difplayed by all the force of reason and eloquence, had intirely opened the eyes of mankind. 'Tis true; LUCIAN passing by chance through PAPHLAGONIA, had an opportunity of performing this good office. But, tho' much to be wished, it does not always happen, that every ALEXANDER meets with a Lucian, ready to expose and detect his impostures *.

I may add as a fourth reason, which diminishes the authority of prodigies, that there is no testimony for any, even those which have not been expressly detected, that is

^{*} It may here, perhaps, be objected, that I proceed rashly, and form my notions of Alexander merely from the account given of him by Lucian, a professed enemy. It were, indeed, to be wished, that some of the accounts published by his followers and accomplices had remained. The opposition and contrast between the character and conduct of the same man, as drawn by a friend or an enemy, is as strong, even in common life, much more in these religious matters, as that betwixt any two men in the world, betwixt Alexander and St. Paul, for instance. See a letter to Gilbert West, Esq. on the conversion and apostleship of St. Paul.

not opposed by an infinite number of witnesses; so that not only the miracle destroys the credit of the testimony, but even the testimony destroys itself. To make this the better understood, let us consider that, in matters of religion, whatever is different is contrary, and that 'tis impossible the religions of antient ROME, of TURKEY, of SIAM, and of CHINA should, all of them, be established on any folid foundation. Every miracle, therefore, pretended to have been wrought in any of these religions (and all of them abound in miracles) as its direct scope is to establish the particular system to which it is attributed; fo has it the same force, tho' more indirectly, to overthrow every other fystem. In destroying a rival system, it likewise destroys the credit of those miracles, on which that fystem was established; so that all the prodigies of different religions are to be regarded as contrary facts, and the evidences of these prodigies, whether weak or firong, as opposite to each other. According to this method of reasoning, when we believe a miracle of MAHOMET or any of his fuccessors, we have for our warrant the testimony of a few barbarous ARABIANS: And on the other hand, we are to regard the authority of TITUS LIVIUS, PLUTARCH, TACITUS, and, in short, of all the authors and witnesses, GRECIAN, CHINESE, and ROMAN CATHOLIC, who have related any miracles in their particular religion; I fay, we are to regard their testimony in the same light as if they had mentioned that MAHOMETAN miracle, and had in express terms contradicted it, with the same certainty as they have for the miracles they relate. This argument may appear over fubtile and refined; but is not in reality different from the reasoning of a judge, who supposes, that the credit of two witnesses, maintaining a crime against any one, is destroyed by the testimony of two others, who affirm him to have been two hundred leagues distant, at the same infrant when the crime is faid to have been committed.

One of the best attested miracles in all profane history, is that which TACITUS reports of VESPASIAN, who cured a blind man in ALEXANDRIA, by means of his fpittle, and a lame man by the mere touch of his foot; in obedience to a vision of the god SERAPIS, who had enjoined them to have recourse to the Emperor, for these miraculous and extraordinary cures. The flory may be feen in that fine historian *; where every circumstance feems to add weight to the testimony, and might be difplayed at large with all the force of argument and eloquence, if any one were now concerned to enforce the evidence of that exploded and idolatrous superstition. The gravity, folidity, age, and probity of fo great an emperor. who, through the whole course of his life, conversed in a familiar manner with his friends and courtiers, and never affected those extraordinary airs of divinity assumed by ALEXANDER and DEMETRIUS. The historian, a cotemporary writer, noted for candour and veracity, and withal, the greatest and most penetrating genius, perhaps, of all antiquity; and so free from any tendency to superstition and credulity, that he even lies under the contrary imputation, of atheism and prophaneness: The persons. from whose testimony he related the miracle, of established character for judgment and veracity, as we may well prefume; eye-witneffes of the fact, and confirming their verdict, after the FLAVIAN family were despoiled of the empire, and could no longer give any reward, as the price of a lie. Utrumque, qui interfuere, nunc quoque memorant, postquam nullum mendacio pretium. To which if we add the public nature of the facts, as related, it will appear, that no evidence can well be supposed stronger for so gross and so palpable a falshood.

There is also a very memorable story related by Cardinal DE RETZ, and which may well deserve our consi-

^{*} Hist. Lib. 5. Cap. 8. Sue Tonius gives nearly the same account in with Vese.

deration.

deration. When that intriguing politician fled into SPAIN, to avoid the persecution of his enemies, he passed through SARAGOSSA, the capital of ARRAGON, where he was shewn, in the cathedral, a man, who had served seven years as a door-keeper, and was well known to every body in town, that had ever paid their devotions at that church. He had been feen for fo long a time, wanting a leg; but recovered that limb by the rubbing of holy oil upon the stump; and the cardinal assures us that he saw him with two legs. This miracle was vouched by all the canons of the church; and the whole company in town were appealed to for a confirmation of the fact; whom the cardinal found, by their zealous devotion, to be thorough believers of the miracle. Here the relater was also cotemporary to the supposed prodigy, of an incredulous and libertine character, as well as of great genius, the miracle of fo fingular a nature as could scarce admit of a counterfeit, and the witnesses very numerous, and all of them, in a manner, spectators of the fact to which they gave their testimony. And what adds mightily to the force of the evidence, and may double our furprize on this occafion, is, that the cardinal himself, who relates the story, feems not to give any credit to it, and consequently cannot be suspected of any concurrence in the holy fraud. He confidered justly, that it was not requisite, in order to reject a fact of this nature, to be able accurately to difprove the testimony, and to trace its falshood, through all the circumstances of knavery and credulity which pro-He knew that as this was commonly alto-.duced it. gether impossible at any small distance of time and place; fo was it extremely difficult, even where one was immediately present, by reason of the bigotry, ignorance, cunning and roguery of a great part of mankind. fore concluded, like a just reasoner, that such an evidence carried falshood upon the very face of it, and that a miracle

racle supported by any human testimony, was more properly a subject of derision than of argument.

There furely never was so great a number of miracles ascribed to one person, as those, which were lately said to have been wrought in FRANCE upon the tomb of Abbé PARIS, the famous JANSENIST, with whose fanctity the people were so long deluded. The curing of the sick, giving hearing to the deaf, and fight to the blind, were every where talked of as the usual effects of that holy fepulchre. But what is more extraordinary; many of the miracles were immediately proved, upon the spot, before judges of unquestioned integrity, attested by witnesses of credit and diffinction, in a learned age, and on the most eminent theatre that is now in the world. Nor is this all: A relation of them was published and dispersed every where; nor were the Fesuits, tho' a learned body, supported by the civil magistrate, and determined enemies to those opinions, in whose favour the miracles were faid to have been wrought, ever able diffinctly to refute or detect them *. Where shall we find such a number of circumstances.

* This book was wrote by Monf. de Montgeron, counfellor or judge of the parliament of Paris, a man of figure and character, who was also a martyr to the cause, and is now said to be somewhere in a dungeon on account of his book.

There is another book in three volumes (called Recueil des Miracles de N' Abbé Paris) giving an account of many of these miracles, and accompanied with prefatory discourses, which are very well wrote. There runs, however, through the whole of these a ridiculous comparison between the miracles of our Saviour and those of the Abbé; wherein 'tis asserted, that the evideoce for the latter is equal to that for the former: As if the testimony of men could ever be put in the balance with that of God himself, who conducted the pen of the inspired writers. If these writers, indeed, were to be considered merely as human testimony, the French author is very moderate in his comparison; fince he might, with some appearance of reason, pretend, that the Jansenist miracles much surpass the others in evidence and authority. The following circumstances are drawn from authentic papers, inserted in the above mentioned book.

flances, agreeing to the corroboration of one fact? And what have we to oppose to such a cloud of witnesses, but the

Many of the miracles of Abbé Paris were proved immediately by witnesses before the officiality or bishop's court at Paris, under the eye of cardinal Noailles, whose character for integrity and capacity was never contested even by his enemies.

His fuccessor to the archbishoptic was an enemy to the JANSENIST'S, and for that reason promoted to the see by the court. Yet 22 rectors or cures of PARIS, with infinite earnestness, press him to examine those miracles, which they affert to be known to the whole world, and indispotably certain: But he wisely forbore.

The Molinist party had tried to discredit these miracles in one instance, that of Madamoiselle le Franc. But, besides that their proceedings were in many respects the most irregular in the world, particularly in citing only a few of the Jansenists witnesses, whom they tampered with: Besidea this, I say, they soon found themselves overwhelmed by a cloud of new witnesses, one hundred and twenty in number, most of them persons of credit and substance in Paris, who gave oath for the miracle. This was accompanied with a solemn and earnest appeal to the parliament. But the parliament were forbid by authority to meddle in the affair. It was at last observed that where men are heated by zeal and enthusiasm, there is no degree of human testimony so strong as may not be procured for the greatest absurdity: And those who will be so filly as to examine the affair by that medium, and seek particular slaws in the testimony, are almost sure to be consounded. It must be a miserable imposture, indeed, that does not prevail in that contest.

All who have been in FRANCE about that time have heard of the great reputation of Monf. HERAUT, the lieutenant de Police, whose vigilance, penetration, activity, and extensive intelligence have been much talked of. This magistrate, who by the nature of his office is almost absolute, was invested with full powers, on purpose to suppress or discredit these miracles; and he frequently seized immediately, and examined the witnesses and subjects of them: But never could reach any thing satisfactory against them.

In the case of Madamoiselle THIBAUT he sent the samous de SYLVA to examine her; whose evidence is very curious. The physician declares, that it was impossible she should have been so ill as was proved by witnesses; because it was impossible she could, in so short a time, have recovered so perfectly as he sound her. He reasoned, like a man of sense, from natural causes; but the opposite party told him, that the whole was a miracle, and that his evidence was the very best proof of it.

The MOLINISTS were in a fad dilemma. They durst not affert the absolute insufficiency of human evidence, to prove a miracle. They were obliged

the absolute impossibility or miraculous nature of the events, which they relate? And this furely, in the eyes of

obliged to fay, that these miracles were wrought by witchcrast and the devil. But they were told, that this was the resource of the JEws of old.

No Jansaniat was ever embarraffed to account for the ceffation of the miracles, when the church-yard was shut up by the King's edict. It was the touch of the tomb, which operated these extraordinary effects; and when no one could approach the tomb, no effects could be expected. God, indeed, could have thrown down the walls in a moment; but he is master of his own graces and works, and it belongs not to us to account for them. He did not throw down the walls of every city, like those of Jeascho, on the sounding of the rams horns, nor break up the prison of every apostle, like that of St. Pauls

No less a man, than the Duc de Chatilion, a duke and peer of Faance of the highest rank and family, gives evidence of a miraculous cure performed upon a fervant of his, who had lived feveral years in his house with a visible and palpable infirmity.

I shall conclude with observing, that no clergy are more celebrated for strictness of life and manners than the secular clergy of FRANCE, particularly the rectors or curés of PARIS, who bear testimony to these impostures.

The learning, genius, and probity of the gentlemen, and the aufterity of the nuns of Poat-Royal, have been much celebrated all over Eugope. Yet they all give evidence for a miracle, wrought on the niece of the famous PASCAL, whose fanctity of life, as well as extraordinary capacity, is well known. The famous RACINE gives an account of this miracle in his famous history of PORT-ROYAL, and fortifies it with all the proofs, which a multitude of nuns, priests, physicians, and men of the world, all of them of undoubted credit, could bestow upon it. Several men of letters, particularly the Bishop of Touanay, thought this miracle so certain, as to employ it in the refutation of atheists and free-thinkers. The queen-regent of FRANCE, who was extremely prejudiced against the PORT-ROYAL, sent her own phyfician to examine the miracle, who returned an absolute convert. In short, the supernatural cure was so uncontestable, that it saved, for a time, that famous monaftery from the ruin with which it was threatened by the Jesuits. Had it been a cheat, it had certainly been detected by fuch fagacious and powerful antagonists, and must have hastened the ruin of the contrivers. Our divines, who can build up a formidable castle from such despicable materials; what a prodigious fabric could they have reared from these and many other circumstances, which I have not mentioned! How oft would the great names of PASCAL, RACINE, ARNAUD, NICOLE, have refounded in our ears? But if they be wife, they had better adopt the miracle, as being more worth, a thousand times, than all the rest of their collection. Besides, it may serve

of all reasonable people, will alone be regarded as a sufficient resutation.

Is the consequence just, because some human testimony has the utmost force and authority in some cases, when it relates the battles of Philippi or Pharsalia for instance; that therefore all kinds of testimony must, in all cases, have equal force and authority? Suppose that the Cæsarean and Pompeian sactions had, each of them, claimed the victory in these battles, and that the historians of each party had uniformly ascribed the advantage to their own side; how could mankind, at this distance, have been able to determine between them? The contrariety is equally strong between the miracles related by Herodotus of Plutarch, and those delivered by Mariana, Bede, or any monkish historian.

The wife lend a very academic faith to every report which favours the passions of the reporter; whether it magnifies his country, his family, or himself, or in any other way strikes in with his natural inclinations and propensities. But what greater temptation than to appear a missionary, a prophet, an ambassador from heaven? Who would not encounter many dangers and difficulties, in order to attain so sublime a character? Or if, by the help of vanity and a heated imagination, a man has first made a convert of himself, and entered seriously into the delusion; who ever scruples to make use of pious frauds, in support of so holy and meritorious a cause?

The smallest spark may here kindle into the greatest slame; because the materials are always prepared for it. The avidum genus auricularum +, the gazing populace,

very much to their purpose. For that miracle was really performed by the touch of an authentic holy prickle of the holy thorn, which composed the holy crown, which, \mathfrak{Sc} .

[†] LUCRET.

receive greedily, without examination, whatever fooths fuperstition, and promotes wonder.

How many stories of this nature have, in all ages, been detected and exploded in their infancy? How many more have been celebrated for a time, and have afterwards sunk into neglect and oblivion? Where such reports, therefore, sly about, the solution of the phænomenon is obvious; and we judge in conformity to regular experience and observation, when we account for it by the known and natural principles of credulity and delusion. And shall we, rather than have a recourse to so natural a solution, allow of a miraculous violation of the most established laws of nature?

I need not mention the difficulty of detecting a falshood in any private, or even public history, at the time and place, where it is said to happen; much more where the scene is removed to ever so small a distance. Even a court of judicature, with all the authority, accuracy, and judgment, which they can employ, find themselves often at a loss to distinguish between truth and falshood in the most recent actions. But the matter never comes to any issue, if trusted to the common method of altercation and debate and slying rumours; especially when mens passions have taken party on either side.

In the infancy of new religions, the wife and learned commonly efteem the matter too inconfiderable to deserve their attention or regard. And when afterwards they would willingly detect the cheat, in order to undeceive the deluded multitude, the season is now gone, and the records and witnesses, which might clear up the matter, have perished beyond recovery.

No means of detection remain, but those which must be drawn from the very testimony itself of the reporters: And these, tho always sufficient with the judicious and knowing, knowing, are commonly too fine to fall under the comprehension of the vulgar.

Upon the whole, then, it appears, that no testimony for any kind of miracle has ever amounted to a probability, much less to a proof; and that, even supposing it amounted to a proof, it would be opposed by another proof derived from the very nature of the fact, which it would endeavour to establish. 'Tis experience only, which gives authority to human testimony; and 'tis the fame experience, which assures us of the laws of na-When, therefore, these two kinds of experience are contrary, we have nothing to do but substract the one from the other, and embrace an opinion, either on one fide or the other, with that affurance which arises from the remainder. But according to the principle here explained, this substraction, with regard to all popular religions, amounts to an intire annihilation; and therefore we may establish it as a maxim, that no human testimony can have fuch force as to prove a miracle, and make it a just foundation for any such system of religion *.

I am

^{*} I beg the limitations here made may be remarked, when I fay, that a miracle can never be proved, so as to be the foundation of a system of religion. For I own, that otherwise, there may possibly be miracles, or violations of the usual course of nature, of such a kind as to admit of proof from human testimony; tho', perhaps, it will be impossible to find any such in all the records of history. Thus, suppose, all authors, in all languages, agree, that from the first of January, 1600, there was a total darkness over the whole earth for eight days: Suppose that the tradition of this extraordinary event is still strong and lively among the people: That all travellers, who return from foreign countries, bring us accounts of the fame tradition, without the least variation or contradiction: 'Tis evident, that our present philosophers, instead of doubting that fact, ought to receive it for certain, and ought to search for the causes whence it might be derived. The decay, corruption, and diffolution of nature, is an event rendered probable by fo many analogies, that any phænomenon, which feems to have a tendency towards that catastrophe, comes within the reach of human testimony, if that testimony be very extensive, and uniform.

I am the better pleased with this method of reasoning, as I think it may serve to consound those dangerous friends

But suppose, that all the historians, who treat of ENGLAND, should agree, that on the first of JANUARY, 1600, Queen ELIZABETH died; that both before and after her death she was seen by her physicians and the whole court, as is usual with persons of her rank; that her successor was acknowledged and proclaimed by the parliament; and that, after being interred a month, she again appeared, took possession of the throne, and governed ENGLAND for three years; I must confess, I should be surprized at the concurrence of fo many odd circumstances, but should not have the least inclination to believe fo miraculous an event. I should not doubt of her pretended death, and of those other public circumstances that followed it: I should only affert it to have been pretended, and that it neither was, nor posfibly could be real. You would in vain object to me the difficulty, and almost impossibility of deceiving the world in an affair of such consequence; the wisdom and integrity of that renowned queen; with the little or no advantage which she could reap from so poor an artistice: All this might assonish me; but I would still reply, that the knavery and folly of men are such common phænomena, that I should rather believe the most extraordinary events to arise from their concurrence, than admit so signal a violation of the laws of nature.

But should this miracle be ascribed to any new system of religion; men in all ages, have been so much imposed on by ridiculous stories of that kind. that this very circumstance would be a full proof of a cheat, and sufficient. with all men of fense, not only to make them reject the fact, but even reject it without farther examination. Tho' the Being to whom the miracle is ascribed, be, in this case, Almighty, it does not, upon that account, become a whit more probable; fince 'tis impossible for us to know the attributes or actions of fuch a Being, otherwise than from the experience which we have of his productions, in the usual course of nature. This still reduces us to past observation, and obliges us to compare the instances of the violations of truth in the testimony of men with those of the violation of the laws of nature by miracles, in order to judge which of them is most likely and probable. As the violations of truth are more common in the testimony concerning religious miracles, than in that concerning any other matter of fact; this must diminish very much the authority of the former testimony, and make us form a general resolution, never to lend any attention to it, with whatever specious pretext it may be covered.

My lord Bacon feems to have embraced the fame principles of reasoning. "Facienda enim est congeries sive historia naturalis particulatis omminim monstrorum & particular natura prodigiosorum; omnis denique novitatia & raritatis & inconsucti in natura. Hoc vero faciendum est com

Wel. H. L "severissimo

friends or disguised enemies to the Christian Religion, who have undertaken to defend it by the principles of human reason. Our most holy religion is founded on Faith, not on reason; and 'tis a sure method of exposing it to put it to fuch a trial as it is, by no means, fitted to endure. To make this more evident, let us examine those miracles, related in scripture; and not to lose ourselves in too wide a field, let us confine ourselves to such as we find in the Pentateuch, which we shall examine, according to the principles of these pretended Christians, not as the word or testimony of God himself, but as the production of a mere human writer and historian. Here then we are first to consider a book, presented to us by a barbarous and ignorant people, wrote in an age when they were still more barbarous, and in all probability long after the facts which it relates; corroborated by no concurring testimony, and resembling those fabulous accounts, which every nation gives of its origin. Upon reading this book, we find it full of prodigies and mi-It gives an account of a state of the world and of human nature intirely different from the prefent: Of our fall from that state: Of the age of man, extended to near a thousand years: Of the destruction of the world by a deluge: Of the arbitrary choice of one people, as the favourites of heaven; and that people, the countrymen of the author: Of their deliverance from bondage by prodigies the most astonishing imaginable: I defire any one to lay his hand upon his heart, and after ferious confideration declare, whether he thinks that the falshood of such a book, supported by such a testimony,

[&]quot; feverissimo delectu, ut constet sides. Maxime autem habenda sunt pro suspectis quæ pendent quomodocunque ex religione, ut prodigia Livii: Nec

[&]quot;minus quæ inveniuntur in scriptoribus magiæ naturalis, aut etiam alchy"miæ, & hujusmodi homivibus; qui tanquam proci sunt & amatores sa-

⁶⁶ bularum."

would be more extraordinary and miraculous than all the miracles it relates; which is, however, necessary to make it be received, according to the measures of probability above established.

What we have faid of miracles may be applied, without any variation, to prophecies; and indeed, all prophecies are real miracles, and as fuch only, can be admitted as proofs of any revelation. If it did not exceed the capacity of human nature to foretel future events, it would be abfurd to employ any prophecy as an argument for a divine mission or authority from heaven. So that, upon the whole, we may conclude, that the Christian Religion not only was at first attended with miracles, but even at this day cannot be believed by any reasonable person without one. Mere reason is insufficient to convince us of its veracity: And whoever is moved by Faith to affent to it, is conscious of a continued miracle in his own person, which subverts all the principles of his understanding, and gives him a determination to believe what is most contrary to custom and experience.

SECTION XI.

Of a Particular Providence and of a Future State.

WAS lately engaged in conversation with a friend who loves sceptical paradoxes; where, though he advanced many principles, of which I can by no means approve, yet as they seem to be curious, and to bear some relation to the chain of reasoning carried on through this enquiry, I shall here copy them from my memory as accurately as I can, in order to submit them to the judgment of the reader.

Our conversation began with my admiring the singular good fortune of philosophy, which, as it requires intire liberty, above all other privileges, and flourishes chiefly from the free opposition of sentiments and argumentation, received its first birth in an age and country of freedom and toleration, and was never cramped, even in its most extravagant principles, by any creeds, confessions, or penal statutes. For except the banishment of Protago-Ras, and the death of Socrates, which last event proceeded partly from other motives, there are scarce any instances to be met with, in antient history, of this bigotted jealousy, with which the present age is so much insested. Epicurus lived at Athens to an advanced age, in peace and tranquillity: Epicureans * were even

^{*} LUCIANI συμιπ. ή, λαπιθαί.

admitted to receive the facerdotal character, and to officiate at the altar, in the most facred rites of the established religion: And the public encouragement † of pensions and salaries was afforded equally by the wifest of all the Roman emperors ‡, to the professors of every sect of philosophy. How requisite such kind of treatment was to philosophy, in its first origin, will easily be conceived, if we restect, that even at present, when it may be supposed more hardy and robust, it bears with much difficulty the inclemency of the seasons, and those harsh winds of calumny and persecution, which blow upon it.

You admire, fays my friend, as the fingular good fortune of philosophy, what seems to result from the natural course of things, and to be unavoidable in every age and nation. This pertinacious bigotry, of which you complain, as fo fatal to philosophy, is really her offfpring, who, after allying with superstition, separates himfelf intirely from the interests of his parent, and becomes her most inveterate enemy and persecutor. Speculative dogmas of religion, the present occasions of such furious dispute, could not possibly be conceived or admitted in the early ages of the world; when mankind, being wholly illiterate, formed an idea of religion more fuitable to their weak apprehensions, and composed their facred tenets chiefly of fuch tales as were the objects of traditional belief, more than of argument or disputation. After the first alarm, therefore, was over, which arose from the new paradoxes and principles of the pholosophers; these teachers feem ever after, during the ages of antiquity, to have lived in great harmony with the established superstitions, and to have made a fair partition of mankind between them; the former claiming all the learned and the wife, and the latter possessing all the vulgar and illiterate.

It seems then, says I, that you leave politics intirely out of the question, and never suppose, that a wife magi-

[†] Id. ευνεχ . ‡ Id. & Dio.

firate can justly be jealous of certain tenets of philosophy, such as those of Epicurus, which denying a divine existence, and consequently a providence and a suture state, seem to loosen, in a great measure, the ties of morality, and may be supposed, for that reason, pernicious to the peace of sixil society.

I know, replied he, that in fact these persecutions never, in any age, proceeded from calm reason, or any experience of the pernicious consequences of philosophy; but arose entirely from passion and prejudice. But what if I should advance farther, and assert, that if Epicurus had been accused before the people, by any of the sycophants or informers of those days, he could easily have defended his cause, and have proved his principles of philosophy to be as salutary as those of his adversaries, who endeavoured, with such zeal, to expose him to the public hatred and jealousy?

I wish, said I, you would try your eloquence upon so extraordinary a topic, and make a speech for EPICURUS, which might satisfy, not the mob of ATHENS, if you will allow that antient and polite city to have contained any mob, but the more philosophical part of his audience, such as might be supposed capable of comprehending his arguments.

The matter would not be difficult, upon such conditions, replied he! And if you please, I shall suppose myself Epicurus for a moment, and make you stand for the ATHENIAN people, and shall deliver you such an harangue as will fill all the urn with white beans, and leave not a black one to gratify the malice of my adversaries.

Very well: Pray proceed upon these suppositions.

I come hither, O ye ATHENIANS, to justify in your affembly what I maintained in my school, and I find my-

felf impeached by furious antagonists, instead of reasoning with calm and dispassionate inquirers. Your deliberations, which of right should be directed to questions of public good, and the interests of the commonwealth, are diverted to the disquisitions of speculative philosophy; and these magnificent, but perhaps fruitless inquiries, take place of your more familiar but more useful occupations. But so far as in me lies, I will prevent this We shall not here dispute concerning the origin and government of worlds. We shall only inquire how far fuch questions concern the public interest. And if I can perfuade you, that they are intirely indifferent to the peace of fociety and fecurity of government, I hope that you will prefently fend us back to our schools, there to examine at leifure the question the most sublime. but, at the fame time, the most speculative of all philofophy.

The religious philosophers, not fatisfied with the traditions of your forefathers, and doctrines of your priefts (in which I willingly acquiesce) indulge a rash curiosity, in trying how far they can establish religion upon the principles of reason; and they thereby excite, instead of fatisfying, the doubts, which naturally arise from a diligent and fcrutinous inquiry. They paint, in the most magnificent colours, the order, beauty, and wife arrangement of the universe; and then ask, if such a glorious display of intelligence could proceed from the fortuitous concourse of atoms, or if chance could produce what the highest genius can never sufficiently admire. I shall not examine the justness of this argument. I shall allow it to be as folid as my antagonists and accusers can de-'Tis sufficient, if I can prove, from this very reafoning, that the question is intirely speculative, and that when, in my philosophical disquisitions, I deny a providence and a future state, I undermine not the foundations of fociety, but advance principles, which they themfelves, upon their own topics, if they argue confiftently, must allow to be folid and fatisfactory.

You then, who are my accusers, have acknowleged, that the chief or sole argument for a divine existence (which I never questioned) is derived from the order of nature; where there appear such marks of intelligence and design, that you think it extravagant to assign for its cause, either chance, or the blind and unguided force of matter. You allow, that this is an argument drawn from effects to causes. From the order of the work, you infer, that there must have been project and forethought in the workman. If you cannot make out this point, you allow, that your conclusion fails; and you pretend not to establish the conclusion in a greater latitude than the phænomena of nature will justify. These are your concessions. I desire you to mark the consequences.

When we infer any particular cause from an effect, we must proportion the one to the other, and can never be allowed to ascribe to the cause any qualities, but what are exactly sufficient to produce the effect. A body of ten ounces raised in any scale may serve as a proof, that the counterbalancing weight exceeds ten ounces; but can never afford a reason that it exceeds a hundred. If the cause, affigned for any effect, be not sufficient to produce it, we must either reject that cause, or add to it such qualities as will give it a just proportion to the effect. But if we ascribe to it farther qualities, or affirm it capable of producing other effects, we can only indulge the licence of conjecture, and arbitrarily suppose the existence of qualities and energies, without reason or authority.

The same rule holds, whether the cause assigned be brute unconscious matter, or a rational intelligent being.

If the cause be known only by the effect, we never ought to affign to it any qualities, beyond what are precifely requisite to produce the effect: Nor can we, by any rules of just reasoning, return back from the cause, and infer other effects from it, beyond those by which alone it is known to us. No one, merely from the fight of one of ZEUXIS's pictures, could know, that he was also a statuary or architect, and was an artist no less skilful in stone and marble than in colours. The talents and taste displayed in the particular work before us; these we may fafely conclude the workman to be possessed of. The cause must be proportioned to the effect; and if we exactly and precifely proportion it, we shall never find in it any qualities that point farther, or afford an inference concerning any other delign or performance. Such qualities must be somewhat beyond what is merely requisite to produce the effect which we examine.

Allowing, therefore, the gods to be the authors of the existence or order of the universe; it follows, that they possess that precise degree of power, intelligence, and benevolence, which appears in their workmanship; but nothing farther can ever be proved, except we call in the affiftance of exaggeration and flattery to supply the defects of argument and reasoning. So far as the traces of any attributes, at present, appear, so far may we conclude these attributes to exist. The supposition of farther attributes is mere hypothesis; much more, the supposition, that, in distant periods of place and time, there has been, or will be, a more magnificent display of these attributes, and a scheme of administration more suitable to fuch imaginary virtues. We can never be allowed to mount up from the universe, the effect, to JUPITER, the cause; and then descend downwards, to infer any new effect from that cause; as if the present effects alone were not intirely worthy of the glorious attributes which

Of a Providence and Future State. 155

we ascribe to that deity. The knowlege of the cause being derived solely from the effect, they must be exactly adjusted to each other, and the one can never refer to any thing farther, or be the foundation of any new inference and conclusion.

You find certain phænomena in nature. You feek a cause or author. You imagine that you have found him. You afterwards become so enamoured of this offsrping of your brain, that you imagine it impossible but he must produce something greater and more perfect than the prefent scene of things, which is so full of ill and disorder. You forget, that this superlative intelligence and benevolence are intirely imaginary, or, at least, without any foundation in reason; and that you have no ground to ascribe to him any qualities, but what you see he has actually exerted and displayed in his productions. Let your gods, therefore, O philosophers, be fuited to the present appearances of nature: And presume not to alter these appearances by arbitrary suppositions, in order to fuit them to the attributes, which you fo fondly afcribe to your deities.

When priests and poets, supported by your authority, O ATHENIANS, talk of a golden or a silver age, which preceded the present scene of vice and misery, I hear them with attention and with reverence. But when philosophers, who pretend to neglect authority, and to cultivate reason, hold the same discourse, I pay them not, I own, the same obsequious submission and pious deference. I ask; who carried them into the celestial regions, who admitted them into the councils of the gods, who opened to them the book of sate, that they thus rashly affirm that their deities have executed, or will execute, any purpose, beyond what has actually appeared? If they tell me, that they have mounted on the steps or by the gradual ascent of reason, and by drawing inferences

rences from effects to causes, I still insist, that they have aided the ascent of reason by the wings of imagination; otherwise they could not thus change their manner of inference, and argue from causes to effects; presuming, that a more perfect production than the present world would be more suitable to such perfect beings as the gods, and forgetting that they have no reason to ascribe to these celestial beings any perfection or any attribute, but what can be found in the present world.

Hence all the fruitless industry to account for the ill appearances of nature, and fave the honour of the gods; while we must acknowlege the reality of that evil and disorder, with which the world so much abounds. The obstinate and intractable qualities of matter, we are told, or the observance of general laws, or some such reason, is the fole cause, which controlled the power and benevolence of JUPITER, and obliged him to create mankind and every fensible creature so imperfect and so unhappy. These attributes, then, are, it seems, beforehand, taken for granted, in their greatest latitude. And upon that fuppolition, I own, that fuch conjectures may, perhaps, be admitted as plaufible folutions of the ill phænomena. But still I ask; Why take these attributes for granted, or why ascribe to the cause any qualities but what actually appear in the effect? Why torture your brain to justify the course of nature upon suppositions, which, for aught you know, may be intirely imaginary, and of which there are to be found no traces in the course of nature ?

The religious hypothesis, therefore, must be considered only as a particular method of accounting for the visible phænomena of the universe: But no just reasoner will ever presume to infer from it any single fact, and alter or add to the phænomena, in any single particular. If you think that the appearances of things prove such causes,

Of a Providence and Future State, 157

'tis allowable for you to draw an inference concerning the existence of these causes. In such complicated and sublime subjects, every one should be indulged in the liberty of conjecture and argument. But here you ought to rest. If you come backward, and arguing from your inferred causes, conclude, that any other fact has existed, or will exist, in the course of nature, which may serve for a fuller display of particular attributes; I must admonish you, that you have departed from the method of reasoning, attached to the present subject, and must certainly have added something to the attributes of the cause, beyond what appears in the effect; otherwise you could never, with tolerable sense or propriety, add any thing to the effect, in order to render it more worthy of the cause.

Where, then, is the odiousness of that doctrine, which I teach in my school, or rather, which I examine in my gardens? Or what do you find in this whole question, wherein the security of good morals, or the peace and order of society is in the least concerned?

I deny a providence, you fay, and fupreme governour of the world, who guides the course of events, and punishes the vicious with infamy and disappointment. and rewards the virtuous with honour and fuccess, in all their undertakings. But furely, I deny not the course itself of events, which lies open to every one's inquiry and examination. I acknowlege, that, in the present order of things, virtue is attended with more peace of mind than vice; and meets with a more favourable reception from the world. I am fensible, that, according to the past experience of mankind, friendship is the chief joy of human life, and moderation the only source of tranquillity and happiness. I never balance between the virtuous and the vicious course of life; but am sensible that, to a well disposed mind, every advantage is on the fide

fide of the former. And what can you fay more, allowing all your suppositions and reasonings? You tell me, indeed, that this disposition of things proceeds from intelligence and defign. But whatever it proceeds from, the difpofition itself, on which depends our happiness or misery, and confequently our conduct and deportment in life, is Tis still open for me, as well as you, to still the fame. regulate my behaviour, by my experience of past events. And if you affirm, that, while a divine providence is allowed, and a supreme distributive justice in the universe, I ought to expect some more particular reward of the good, and punishment of the bad, beyond the ordinary course of events; I here find the same fallacy, which I have before endeavoured to detect. You perfift in imagining, that, if we grant that divine existence, for which you so earnestly contend, you may safely infer consequences from it, and add fomething to the experienced order of nature, by arguing from the attributes which you ascribe to your gods. You seem not to remember. that all your reasonings on this subject can only be drawn from effects to causes; and that every argument, deduced from causes to effects, must of necessity be a gross fophism; fince it is impossible for you to know any thing of the cause, but what you have, antecedently, not inferred, but discovered to the full, in the effect.

But what must a philosopher judge of those vain reafoners, who, instead of regarding the present scene of things as the sole object of their contemplation, so far reverse the whole course of nature, as to render this life merely a passage to something farther; a porch, which leads to a greater, and vastly different building; a prologue, which serves only to introduce the piece, and give it more grace and propriety? Whence, do you think, can such philosophers derive their idea of the gods? From their own conceit and imagination surely. For if they derived derived it from the present phænomena, it would never point to any thing farther, but must be exactly adjusted to them. That the divinity may possibly possess attributes, which we have never seen exerted; may be governed by principles of action, which we cannot discover to be satisfied: All this will freely be allowed. But still this is mere possibility and hypothesis. We never can have reason to infer any attributes, or any principles of action in him, but so far as we know them to have been exerted and satisfied.

Are there any marks of a distributive justice in the world? If you answer in the affirmative, I conclude, that, fince justice here exerts itself, it is satisfied. If you reply in the negative, I conclude, that you have then no reason to ascribe justice, in our sense of it, to the gods. If you hold a medium between affirmation and negation, by saying, that the justice of the gods, at present, exerts itself in part, but not in its full extent; I answer, that you have no reason to give it any particular extent, but only so far as you see it, at present, exert itself.

Thus I bring the dispute, O ATHENIANS, to a short issue with my antagonists. The course of nature lies open to my contemplation as well as to theirs. The experienced train of events is the great standard by which we all regulate our conduct. Nothing else can be appealed to in the field, or in the senate. Nothing else ought ever to be heard of in the school, or in the closet. In vain would our limited understandings break through those boundaries, which are too narrow for our fond imaginations. While we argue from the course of nature, and infer a particular intelligent cause, which first bestowed, and still preserves order in the universe, we embrace a principle which is both uncertain and useless. Tis uncertain; because the subject lies entirely beyond the reach of human experience. Tis useless; because

our knowlege of this cause being derived intirely from the course of nature, we can never according to the rules of just reasoning, return back from the cause with any new inferences, or making additions to the common and experienced course of nature, establish any new principles of conduct and behaviour,

I observe (says I, finding he had finished his harangue) that you neglect not the artifice of the demagogues of old; and as you was pleased to make me stand for the people, you infinuate yourfelf into my favour by embracing those principles, to which, you know, I have always expressed a particular attachment. But allowing you to make experience (as indeed I think you ought) the only standard of our judgment concerning this, and all other questions of fact; I doubt not but, from the very fame experience, to which you appeal, it may be possible to refute this reasoning, which you have put into the mouth of EPICURUS. If you faw, for instance, a half-finished building surrounded with heaps of brick and stone and mortar, and all the instruments of masonry; could you not infer from the effect, that it was a work of delign and contrivance? And could you not return again from this inferred cause, to infer new additions to the effect, and conclude, that the building would foon be finished, and receive all the farther improvements, which art could bestow upon it? If you saw upon the sea-shore the print of one human foot, you would conclude, that a man had passed that way, and that he had also left the traces of the other foot, tho' effaced by the rolling of the fands or inundation of the waters. Why then do you refuse to admit the same method of reasoning with regard to the order of nature? Confider the world and the present life only as an imperfect building, from which you can infer a superior intelligence; and arguing from that superior intelligence, which can leave nothing imperfect;

perfect; why may you not infer a more finished scheme or plan, which will receive its completion in some distant period of space or time? Are not these methods of reasoning exactly parallel? And under what pretence can you embrace the one, while you reject the other?

The infinite difference of the subjects, replied he, is a fufficient foundation for this difference in my conclusions. In works of human art and contrivance, !tiseallowable to advance from the effect to the cause, and returning back from the cause, to form new inferences concerning the effect, and examine the alterations which it has probably undergone, or may still undergo. But what is the foundation of this method of reasoning? Plainly this; that man is a being, whom we know by experience, whose motives and defigns we are acquainted with, and whose projects and inclinations have a certain connexion and coherence, according to the laws which nature has effablished for the government of such a creature. When, therefore, we find, that any work has proceeded from the skill and industry of man; as we are otherwise acquainted with the nature of the animal, we can draw a hundred inferences concerning what may be expected from him; and these inferences will all be founded in experience and observation. But did we know man only from the fingle work or production which we examine, it were impossible for us to argue in this manner; because our knowlege of all the qualities, which we ascribe to him, being in that case derived from the production, 'tis impossible they could point to any thing farther, or be the foundation of any new inference. The print of a foot in the fand can only prove, when confidered alone, that there was fome figure adapted to it, by which it was produced: But the print of a human foot proves likewise, from our other experience, that there was probably another foot, which also left its impression, though effaced by time or other Vol. II. M. accidents.

accidents. Here we mount from the effect to the cause; and descending again from the cause, infer alterations in the effect; but this is not a continuation of the same simple chain of reasoning. We comprehend in this case a hundred other experiences and observations, concerning the usual sigure and members of that species of animal, without which this method of argument must be considered as fallacious and sophistical.

The case is not the same with our reasonings from the works of nature. The Deity is known to us only by his productions, and is a fingle being in the universe, not comprehended under any species or genus, from whose experienced attributes or qualities, we can, by analogy, infer any attribute or quality in him. As the universe shews wisdom and goodness; we infer wisdom and good-As it shows a particular degree of these perfections, we infer a particular degree of them, precifely adapted to the effect which we examine. But farther attributes or farther degrees of the same attributes, we can never be authorifed to infer or suppose, by any rules of just reasoning. Now without some such licence of supposition, 'tis impossible for us to argue from the cause, or infer any alteration in the effect, beyond what has immediately fallen under our observation. Greater good produced by this Being must still prove a greater degree of goodness: A more impartial distribution of rewards and punishments must proceed from a superior regard to justice and equity. Every supposed addition to the works of nature makes an addition to the attributes of the Author of nature; and consequently, being intirely unfunported by any reason or argument, can never be admitted but as mere conjecture and hypothesis *.

The

^{*} In general, it may, I think, be established as a maxim, that where any cause is known only by its particular effects, it must be impossible to infer any new effects from that cause; since the qualities, which are requisite to produce these new effects along with the former, must either be different,

The great source of our mistake in this subject, and of the unbounded licence of conjecture, which we indulge is, that we tacitly confider ourselves, as in the place of the Supreme Being, and conclude, that he will, on every occasion, observe the same conduct, which we ourselves, in his situation, would have embraced as reafonable and eligible. But, belides that the ordinary course of nature may convince us, that almost every thing is regulated by principles and maxims very different from ours; besides this, I say, it must evidently appear contrary to all rule of analogy to reason, from the intentions and projects of man, to those of a Being so different, and fo much superior. In human nature, there is a certain experienced coherence of defigns and inclinations; fo that when, from any facts, we have discovered one intention of any man, it may often be reasonable, from experience, to infer another, and draw a long chain of conclusions concerning his past or future conduct. But this method of reasoning never can have place with regard to a Being, fo remote and incomprehenfible, who bears much less analogy to any other being in the universe than the sun to a waxen taper, and who discovers himself only by some faint traces or outlines, beyond which we have no authority to ascribe to him any attri-

or superior, or of more extensive operation, than those which simply produced the effect, whence alone the cause is supposed to be known to us. We can never, therefore, have any reason to suppose the existence of these qualities. To say that the new effects proceed only from a continuation of the same energy, which is already known from the first effects, will not remove the difficulty. For even granting this to be the case, (which can seldom be supposed) the very continuation and exertion of a like energy (for 'tis impossible it can be absolutely the same) I say, this exertion of a like energy in a different period of space and time is a very arbitrary supposition; and what there cannot possibly be any traces of in the effects, from which all our knowledge of the cause is originally derived. Let the inferred cause be exactly proportioned (as it should be) to the known effect; and 'tis impossible that it can possess any qualities, from which new or different effects can be inferred.

bute or perfection. What we imagine to be a superior perfection may really be a defect. Or were it ever so much a perfection, the afcribing it to the Supreme Being, where it appears not to have been really exerted, to the full, in his works, favours more of flattery and panegyric, than of just reasoning and sound philosophy. philosophy, therefore, in the world, and all the religion which is nothing but a species of philosophy, will never be able to carry us beyond the usual course of experience, or give us measures of conduct and behaviour different from those which are furnished by reflections on common life. No new fact can ever be inferred from the religious hypothesis; no event foreseen or foretold; no reward or punishment expected or dreaded, beyond what is already known by practice and observation. So that my apology for EPICURUS will still appear solid and satisfactory; nor have the political interests of society any connexion with the philosophical disputes concerning metaphysics and religion.

There is still one circumstance, replied I, which you feem to have overlooked. Though I should allow your premises, I must still deny your conclusion. You conclude, that religious doctrines and reasonings can have no insuence on life, because they ought to have no insuence; never considering, that men reason not in the same manner you do, but draw many consequences from the belief of a divine Existence, and suppose that the Deity will inslict punishments on vice, and bestow rewards on virtue, beyond what appear in the ordinary course of nature. Whether this reasoning of theirs be just or not, is no matter. Its insluence on their life and conduct must still be the same. And those, who attempt to disabuse them of such prejudices, may, for aught I know, be good reasoners, but I cannot allow them to be good citizens and politicians; since they free men from

one restraint upon their passions, and make the infringement of the laws of society, in one respect, more easy and secure.

After all, I may, perhaps, agree to your general conclusion in favour of liberty, the upon different premises from those, on which you endeayour to found it. I think that the state ought to tolerate every principle of philosophy; nor is there an instance that any government has suffered in its political interests by such indulgence. There is no enthusiasm among philosophers; their doctrines are not very alluring to the people; and no restraint can be put upon their reasonings, but what must be of dangerous consequence to the sciences, and even to the state, by paving the way for persecution and oppression in points where the generality of mankind are more deeply interested and concerned.

But there occurs to me (continued I) with regard to your main topic, a difficulty, which I shall just propose to you, without infifting on it; lest it lead into reasonings of too nice and delicate a nature. In a word, I much doubt whether it be possible for a cause to be known only by its effect (as you have all along supposed) or to be of fo fingular and particular a nature as to have no parallel and no fimilarity with any other cause or object, that has ever fallen under our observation. 'Tis only when two species of objects are found to be constantly conjoined, that we can infer the one from the other; and were an effect prefented, which was intirely fingular, and could not be comprehended under any known species, I do not see, that we could form any conjecture or inference at all concerning its cause. If experience and obfervation and analogy be, indeed, the only guides which we can reasonably follow in inferences of this nature; both the effect and cause must bear a similarity and resemblance to other effects and causes which we know, and which we have found, in many instances, to be conjoined with each other. I leave it to your own resections to pursue the consequences of this principle. I shall just observe, that as the antagonists of Epicurus always suppose the universe, an effect quite singular and unparalleled, to be the proof of a Deity, a cause no less singular and unparalleled; your reasonings, upon that supposition, seem, at least, to merit our attention. There is, I own, some difficulty, how we can ever return from the cause to the effect, and reasoning from our ideas of the sormer, infer any alteration on the latter, or any addition to it.

SECTION XII.

Of the Academical or Sceptical Philosophy.

PART I.

HERE is not a greater number of philosophical reasonings, displayed upon any subject, than those, which prove the existence of a Deity, and resute the fallacies of Atheists; and yet the most religious philosophers still dispute whether any man can be so blinded as to be a speculative atheist. How shall we reconcile these contradictions? The knight-errants, who wandered about to clear the world of dragons and giants, never entertained the least doubt with regard to the existence of these monsters.

The Sceptic is another enemy of religion, who naturally provokes the indignation of all divines and graver philosophers; tho' 'tis certain, that no man ever met with any such absurd creature, or conversed with a man, who had no opinion or principle concerning any subject, either of action or speculation. This begets a very natural question; What is meant by a sceptic? And how far it is possible to push these philosophical principles of doubt and uncertainty?

There is a species of scepticism, antecedent to all study and philosophy, which is much inculcated by DES CAR-

TES and others, as a fovereign preservative against error and precipitate judgment. It recommends an universal doubt, not only of all our former opinions and principles, but also of our very faculties; of whose veracity, fav they, we must assure ourselves, by a chain of reasoning, deduced from fome original principle, which cannot possibly be fallacious or deceitful. But neither is there any fuch original principle, which has a prerogative above others, that are felr-evident and convincing: Or if there were, could we advance a step beyond it, but by the use of those very faculties, of which we are supposed to be already diffident. The CARTESIAN doubt, therefore, were it ever possible to be attained by any human creature (as it plainly is not) would be entirely incurable: and no reasoning could ever bring us to a state of affurance and conviction upon any subject.

It must, however, be consessed, that this species of scepticism, when more moderate, may be understood in a very reasonable sense, and is a necessary preparative to the study of philosophy, by preserving a proper impartiality in our judgments, and weaning our mind from all those prejudices, which we may have imbibed from education or rash opinion. To begin with clear and self-evident principles, to advance by timorous and sure steps, to review frequently our conclusions, and examine accurately all their consequences; tho' by this means we shall make both a slow and a short progress in our systems; are the only methods, by which we can ever hope to reach truth, and attain a proper stability and certainty in our determinations.

There is another species of scepticism, consequent to science and enquiry, where men are supposed to have discovered, either the absolute fallacious sets of their mental faculties, or their unfitness to reach any fixed determination in all those curious subjects of speculation, about which

which they are commonly employed. Even our very fenses are brought into dispute, by a certain species of philosophers; and the maxims of common life are subjected to the same doubt as the most prosound principles or conclusions of metaphysics and theology. As these paradoxical tenets (if they may be called tenets) are to be met with in some philosophers, and the resutation of them in several, they naturally excite our curiosity, and make us enquire into the arguments, on which they may be founded.

I need not infift upon the more trite topics, employed by the sceptics in all ages, against the evidence of sense: fuch as those derived from the imperfection and fallaciousness of our organs, on numberless occasions; the crooked appearance of an oar in water; the various aspects of objects, according to their different distances; the double images which arise from the pressing one eye; with many other appearances of a like nature. The sceptical topics, indeed, are only fufficient to prove, that the fenses alone are not implicitly to be depended on; but that we must correct their evidence by reason, and by confiderations, derived from the nature of the medium. the distance of the object, and the disposition of the organ, in order to render them, within their sphere, the proper criteria of truth and falshood. There are other more profound arguments against the senses, which admit not of fo easy a solution.

It feems evident, that men are carried, by a natural inflinct or prepoffession, to repose faith in their senses; and that, without any reasoning, or even almost before the use of reason, we always suppose an external universe, which depends not on our perception, but would exist, tho' we and every sensible creature were absent or annihilated. Even the animal creation are governed

by a like opinion, and preserve this belief of external objects, in all their thoughts, designs, and actions.

It feems also evident, that when men follow this blind and powerful instinct of nature, they always suppose the very images, presented by the senses, to be the external objects, and never entertain any suspicion, that the one are nothing but representations of the other. This very table, which we see white, and which we feel hard, is believed to exist, independent of our perception, and to be something external to our mind, which perceives it. Our presence bestows not being on it: Our absence annihilates it not. It preserves its existence uniform and entire, independent of the situation of intelligent beings, who perceive or contemplate it.

-But this universal and primary opinion of all men is foon destroyed by the slightest philosophy, which teaches us, that nothing can ever be present to the mind but an image or perception, and that the fenses are only the inlets, thro' which these images are received, without being ever able to produce any immediate intercourse between the mind and the object. The table, which we fee, feems to diminish, as we remove farther from it: But the real table which exists independent of us, suffers no alteration: It was, therefore, nothing but its image, which was present to the mind. These are the obvious dictates of reason; and no man, who reflects, ever doubted, that the existences, which we consider, when we fay, this house and that tree, are nothing but perceptions in the mind, and fleeting copies or representations of other existences, which remain uniform and independent.

So far, then, are we necessitated by reasoning to contradict or depart from the primary instincts of nature, and to embrace a new system with regard to the evidence of our fenses. But here philosophy finds itself extremely embarrassed, when it would justify this new system, and obviate the cavils and objections of the sceptics. It can no longer plead the infallible and irresistible instinct of nature: For that led us to a quite different system, which is acknowleged fallible and even erroneous. And to justify this pretended philosophical system, by a chain of clear and convincing argument, or even any appearance of argument, exceeds the power of all human capacity.

By what argument can it be proved, that the perceptions of the mind must be caused by external objects, entirely different from them, tho' resembling them (if that be possible) and could not arise either from the energy of the mind itself, or from the suggestion of some invisible and unknown spirit, or from some other cause still more unknown to us? 'Tis acknowleged, that, in fact, many of these perceptions arise not from any thing external, as in dreams, madness, and other diseases. And nothing can be more inexplicable than the manner, in which body should so operate upon mind as ever to convey an image of itself to a substance supposed of so different, and even a contrary nature.

'Tis a question of sact, whether the perceptions of the senses be produced by external objects, resembling them: How shall this question be determined! By experience surely; as all other questions of a like nature. But here experience is, and must be entirely silent. The mind has never any thing present to it but the perceptions, and cannot possibly reach any experience of their connexion with objects. The supposition of such a connexion is, therefore, without any soundation in reasoning.

To have recourse to the veracity of the supreme Being, in order to prove the veracity of our senses, is surely making making a very unexpected circuit. If his veracity were at all concerned in this matter, our fenses would be entirely infallible; because it is not possible that he can ever deceive. Not to mention, that if the external world be once called in doubt, we shall be at a loss to find arguments, by which we may prove the existence of that Being or any of his attributes.

This is a topic, therefore, in which the profounder and more philosophical sceptics will always triumph, when they endeavour to introduce an universal doubt into all subjects of human knowlege and enquiry. Do you follow the instincts and propensities of nature, may they say, in assenting to the veracity of sense; But these lead you to believe, that the very perception or sensible image is the external object. Do you disclaim this principle, in order to embrace a more rational opinion, that the perceptions are only representations of something external? You here depart from your natural propensities and more obvious sentiments; and yet are not able to satisfy your reason, which can never find any convincing argument from experience to prove, that the perceptions are connected with any external objects.

There is another sceptical topic of a like nature derived from the most prosound philosophy; which might merit our attention, were it requisite to dive so deep, in order to discover arguments and reasonings, which can serve so little any serious purpose. 'Tis universally allowed by modern enquirers, that all the sensible qualities of objects, such as hard, soft, hot, cold, white, black, &c. are merely secondary, and exist not in the objects themselves, but are perceptions of the mind, without any external archetype or model, which they represent. If this be allowed, with regard to secondary qualities, it must also sollow with regard to the supposed primary qualities of extension and solidity; nor can the

ACADEMICAL OF SCEPTICAL PHILOSOPHY. 173

latter be any more entitled to that denomination than the former. The idea of extension is entirely acquired from the fenses of fight and feeling; and if all the qualities, perceived by the fenses, be in the mind, not in the object, the same conclusion must reach the idea of extenfion, which is wholly dependent on the fenfible ideas or the ideas of fecondary qualities. Nothing can fave us from this conclusion, but the afferting, that the ideas of those primary qualities are attained by Abstraction; which, if we examine accurately, we shall find to be unintelligible, and even absurd. An extension, that is neither tangible nor visible, cannot possibly be conceived: And a tangible or visible extension, which is neither hard nor foft, black nor white, is equally beyond the reach of human conception. Let any man try to conceive a triangle in general, which is neither Theeles nor Scalenum, nor has any particular length nor proportion of fides; and he will foon perceive the abfurdity of all the scholastic notions with regard to abstraction and general ideas *.

Thus the first philosophical objection to the evidence of sense or to the opinion of external existence consists in this, that such an opinion, if rested on natural instinct, is contrary to reason, and if reserved to reason, is contrary to natural instinct, and at the same time carries no rational evidence with it, to convince an impartial enquirer. The second objection goes farther, and repre-

^{*} This argument is drawn from Dr. Berker; and indeed most of the writings of that very ingenious author from the best lessons of scepticism, which are to be sound either among the ancient or modern philosophers, Bayer not excepted. He professes, however, in his title-page (and undoubtedly with great truth) to have composed his book against the sceptics as well as against the atheists and free-thinkets. But that all his arguments, the otherwise intended, are, in reality, merely sceptical, appears from this, that they admit of no answer and produce no conviction. Their only effect is to cause that momentary amazement and irresolution and consuston, which is the result of scepticism.

fents this opinion as contrary to reason; at least, if it be a principle of reason, that all sensible qualities are in the mind, not in the object.

PART II.

It may feem a very extravagant attempt of the sceptics to destroy reason by argument and ratiocination; yet is this the grand scope of all their enquiries and disputes. They endeavour to find objections, both to our abstract reasonings, and to those which regard matter of fact and existence.

The chief objection against all abstract reasonings is derived from the ideas of space and time; ideas, which, in common life and to a careless view, are very clear and intelligible, but when they pass thro' the scrutiny of the profound sciences (and they are the chief object of these sciences) afford principles which seem full of abfurdity and contradiction. No priestly dogmas, invented on purpose to tame and subdue the rebellious reason of mankind, ever shocked common sense more than the doctrine of the infinite divisibility of extension, with its consequences; as they are pompoully displayed by all geometricians and metaphylicians, with a kind of triumph and exultation. A real quantity, infinitely less than any finite quantity, containing quantities, infinitely less than itself, and so on, in infinitum; this is an edifice so bold and prodigious, that it is too weighty for any pretended demonstration to support, because it shocks the clearest and most natural principles of human reason*. what

[§] Whatever disputes there may be about mathematical points, we must allow that there are physical points; that is, parts of extension, which cannot be divided or lessend, either by the eye or imagination. These images, then, which are present to the fancy or senses, are absolutely indivisible, and consequently must be allowed by mathematicians to be infinitely less than any

what renders the matter more extraordinary, is, that these seemingly absurd opinions are supported by a chain of reasoning, the clearest and most natural; nor is it possible for us to allow the premises without admitting the confequences. Nothing can be more convincing and fatisfactory than all the conclusions concerning the properties of circles and triangles; and yet, when these are once received, how can we deny, that the angle of contact between a circle and its tangent is infinitely less than any rectilineal angle, that as you may increase the diameter of the circle in infinitum, this angle of contact becomes fill less, even in infinitum, and that the angle of contact between other curves and their tangents may be infinitely less than those between any circle and its tangent, and so on, in infinitum? The demonstration of these principles seems as unexceptionable as that which proves the three angles of a triangle to be equal to two right ones; tho' the latter opinion be natural and easy, and the former big with contradiction and abfurdity. Reason here seems to be thrown into a kind of amazement and suspence, which, without the suggestions of any sceptic, gives her a diffidence of herself, and of the ground on which she treads. She sees a full light, which illuminates certain places; but that light borders upon the most profound darkness. And between these she is so dazzled and confounded, that she scarce can pronounce with certainty and affurance concerning any one object.

The absurdity of these bold determinations of the absuract sciences seems to become, if possible, still more palpable with regard to time than extension. An infinite number of real parts of time, passing in succession, and

real part of extention; and yet nothing appears more certain to reason, than that an infinite number of them composes an infinite extension. How much more an infinite number of those infinitely small parts of extension, which are still supposed infinitely divisible.

exhausted one after another, appears so evident a contradiction, that no man, one should think, whose judgment is not corrupted, instead of being improved, by the sciences, would ever be able to admit of it.

Yet still reason must remain restless and unquiet, even with regard to that scepticism, to which she is led by these seeming absurdations and contradictions. How any clear, distinct idea can contain circumstances, contradictory to itself, or to any other clear, distinct idea, is absolutely incomprehensible; and is, perhaps, as absurd as any proposition, which can be formed. So that nothing can be more sceptical, or more full of doubt and hesitation, than this scepticism itself, which arises from some of the paradoxical conclusions of geometry or the science of quantity *.

The feeptical objections to moral evidence, or to the reasonings concerning matter of fact are either popular or philosophical. The popular objections are derived from the natural weakness of human understanding; the contradictory opinions, which have been entertained in dif-

ferent

[#] It feems to me not impossible to avoid these absurdities and contradictions, if it be admitted, that there is no fuch thing as abstract or general ideas, properly speaking; but that all general ideas are, in reality, particular ones, attached to a general term, which recalls, upon occasion, other particular ones, that resemble, in certain circumstances, the idea, present to the mind. Thus when the term Horse, is pronounced, we immediately figure to ourseves the idea of a black or a white animal, of a particular fize or figure: But as that term is also used to be applied to animals of other colours, figures and fizes, these ideas, tho' not actually present to the imagination, are easily recalled, and our reasoning and conclusion proceed in the same way, as if they were actually present. If this be admitted (as seems reasonable) it follows that all the ideas of quantity, upon which mathematicians reason, are nothing but particular, and such as are suggested by the fenses and imagination, and confequently, cannot be infinitely divisible. 'Tis sufficient to have dropt this hint at present, without prosecuting it any farther. It certainly concerns all lovers of science not to expose themselves to the ridicule and contempt of the ignorant by their conclusions; and this feems the readiest solution of these difficulties.

ferent ages and nations; the variations of our judgment in sickness and health, youth and old age, prosperity and adverfity; the perpetual contradiction of each particular man's opinions and fentiments; with many other topics of that kind. 'Tis needless to insist farther on this head. These objections are but weak. For as, in common life, we reason every moment concerning sact and exiftence, and cannot possibly sublist, without continually employing this species of argument, any popular objections, derived from thence, must be insufficient to destroy that evidence. The great subverter of Pyrrhonilm or the excessive principles of scepticism, is action, and employment, and the occupations of common life. These principles may flourish and triumph in the schools; where it is, indeed, difficult, if not impossible, to refute them. But as foon as they leave the shade, and by the presence of the real objects, which actuate our passions and fentiments, are put in opposition to the more powerful principles of our nature, they vanish like smoak, and leave the most determined sceptic in the same condition as other mortals.

The fceptic, therefore, had better keep within his proper sphere, and display those philosophical objections, which arise from more profound researches. Here he feems to have ample matter of triumph; while he juftly infifts, that all our evidence for any matter of fact, which lies beyond the testimony of sense or memory, is derived entirely from the relation of cause and effect; that we have no other idea of this relation than that of two objects, which have been frequently conjoined together; that we have no arguments to convince us, that objects, which have, in our experience, been frequently conjoined, will likewise, in other instances, be conjoined in the same manner; and that nothing leads us to this inference but custom or a certain instinct of our nature; which it is Vol. II. N

indeed difficult to resist, but which, like other instincts, may be fallacious and deceitful. While the sceptic insists upon these topics, he shews his force, or rather, indeed, his own and our weakness; and seems, for the time at least, to destroy all assurance and conviction. These arguments might be displayed at greater length, if any durable good or benefit to society could ever be expected to result from them.

For here is the chief and most confounding objection to excessive scepticism, that no durable good can ever refult from it; while it remains in its full force and vigour. We need only ask such a sceptic, What his meaning is? And what he proposes by all these curious researches? He is immediately at a loss, and knows not what to answer. A Copernican or Ptolemaic, who supports each his different system of astronomy, may hope to produce a conviction, which will remain, constant and durable, with his audience. A STOIC or EPICUREAN difplays principles, which may not only be durable, but which have a mighty influence on conduct and behaviour. But a Pyrrhonian cannot propose that his philosophy will have any constant influence on the mind: Or if it had, that its influence would be beneficial to fociety. On the contrary, he must acknowlege, if he will acknowlege any thing, that all human life must perish, were his principles univerfally and steadily to prevail. courfe, all action would immediately cease; and men remain in a total lethargy, till the necessities of nature. unsatisfied, put an end to their miserable existence. true; fo fatal an event is very little to be dreaded. ture is always too strong for principle. PYRRHONIAN may throw himself or others into a momentary amazement and confusion by his profound reafonings; the first and most trivial event in life will put to flight all his doubts and fcruples, and leave him the fame.

fame, in every point of action and speculation, with the philosophers of every other sect, or with those who never concerned themselves in any philosophical researches. When he awakes from his dream, he will be the first to join in the laugh against himself, and to confess, that all his objections are mere amusement, and can have no other tendency than to flow the whimfical condition of mankind, who must act and reason and believe; tho' they are not able, by their most diligent enquiry, to satisfy themselves concerning the foundation of these operations, or to remove the objections, which may be raifed against them.

PART III.

There is, indeed, a more mitigated scepticism, or academical philosophy, which may be both durable and useful, and which may, in part, be the result of this Pyrrho-NISM, or excessive scepticism, when its undistinguished doubts are, in some measure, corrected by common sense and reflection. The greatest part of mankind are naturally apt to be affirmative and dogmatical in their opinions; and while they see objects only on one side, and have no idea of any counterpoifing argument, they throw themfelves precipitately into the principles, to which they are inclined; nor have they any indulgence for those who entertain opposite sentiments. To hesitate or balance perplexes their understanding, checks their passion, and fuspends their action. They are, therefore, impatient till they escape from a state, which to them is so uneasy; and they think, that they can never remove themselves far enough from it, by the violence of their affirmations and obstinacy of their belief. But could such dogmatical reafoners become fenfible of the strange infirmities of human understanding, even in its most perfect state, and when most accurate and cautious in its determinations; such a

reflection would naturally inspire them with more modefly and referve, and diminish their fond opinion of themselves, and their prejudice against antagonists. The illiterate may reflect on the disposition of the learned, who, amidst all the advantages of study and reflection, are commonly still diffident in their determinations: And if any of the learned are inclined, from their natural temper, to haughtiness and obstinacy, a small tincture of Pyrrho-NISM might abate their pride, by showing them, that the few advantages, which they may have attained over their fellows, are but inconsiderable, if compared with the universal perplexity and confusion, which is inherent in human nature. In general, there is a degree of doubt, and caution, and modesty, which, in all kinds of scrutiny and decision, ought for ever to accompany a just reafoner.

Another species of mitigated scepticism, which may be of advantage to mankind, and which may be the natural refult of the Pyrrhonian doubts and scruples, is the limitation of our enquiries to fuch subjects as are best adapted to the narrow capacity of human understanding. The imagination of man is naturally fublime, delighted with whatever is remote and extraordinary, and running, without controul, into the most distant parts of space and time, in order to avoid the objects, which cuftom has rendered too familiar to it. A correct Judgment observes a contrary method, and avoiding all distant and high enquiries, confines itself to common life, and to such subjects as fall under daily practice and experience; leaving the more fublime topics to the embellishment of poets and orators, or to the arts of priests and politicians. To bring us to fo falutary a determination, nothing can be more ferviceable, than to be once thoroughly convinced of the force of the Pyrrhonian doubt, and of the impossibility that any thing but the strong power of natural

instinct, could free us from it. Those who have a propensity to philosophy, will still continue their researches; because they research, that beside the immediate pleasure, attending such an occupation, philosophical decisions are nothing but the research of common life, methodized and corrected. But they will never be tempted to go beyond common life, so long as they consider the impersection of those faculties which they employ, their narrow reach, and their inaccurate operations. While we cannot give a satisfactory reason, why we believe, after a thousand experiments, that a stone will fall, or fire burn; can we ever satisfy ourselves concerning any determinations which we may form with regard to the origin of worlds, and the situation of nature, from, and to eternity?

This narrow limitation, indeed, of our enquiries, is, in every respect, so reasonable, that it suffices to make the slightest examination into the natural powers of the human mind, and to compare them to their objects, in order to recommend it to us. We shall then find what are the proper subjects of science and enquiry.

It feems to me, that the only objects of the abstract sciences or of demonstration are quantity and number, and that all attempts to extend this more perfect species of knowlege beyond these bounds are mere sophistry and illusion. As the component parts of quantity and number are entirely similar, their relations become intricate and involved; and nothing can be more curious, as well as useful, than to trace, by a variety of mediums, their equality or inequality, thro' their different appearances. But as all other ideas are clearly distinct and different from each other, we can never advance farther, by all our scrutiny, than to observe this diversity, and, by an obvious restection, pronounce one thing not to be another. Or if there be any difficulty in these decisions, it proceeds entirely from the undeterminate meaning of words, which

is corrected by juster definitions. That the square of the hypotheruse is equal to the squares of the other two sides, cannot be known, let the terms be ever so exactly defined, without a train of reasoning and enquiry. But to convince us of this proposition, that where there is na property, there can be no injustice, 'tis only necessary to define the terms, and explain injustice to be a violation of property. This proposition is, indeed, nothing but a more impersect definition. 'Tis the same case with all those pretended syllogistical reasonings, which may be sound in every other branch of learning, except the sciences of quantity and number; and these may safely, I think, be pronounced the only proper objects of knowlege and demonstration.

All other enquiries of men regard only matter of fact and existence; and these are evidently incapable of demonfiration. Whatever is may not be. No negation of a fact can involve a contradiction. The non-existence of any being, without exception, is as clear and diffinct an idea as its existence. The proposition, which affirms it not to be, however false, is no less conceivable and intelligible, than that which affirms it to be. The case is different with the sciences, properly so called. Every proposition, which is not true, is there confused and unintelligible. That the cube root of 64 is equal to the half of 10, is a false proposition, and can never be distinctly conceived. But that CASAR, or the angel GA-BRIEL, or any being never existed, may be a false proposition, but still is perfectly conceivable, and implies no contradiction.

The existence, therefore, of any being can only be proved by arguments from its cause or its effect; and these arguments are founded entirely on experience. If we reason à priori, any thing may appear able to produce any thing. The falling of a pebble may; for aught

aught we know, extinguish the sun; or the wish of a man controul the planets in their orbit. 'Tis only experience, which teaches us the nature and bounds of cause and effect, and enables us to infer the existence of one object from that of another *. Such is the soundation of moral reasoning, which forms the greatest part of human knowlege, and is the source of all human action and behaviour.

Moral reasonings are either concerning particular of general facts. All deliberations in life regard the former; as also all disquisitions in history, chronology, geography, and astronomy.

The sciences, which treat of general facts, are politics, natural philosophy, physic, chymistry, &c. where the qualities, causes and effects of a whole species of objects are enquired into.

Divinity or Theology, as it proves the existence of a Deity, and the immortality of souls, is composed partly of reasonings concerning particular, partly concerning general sacts. It has a foundation in reason, so far as it is supported by experience. But its best and most solid foundation is faith and divine revelation.

Morals and criticism are not so properly objects of the understanding as of taste and sentiment. Beauty, whether moral or natural, is selt more properly than perceived. Or if we reason concerning it, and endeavour to fix its standard, we regard a new fact, viz. the general taste of mankind, or some such fact, which may be the object of reasoning and enquiry.

N 4

^{*} That impious maxim of the antient philosophy, Ex nibilo, nibil fit, by which the creation of matter was excluded, ceases to be a maxim, according to this philosophy. Not only the will of the supreme Being may create matter; but, for aught we know a priori, the will of any other being might create it, or any other cause, that the most whimsical imagination can assign,

When we run over libraries, perfuaded of these principles, what havock must we make? If we take in our hand any volume; of divinity or school metaphysics, for instance; let us ask, Does it contain any abstract reasonings concerning quantity or number? No. Does it contain any experimental reasonings concerning matters of fact or existence? No. Commit it then to the flames: For it can contain nothing but fophistry and illusion.

A

DISSERTATION

ON THE

PASSIONS.

SECT. I.

SOME objects produce immediately an agreeable fensation, by the original structure of our organs, and are thence denominated Good; as others, from their immediate disagreeable sensation, acquire the appellation of Evil. Thus moderate warmth is agreeable and good; excessive heat painful and evil.

Some objects again, by being naturally conformable or contrary to passion, excite an agreeable or painful sensation; and are thence called *Good* or *Evil*. The punishment of an adversary, by gratifying revenge, is good; the sickness of a companion, by affecting friendship, is evil.

2. All good or evil, whence-ever it arises, produces various passions and affections, according to the light in which it is surveyed.

When good is certain or very probable, it produces Joy: When evil is in the fame fituation, there arises GRIEF or SORROW.

When

When either good or evil is uncertain, it gives rise to FEAR or HOPE, according to the degrees of uncertainty on one fide or the other.

DESIRE arises from good considered simply; and AVERSION, from evil. The WILL exerts itself, when either the presence of the good or absence of the evil may be attained by any action of the mind or body.

3. None of these passions seem to contain any thing curious or remarkable, except *Hope* and *Fear*, which, being derived from the probability of any good or evil, are mixed passions, that merit our attention.

Probability arises from an opposition of contrary chances or causes, by which the mind is not allowed to fix on either side; but is incessantly tossed from one to another, and in one moment is determined to consider an object as existent, and in another moment as the contrary. The imagination or understanding, call it which you please, sluctuates between the opposite views; and tho perhaps it may be oftener turned to one side than the other, it is impossible for it, by reason of the opposition of causes or chances, to rest on either. The pro and con of the question alternately prevail; and the mind, surveying the objects in their opposite causes, finds such a contrariety as utterly destroys all certainty or established opinion.

Suppose, then, that the object, concerning which we are doubtful, produce either defire or aversion; it is evident, that, according as the mind turns itself to one side or the other, it must feel a momentary impression of joy or forrow. An object, whose existence we defire, gives satisfaction, when we think of those causes, which produce it; and for the same reason, excites grief or uneasiness from the opposite consideration. So that, as the understanding in probable questions, is divided between

the

the contrary points of view, the heart must in the same manner be divided between opposite emotions.

Now, if we confider the human mind, we shall obferve, that with regard to the passions, it is not like a wind instrument of music, which, in running over all the notes, immediately loses the found when the breath ceases; but rather resembles a string-instrument, where. after each stroke, the vibrations still retain some sound. which gradually and infenfibly decays. The imagination is extremely quick and agile; but the passions, in comparison, are slow and restive; For which reason, when any object is presented, which affords a variety of views to the one and emotions to the other; tho' the fancy may change its views with great celerity; each stroke will not produce a clear and distinct note of pasfion, but the one passion will always be mixed and confounded with the other. According as the probability inclines to good or evil, the passion of grief or joy predominates in the composition; and these passions being intermingled by means of the contrary views of the imagination, produce by the union the passions of hope or fear.

4. As this theory feems to carry its own evidence along with it, we shall be more concise in our proofs.

The passions of fear and hope may arise, when the chances are equal on both sides, and no superiority can be discovered in one above the other. Nay, in this situation the passions are rather the strongest, as the mind has then the least foundation to rest upon, and is tost with the greatest uncertainty. Throw in a superior degree of probability to the side of grief, you immediately see that passion diffuse itself over the composition, and tincture it into fear. Encrease the probability, and by that means the grief; the fear prevails still more and more, 'till at last it runs insensibly, as the joy continually diminishes,

diminishes, into pure grief. After you have brought it to this fituation, diminish the grief, by a contrary operation to that, which encreased it, to wit, by diminishing the probability on the melancholy fide; and you will fee the passion clear every moment, 'till it changes insensibly into hope; which again runs, by flow degrees, into joy, as you increase that part of the composition, by the increase of the probability. Are not these as plain proofs, that the passions of fear and hope are mixtures of grief and joy, as in optics it is a proof, that a coloured ray of the fun, passing thro' a prism, is a composition of two others, when as you diminish or increase the quantity of either, you find it prevail proportionably, more or lefs, in the composition?

- 5. Probability is of two kinds; either when the object is itself uncertain, and to be determined by chance; or when, tho' the object be already certain, yet it is uncertain to our judgment, which finds a number of proofs or presumptions on each side of the question. Both these kinds of probability cause fear and hope; which must proceed from that property, in which they agree; to wit, the uncertainty and fluctuation which they bestow on the passion, by that contrariety of views, which is common to both.
- 6. It is a probable good or evil, which commonly causes hope or fear; because probability, producing an inconstant and wavering survey of an object, occasions naturally a like mixture and uncertainty of passion. But we may observe, that, wherever, from other causes, this mixture can be produced, the passions of sear and hope will arise, even tho' there be no probability.

An evil, conceived as barely possible, fometimes produces fear; especially if the evil be very great. A man cannot think of excessive pain and torture without trembling, if he runs the least risque of suffering them. The smallness of the probability is compensated by the greatness of the evil.

But even impossible evils cause sear; as when we tremble on the brink of a precipice, tho' we know ourselves to be in perfect security, and have it in our choice, whether we will advance a step farther. The immediate presence of the evil influences the imagination and produces a species of belief; but being opposed by the reslection on our security, that belief is immediately retracted, and causes the same kind of passion, as when, from a contrariety of chances, contrary passions are produced.

Evils, which are certain, have fometimes the fame effect as the possible or impossible. A man, in a strong prison, without the least means of escape, trembles at the thoughts of the rack, to which he is sentenced. The evil is here fixed in itself; but the mind has not courage to fix upon it; and this sluctuation gives rise to a passion of a similar appearance with fear.

- 7. But it is not only where good or evil is uncertain as to its existence, but also as to its kind, that fear or hope arises. If any one were told that one of his sons is suddenly killed; the passion, occasioned by this event, would not settle into grief, 'till he got certain information which of his sons he had lost. Tho' each side of the question produces here the same passion; that passion cannot settle, but receives from the imagination, which is unfixed, a tremulous unsteady motion, resembling the mixture and contention of grief and joy.
- 8. Thus all kinds of uncertainty have a strong connexion with fear, even tho' they do not cause any opposition of passions, by the opposite views, which they prefent to us. Should I leave a friend in any malady, I should feel more anxiety upon his account, than if he

were present; tho' perhaps I am not only incapable of giving him assistance, but likewise of judging concerning the event of his sickness. There are a thousand little circumstances of his situation and condition, which I defire to know; and the knowlege of them would prevent that sluctuation and uncertainty, so nearly allied to sear. Horace has remarked this phænomenon.

Ut assidens implumibus pullus avis Serpentûm allapsus timet, Magis relictis; non, ut adsit, auxilî Latura plus præsentibus.

A virgin on her bridal-night goes to bed full of fears and apprehensions, the sheets nothing but pleasure. The confusion of wishes and joys, the newness and greatness of the unknown event, so embarrass the mind, that it knows not in what image or passion to fix itself.

9. Concerning the mixture of affections, we may remark, in general, that when contrary passions arise from objects nowise connected together, they take place alternately. Thus when a man is afflicted for the loss of a law-suit, and joyful for the birth of a son, the mind, running from the agreeable to the calamitous object; with whatever celerity it may perform this motion, can scarcely temper the one affection with the other, and remain between them in a state of indifference.

It more eafily attains that calm fituation, when the fame event is of a mixed nature, and contains fomething adverse and something prosperous in its different circumstances. For in that case, both the passions, mingling with each other by means of the relation, often become mutually destructive, and leave the mind in persect tranquillity.

But suppose that the object is not a compound of good and evil, but is considered as probable or improbable in any degree; in that case the contrary passions will both of them be present at once in the soul, and instead of balancing and tempering each other, will subsist together, and by their union, produce a third impression or affection, such as hope or fear.

The influence of the relations of ideas (which we shall afterwards explain more fully) is plainly seen in this affair. In contrary passions, if the objects be totally disferent, the passions are like two opposite liquors in different bottles, which have no influence on each other. If the objects be intimately connected, the passions are like an alcali and an acid, which, being mingled, destroy each other. If the relation be more impersect, and consists in the contradictory views of the same object, the passions are like oil and vinegar, which, however mingled, never persectly unite and incorporate.

The effect of a mixture of passions, when one of them is predominant, and swallows up the other, shall be plain afterwards.

S E C T. II.

1. Besides those passions above-mentioned, which arise from a direct pursuit of good and aversion to evil, there are others which are of a more complicated nature, and imply more than one view or consideration. Thus Pride is a certain satisfaction in ourselves, on account of some accomplishment or possession, which we enjoy: Humility, on the other hand, is a distatisfaction with ourselves, on account of some desect or infirmity.

Love or Friendship is a complacency in another, on account of his accomplishments or services: Hatred, the contrary.

2. In these two sets of passions, there is an obvious disinction to be made between the object of the passion and its cause. The object of pride and humility is self: The cause of the passion is some excellence in the former case; some fault, in the latter. The object of love and hatred is some other person: The causes, in like manner, are either excellencies or faults.

With regard to all these passions, the causes are what excite the emotion; the object is what the mind directs its view to when the emotion is excited. Our merit, for instance, raises pride; and it is essential to pride to turn our view on ourself with complacency and satisfaction.

Now as the causes of these passions are very numerous and various, tho' their object be uniform and simple; it may be a subject of curiosity to consider, what that circumstance is, in which all these various causes agree; or, in other words, what is the real, efficient cause of the passion. We shall begin with pride and humility.

3. In order to explain the causes of these passions, we must restect on certain principles, which tho' they have a mighty influence on every operation, both of the understanding and passions, are not commonly much insisted on by philosophers. The first of these is the association of ideas, or that principle, by which we make an easy transition from one idea to another. However uncertain and changeable our thoughts may be, they are not entirely without rule and method in their changes. They usually pass with regularity, from one object, to what resembles it, is contiguous to it, or produced by it *. When one idea is present to the imagination; any other, united by these relations, naturally follows it, and enters with more facility, by means of that introduction.

The fecond property, which I shall observe in the human mind, is a like affociation of impressions or emotions.

^{*} See Enquiry concerning Human Understanding, Sect. III.

All refembling impressions are connected together; and no sooner one arises, than the rest naturally sollow. Grief and disappointment give rise to anger, anger to envy, envy to malice, and malice to grief again. In like manner, our temper, when elevated with joy, naturally throws itself into love, generosity, courage, pride, and other resembling affections.

In the third place, it is observable of these two kinds of association, that they very much assist and forward each other, and that the transition is more easily made, where they both concur in the same object. Thus, a man, who by any injury received from another, is very much discomposed and russled in his temper, is apt to find a hundred subjects of hatred, discontent, impatience, fear, and other uneasy passions; especially if he can discover these subjects in or near the person, who was the object of his first emotion. Those principles which forward the transition of ideas, here concur with those which operate on the passions; and both, uniting in one action, bestow on the mind a double impulse.

Upon this occasion, I may cite a passage from an elegant writer, who expresses himself in the following manner*. "As the fancy delights in every thing, that is "great, strange, or beautiful, and is still the more "pleased the more it finds of these persections in the same object, so it is capable of receiving new satisfaction by the assistance of another sense. Thus, any continual sound, as the music of birds, or a fall of waters, awakens every moment the mind of the besouties of the place, that lie before him. Thus, if there arises a fragrancy of smells or persumes, they heighten the pleasure of the imagination, and make

^{*} Addison, Spectator, No. 412.

"even the colours and verdure of the landscape appear"
more agreeable; for the ideas of both senses recommend each other, and are pleasanter together than
where they enter the mind separately: As the different
colours of a picture, when they are well disposed, set
off one another, and receive an additional beauty from
the advantage of the situation." In these phænomena,
we may remark the association both of impressions and
ideas; as well as the mutual assistance these associations
lend to each other.

4. It feems to me, that both these species of relation have place in producing *Pride* or *Humility*, and are the real, efficient causes of the passion.

With regard to the first relation, that of ideas, there can be no question. Whatever we are proud of, must, in some manner, belong to us. It is always our knowlege, our sense, beauty, possessions, family, on which we value ourselves. Self, which is the object of the passion, must still be related to that quality or circumstance, which causes the passion. There must be a connexion between them; an easy transition of the imagination; or a facility of the conception in passing from one to the other. Where this connexion is wanting, no object can either excite pride or humility; and the more you weaken the connexion, the more you weaken the passion.

5. The only subject of enquiry is, whether there be a like relation of impressions or sentiments, wherever pride or humility is selt; whether the circumstance, which causes the passion, produces antecedently a sentiment similar to the passion; and whether there be an easy transfusion of the one into the other.

The feeling or fentiment of pride is agreeable; of humility, painful. An agreeable fenfation is, therefore, telated to the former; a painful, to the latter. And if

we find, after examination, that every object, which produces pride, produces also a separate pleasure; and every object, that causes humility, excites in like manner a separate uneasiness; we must allow in that case, that the present theory is fully proved and ascertained. The double relation of ideas and sentiments will be acknowleged incontestable.

6. To begin with personal merit and demerit, the most obvious causes of these passions; it would be entirely foreign to our present purpose to examine the foundation of moral distinctions. It is sufficient to observe, that the foregoing theory concerning the origin of the passions may be defended on any hypothesis. The most probable fystem, which has been advanced to explain the difference between vice and virtue, is, that either from a primary constitution of nature, or from a sense of public or private interest, certain characters, upon the very view and contemplation, produce uneafiness; and others, in like manner, excite pleasure. The uneafiness and satisfaction, produced in the spectator, are essential to vice and To approve of a character, is to feel a delight upon its appearance. To disapprove of it, is to be senfible of an uneafiness. The pain and pleasure therefore, being, in a manner, the primary fource of blame or praise, must also be the causes of all their effects; and confequently, the causes of pride and humility, which are the unavoidable attendants of that distinction.

But supposing this theory of morals should not be received; it is still evident that pain and pleasure, if not the sources of moral distinctions, are at least inseparable from them. A generous and noble character affords a satisfaction even in the survey; and when presented to us, tho only in a poem or sable, never sails to charm and delight us. On the other hand, cruelty and treachery displease from their very nature; nor is it possible

ever to reconcile us to these qualities, either in ourselves or others. Virtue, therefore, produces always a pleasure distinct from the pride or self-satisfaction which attends it: Vice, an uneasiness separate from the humility or remorse.

But a high or low conceit of ourselves arises not from those qualities alone of the mind, which, according to common systems of ethics, have been defined parts of moral duty; but from any other, which have a connexion with pleasure or uneasiness. Nothing flatters our vanity more than the talent of pleasing by our wit, good humour, or any other accomplishment; and nothing gives us a more fensible mortification, than a disappointment in any attempt of that kind. No one has ever been able to tell precisely, what wit is, and to shew why such a system of thought must be received under that denomination, and such another rejected. It is by taste alone we can decide concerning it; nor are we possest of any other standard, by which we can form a judgment of this nature. Now what is this tafte, from which true and false wit in a manner receive their being, and without which no thought can have a title to either of these denominations? It is plainly nothing but a fensation of pleafure from true wir, and of difgust from false, without our being able to tell the reasons of that satisfaction or unealiness. The power of exciting these opposite sensations is, therefore, the very effence of true or false wit; and consequently, the cause of that vanity or mortification, which arises from one or the other.

7. Beauty of all kinds gives us a peculiar delight and fatisfaction; as deformity produces pain upon whatever fubject it may be placed, and whether surveyed in an animate or inanimate object. If the beauty or deformity belong to our own face, shape, or person, this pleasure or uncasiness is converted into pride or humility; as having

having in this case all the circumstances requisite to produce a perfect transition, according to the present theory.

It would seem, that the very essence of beauty consists in its power of producing pleasure. All its essences, therefore, must proceed from this circumstance: And if beauty is so universally the subject of vanity, it is only from its being the cause of pleasure.

Concerning all other bodily accomplishments, we may observe in general, that whatever in ourselves is either useful, beautiful or surprizing, is an object of pride; and the contrary, of humility. These qualities agree in producing a separate pleasure; and agree in nothing else.

We are vain of the surprizing adventures which we have met with, the escapes which we have made, the dangers to which we have been exposed; as well as of our surprizing seats of vigour and activity. Hence the origin of vulgar lying; where men, without any interest, and merely out of vanity, heap up a number of extraordinary events, which are either the sictions of their brain; or, if true, have no connexion with themselves. Their fruitful invention supplies them with a variety of adventures; and where that talent is wanting, they appropriate such as belong to others, in order to gratify their vanity: For between that passion, and the sentiment of pleasure, there is always a close connexion.

8. But tho' pride and humility have the qualities of our mind and body, that is, of felf, for their natural and more immediate causes; we find by experience, that many other objects produce these affections. We found vanity upon houses, gardens, equipage, and other external objects; as well as upon personal merit and accomplishments. This happens when external objects acquire

quire any particular relation to ourselves, and are affociated or connected with us. A beautiful fish in the ocean, a well-proportioned animal in a forest, and indeed, any thing, which neither belongs nor is related to us, has no manner of influence on our vanity; whatever extraordinary qualities it may be endowed with, and whatever degree of surprize and admiration it may naturally occafion. It must be someway affociated with us, in order to touch our pride. Its idea must hang, in a manner, upon that of ourselves; and the transition from one to the other must be easy and natural.

Men are vain of the beauty either of their country, or their country, or even of their parish. Here the idea of beauty plainly produces a pleasure. This pleasure is related to pride. The object or cause of this pleasure is, by the supposition, related to self, the object of pride. By this double relation of sentiments and ideas, a transition is made from one to the other.

Men are also vain of the happy temperature of the climate, in which they are born; of the fertility of their native soil; of the goodness of the wines, fruits, or victuals, produced by it; of the softness or force of their language, with other particulars of that kind. These objects have plainly a reference to the pleasures of the senses, and are originally considered as agreeable to the feeling, taste, or hearing. How could they become causes of pride, except by means of that transition above explained?

There are some who discover a vanity of an opposite kind, and affect to depreciate their own country, in comparison of those, to which they have travelled. These persons find, when they are at home, and surrounded with their countrymen, that the strong relation between them and their own nation is shared with so many, that it is in a manner lost to them; whereas that distant relation

lation to a foreign country, which is formed by their having feen it, and lived in it, is augmented by their confidering how few have done the fame. For this reafon, they always admire the beauty, utility, and rarity of what they met with abroad, above what they find at home.

Since we can be vain of a country, climate, or any inanimate object, which bears a relation to us; it is no wonder we should be vain of the qualities of those, who are connected with us by blood or friendship. Accordingly we find, that any qualities which, when belonging to ourself, produce pride, produce also, in a less degree, the same affection, when discovered in persons, related to us. The beauty, address, merit, credit, and honours of their kindred are carefully displayed by the proud, and are considerable sources of their vanity.

As we are proud of riches in ourselves, we desire, in order to gratify our vanity, that every one, who has any connexion with us, should likewise be possest of them, and are ashamed of such as are mean or poor among our friends and relations. Our foresathers being conceived as our nearest relations; every one naturally affects to be of a good family, and to be descended from a long succession of rich and honourable ancestors.

Those, who boast of the antiquity of their families, are glad when they can join this circumstance, that their ancestors, for many generations, have been uninterrupted proprietors of the same portion of land, and that their family has never changed its possessions, or been transplanted into any other county or province. It is an additional subject of vanity, when they can boast, that these possessions have been transmitted through a descent, composed entirely of males, and that the honours and sortune have never passed through any semale. Let us en-

deavour to explain these phænomena from the foregoing theory.

When any one values himself on the antiquity of his family, the subjects of his vanity are not merely the extent of time and number of ancestors (for in that respect all mankind are alike) but these circumstances, joined to the riches and credit of his ancestors, which are supposed to reflect a lustre on himself, upon account of his connexion with them. Since therefore the passion depends on the connexion, whatever strengthens the connexion must also encrease the passion, and whatever weakens the connexion must diminish the passion. But 'tis evident, that the fameness of the possessions must strengthen the relation of ideas, arising from blood and kindred, and convey the fancy with greater facility from one generation to another; from the remotest ancestors to their posterity, who are both their heirs and their descendants. By this facility, the fentiment is transmitted more entire, and excites a greater degree of pride and vanity.

The case is the same with the transmission of the honours and fortune, through a fuccession of males, without their passing through any female. It is an obvious quality of human nature, that the imagination naturally turns to whatever is important and confiderable; and where two objects are presented, a small and a great, it usually leaves the former, and dwells entirely on the latter. This is the reason, why children commonly bear their father's name, and are esteemed to be of a nobler or meaner birth, according to his family. And the' the mother should be possest of superior qualities to the father, as often happens, the general rule prevails, notwithstanding the exception, according to the doctrine, which shall be explained afterwards. Nay, even when a superiority of any kind is so great, or when any other reasons have such an effect, as to make the children rather represent the mother's family than

than the father's, the general rule still retains an efficacy, sufficient to weaken the relation, and make a kind of breach in the line of ancestors. The imagination runs not along them with the same facility, nor is able to transfer the honour and credit of the ancestors to their posterity of the same name and samily so readily, as when the transition is conformable to the general rule, and passes thro' the male line, from father to son, or from brother to brother.

9. But property, as it gives us the fullest power and authority over any object, is the relation, which has the greatest influence on these passions *.

Every thing belonging to a vain man, is the best that is any where to be found. His houses, equipage, furniture, cloaths, horses, hounds, excel all others in his conceit; and it is easy to observe, that, from the least advantage in any of these, he draws a new subject of pride and vanity. His wine, if you will believe him, has a siner flavour than any other; his cookery is more exquisite; his table more orderly; his servants more expert; the air, in which he lives, more healthful; the soil, which he cultivates, more fertile; his fruits ripen earlier, and to

greater

^{*} That property is a species of relation, which produces a connexion between the person and the object is evident: The imagination passes naturally and eafily from the confideration of a field to that of the person, to whom It belongs. It may only be asked, how this relation is resolveable into any of those three, viz. causation, contiguity, and resemblance, which we have affirmed to be the only connecting principles among ideas. To be the proprietor of any thing is to be the fole person, who, by the laws of society, has a right to dispose of it, and to enjoy the benefit of it. This right has at least a tendency to procure the person the exercise of it; and in sact does commonly procure him that advantage. For rights which had no influence, and never took place, would be no rights at all. Now a person who disposes of an object, and reaps benefit from it, both produces, or may produce, effects on it, and is affected by it. Property therefore is a species of causation. It enables the persons to produce alterations on the object, and it supposes that his condition is improved and altered by it. It is indeed the relation the most interefting of any, and occurs the most frequently to the mind.

greater perfection: Such a thing is remarkable for its novelty; fuch another for its antiquity: This is the workmanship of a famous artist; that belonged once to such a prince or great man: All objects, in a word, which are useful, beautiful, or surprizing, or are related to such, may, by means of property, give rise to this passion. These all agree in giving pleasure. This alone is common to them; and therefore must be the quality, that produces the passion, which is their common effect. As every new instance is a new argument, and as the instances are here without number; it would seem, that this theory is sufficiently confirmed by experience.

Riches imply the power of acquiring whatever is agreeable; and as they comprehend many particular objects of vanity, necessarily become one of the chief causes of that passion.

10. Our opinions of all kinds are strongly affected by fociety and fympathy, and it is almost impossible for us to support any principle or fentiment, against the universal consent of every one, with whom we have any friendship or correspondence. But of all our opinions, those, which we form in our own favour; however lofty or prefuming; are at bottom, the frailest, and the most easily shaken by the contradiction and opposition of others. Our great concern, in this case, makes us soon alarmed, and keeps our passions upon the watch: Our consciousness of partiality still makes us dread a mistake: And the very difficulty of judging concerning an object, which is never fet at a due distance from us, nor is seen in a proper point of view, makes us hearken anxiously to the opinions of others, who are better qualified to form just opinions concerning us. Hence that strong love of fame, with which all mankind are possest. It is in order to fix and confirm their favourable opinion of themselves, not from any original passion, that they seek the applauses of others. And when a man desires to be praised, it is for the same reason, that a beauty is pleased with surveying herself in a savourable looking-glass, and seeing the restection of her own charms.

Tho' it be difficult in all points of speculation to distinguish a cause, which encreases an effect, from one, which solely produces it; yet in the present case the phænomena seem pretty strong and satisfactory in consirmation of the foregoing principle.

We receive a much greater satisfaction from the approbation of those whom we ourselves esteem and approve of, than of those, whom we contemn and despise.

When effeem is obtained after a long and intimate acquaintance, it gratifies our vanity in a peculiar manner.

The suffrage of those, who are shy and backward in giving praise, is attended with an additional relish and enjoyment, if we can obtain it in our favour.

Where a great man is delicate in his choice of favourites, every one courts with greater earnestness his countenance and protection.

Praise never gives us much pleasure, unless it concurwith our own opinion, and extol us for those qualities, in which we chiefly excel.

These phænomena seem to prove, that the favourable opinions of others are regarded only as authorities, or as confirmations of our own opinion. And if they have more influence in this subject than in any other, it is easily accounted for from the nature of the subject.

11. Thus few objects, however related to us, and whatever pleasure they produce, are able to excite a great degree of pride or self-satisfaction; unless they be also obvious to others, and engage the approbation of the spectators. What disposition of mind so desirable as the peaceful, resigned, contented; which readily submits to

all the dispensations of providence, and preserves a conflant serenity amidst the greatest missortunes and disappointments? Yet this disposition the acknowledged to be a virtue or excellence, is seldom the soundation of great vanity or self-applause; having no brilliancy or exterior lustre, and rather cheering the heart, than animating the behaviour and conversation. The case is the same with many other qualities of the mind, body, or fortune; and this circumstance, as well as the double relations above mentioned, must be admitted to be of consequence in the production of these passions.

A fecond circumstance, which is of consequence in this affair, is the constancy and duration of the object. What is very casual and inconstant, beyond the common course of human affairs, gives little joy, and less pride. We are not much satisfied with the thing itself; and are still less apt to seel any new degree of self-satisfaction upon its account. We foresee and anticipate its change; which makes us little satisfied with the thing itself: We compare it to ourselves, whose existence is more durable; by which means its inconstancy appears still greater. It seems ridiculous to make ourselves the object of a passion, on account of a quality or possession, which is of so much shorter duration, and attends us during so small a part of our existence.

A third circumstance, not to be neglected, is, that the objects, in order to produce pride or felf-value, must be peculiar to us, or at least, common to us with a few others. The advantages of sun-shine, good weather, a happy climate, &c. distinguish us not from any of our companions, and give us no preference or superiority. The comparison which we are every moment apt to make, presents no inference to our advantage; and we still remain, notwithstanding these enjoyments, on a level with all our friends and acquaintance.

As health and fickness vary incessantly to all men, and there is no one, who is folely or certainly fixed in either; these accidental blessings and calamities are in a manner separated from us, and are not considered as a foundation for vanity or humiliation. But wherever a malady of any kind is fo rooted in our conflitution, that we no longer entertain any hopes of recovery, from that moment it damps our felf-conceit, as is evident in old men, whom nothing mortifies more than the confideration of their age and infirmities. They endeavour, as long as possible, to conceal their blindness and deafness, their rheums and gouts; nor do they ever avow them without reluctance and uneafiness. And tho' young men are not ashamed of every head-ach or cold which they fall into; yet no topic is more proper to mortify human pride, and make us entertain a mean opinion of our nature, than this, that we are every moment of our lives subject to such infirmities. This proves, that bodily pain and fickness are in themselves proper causes of humility; tho' the custom of estimating every thing, by comparison, more than by its intrinsic worth and value, makes us overlook those calamities, which we find incident to every one, 'and causes us to form an idea of our merit and character, independent of them.

We are ashamed of such maladies as affect others, and are either dangerous or disagreeable to them. Of the epilepsy; because it gives a horror to every one present: Of the itch; because it is insectious: Of the king's evil; because it often goes to posterity. Menialways consider the sentiments of others in their judgment of themselves.

A fourth circumftance, which has an influence on these passions, is *general rules*; by which we form a notion of different ranks of men, suitable to the power or riches of which they are possessed; and this notion is not changed by any peculiarities of the health or temper of the persons, which may deprive them of all enjoyment in their possessions. Custom readily carries us beyond the just bounds in our passions, as well as in our reasonings.

It may not be amiss to observe on this occasion, that the influence of general rules and maxims on the passions very much contributes to facilitate the effects of all the principles or internal mechanism, which we here explain. For it seems evident, that if a person full-grown, and of the same nature with ourselves, were on a sudden transported into our world, he would be very much embarraffed with every object, and would not readily determine what degree of love or hatred, of pride or humility, or of any other passion should be excited by it. The passions are often varied by very inconsiderable principles; and these do not always play with perfect regularity, especially on the first trial. But as custom or practice has brought to light all these principles, and has settled the just value of every thing; this must certainly contribute to the easy production of the passions, and guide us, by means of general established rules, in the proportions, which we ought to observe in preferring one object to another. This remark may, perhaps, serve to obviate difficulties, that may arise concerning some causes, which we here ascribe to particular passions, and which may be esteemed too refined to operate so univerfally and certainly, as they are found to do.

SECT. III.

1. In running over all the causes, which produce the passion of pride or that of humility; it would readily occur, that the same circumstance, if transferred from ourself to another person, would render him the object

of love or hatred, esteem or contempt. The virtue, genius, beauty, family, riches, and authority of others beget favourable sentiments in their behalf; and their vice, folly, deformity, poverty and meanness excite the contrary sentiments. The double relation of impressions and ideas still operates on these passions of love and hatred; as on the former of pride and humility. Whatever gives a separate pleasure or pain, and is related to another person or connected with him, makes him the object of our affection or disgust.

Hence too injury or contempt towards us is one of the greatest sources of our hatred; services or esteem, of our friendship.

2. Sometimes a relation to ourself excites affection towards any person. But there is always here implied a relation of sentiments, without which the other relation would have no influence *.

A person, who is related to us, or connected with us, by blood, by similitude of fortune, of adventures, profession, or country, soon becomes an agreeable companion to us; because we enter easily and familiarly into his sentiments and conceptions: Nothing is strange or new to us: Our imagination, passing from self, which is ever intimately present to us, runs smoothly along the relation or connexion, and conceives with a full sympathy the person, who is nearly related to self. He renders himself immediately acceptable, and is at once on an easy sooting with us: No distance, no reserve has place, where the person introduced is supposed so closely connected with us.

^{*} The affection of parents to children seems, founded on an original instinct. The affection towards other relations depends on the principles here explained.

Relation has here the same influence as custom or acquaintance, in exciting affection; and from like causes. The ease and satisfaction, which, in both cases, attend our intercourse or commerce, is the source of the friendship.

- 3. The passions of love and hatred are always followed by, or rather conjoined with, benevolence and anger. It is this conjunction, which chiefly distinguishes these affections from pride and humility. For pride and humility are pure emotions in the foul, unattended with any defire, and not immediately exciting us to action. But love and hatred are not compleat within themselves, nor rest in that emotion, which they produce; but carry the mind to fomething farther. Love is always followed by a defire of happiness to the person beloved, and an aversion to his misery: As hatred produces a defire of the mifery, and an aversion to the happiness of the perfon hated. These opposite desires seem to be originally and primarily conjoined with the passions of love and hatred. It is a constitution of nature, of which we can give no farther explication.
 - 4. Compassion frequently arises, where there is no preceding esteem or friendship; and compassion is an uncasiness in the sufferings of another. It seems to spring from the intimate and strong conception of his sufferings; and our imagination proceeds by degrees, from the lively idea, to the real feeling of another's misery.

Malice and envy also arise in the mind without any preceding hatred or injury; tho' their tendency is exactly the same with that of anger and ill-will. The comparison of ourselves with others seems the source of envy and malice. The more unhappy another is, the more happy do we ourselves appear in our own conception.

5. The similar tendency of compassion to that of beautevolence, and of envy to anger, forms a very close relation between these two sets of passions; tho of a different kind from that insisted on above. It is not a resemblance of feeling or sentiment, but a resemblance of tendency or direction. Its effect, however, is the same, in producing an association of passions. Compassion is seldom or never felt without some mixture of tenderness or friendship; and envy is naturally accompanied with anger or ill will. To desire the happiness of another, from whatever motive, is a good preparative to assection: and to delight in another's misery almost unavoidably begets aversion towards him.

Even where interest is the source of our concern, it is commonly attended with the same consequences. A partner is a natural object of friendship; a rival of enmity.

- 6. Poverty, meanners, disappointment, produce contempt and dislike: But when these missortunes are very great, or are represented to us in very strong colours, they excite compassion, and tenderness, and friendship. How is this contradiction to be accounted for? The poverty and meanness of another, in their common appearance, gives us uneasiness, by a species of impersect sympathy; and this uneasiness produces aversion or dislike, from the resemblance of sentiment. But when we enter more intimately into another's concerns, and wish for his happiness, as well as feel his misery, friendship or good-will arises, from the similar tendency of the inclinations.
- 7. In respect, there is a mixture of humility, with the esteem or affection: In contempt, a mixture of pride.

The amorous passion is usually compounded of complacency in beauty, a bodily appetite, and friendship or Vol. II. P affection. affection. The close relation of these sentiments is very obvious, as well as their origin from each other, by means of that relation. Were there no other phænomenon to reconcile us to the present theory, this alone, methinks, were sufficient.

SECT. IV.

- 1. The present theory of the passions depends entirely on the double relations of sentiments and ideas, and the mutual assistance, which these relations lend to each other. It may not, therefore, be improper to illustrate these principles by some farther instances.
- 2. The virtues, talents, accomplishments, and poffessions of others, make us love and esteem them: Because these objects excite a pleasant sensation, which is related to love; and as they have also a relation or connexion with the person, this union of ideas forwards the union of sentiments, according to the foregoing reafoning.

But suppose, that the person, whom we love, is also related to us, by blood, country, or friendship; it is evident, that a species of pride must also be excited by his accomplishments and possessions; there being the same double relation, which we have along insisted on. The person is related to us, or there is an easy transition of thought from him to us; and the sentiments excited by his advantages and virtues, are agreeable, and consequently related to pride. Accordingly we find, that people are naturally vain of the good qualities or high fortune of their friends and countrymen.

3. But it is observable, that, if we reverse the order of the passions, the same effect does not follow. We pass easily from love and affection to pride and vanity; but not from the latter passions to the former, tho' all the

relations be the fame. We love not those related to us on account of our own merit; tho' they are naturally vain on account of our merit. What is the reason of this difference? The transition of the imagination to ourselves, from objects related to us, is always very easy; both on account of the relation, which facilitates the transition, and because we there pass from remoter objects, to those which are contiguous. But in passing from ourselves to objects, related to us; tho' the former principle forwards the transition of thought, yet the latter opposes it; and consequently there is not the same easy transsusion of passions from pride to love as from love to pride.

- 4. The virtues, fervices, and fortune of one man inspire us readily with esteem and affection for another related to him. The son of our friend is naturally entitled to our friendship: The kindred of a very great
 man value themselves, and are valued by others, on account of that relation. The force of the double relation
 is here fully displayed.
- 5. The following are instances of another kind, where the operation of these principles may still be discovered. Envy arises from a superiority in others; but it is observable, that it is not the great disproportion between us, which excites that passion, but on the contrary, our proximity. A great disproportion cuts off the relation of the ideas, and either keeps us from comparing ourselves with what is remote from us, or diminishes the effects of the comparison.

A poet is not apt to envy a philosopher, or a poet of a different kind, of a different nation, or of a different age. All these differences, if they do not prevent, at least weaken the comparison, and consequently the passion.

P 2

This too is the reason, why all objects appear great or little, merely by a comparison with those of the same species. A mountain neither magnifies nor diminishes a horse in our eyes: But when a FLEMISH and a WELSH horse are seen together, the one appears greater and the other less, than when viewed apart.

From the same principle we may account for that remark of historians, that any party, in a civil war, or even factious division, always choose to call in a foreign enemy at any hazard rather than submit to their fellowcitizens. Guicciardin applies this remark to the wars in ITALY; where the relations between the different states are, properly speaking, nothing but of name, language, and contiguity. Yet even these relations, when joined with superiority, by making the comparison more natural, make it likewise more grievous, and cause men to fearch for some other superiority, which may be attended with no relation, and by that means, may have a less sensible influence on the imagination. When we cannot break the affociation, we feel a stronger defire to remove the fuperiority. This feems to be the reason, why travellers, tho' commonly lavish of their praises to the CHINESE and PERSIANS, take care to depreciate those neighbouring nations, which may stand upon a footing of rivalship with their native country.

6. The fine arts afford us parallel instances. Should an author compose a treatise, of which one part was serious and prosound, another light and humorous; every one would condemn so strange a mixture, and would blame him for the neglect of all rules of art and criticism. Yet we accuse not Prior for joining his Alma and Solomon in the same volume; though that amiable poet has succeeded perfectly in the gaiety of the one, as well as in the melancholy of the other. Even suppose

the reader should peruse these two compositions without any interval, he would feel little or no difficulty in the change of the passions. Why? but because he considers these performances as entirely different; and by that break in the ideas, breaks the progress of the affections, and hinders the one from influencing or contradicting the other.

An heroic and burlesque design, united in one picture, would be monstrous; though we place two pictures of so opposite a character in the same chamber, and even close together, without any scruple.

7. It needs be no matter of wonder, that the eafy transition of the imagination should have such an influence on all the passions. It is this very circumstance, which forms all the relations and connexions amongst objects. We know no real connection between one thing and another. We know only, that the idea of one thing is associated with that of another, and that the imagination makes an easy transition between them. And as the easy transition of ideas, and that of sentiments mutually assist each other; we might beforehand expect, that this principle must have a mighty influence on all our internal movements and affections. And experience sufficiently confirms the theory.

For, not to repeat all the foregoing instances: Suppose, that I were travelling with a companion through a country, to which we are both utter strangers; it is evident, that, if the prospects be beautiful, the roads agreeable, and the fields finely cultivated; this may serve to put me in good humour, both with myself and sellow-traveller. But as the country has no connexion with myself or friend, it can never be the immediate cause either of self-yalue or of regard to him: And

therefore, if I found not the passion on some other object, which bears to one of us a closer relation, my emotions are rather to be considered as the overslowings of an elevated or humane disposition, than as an established passion. But supposing the agreeable prospect before us to be surveyed either from his country-seat or from mine; this new connexion of ideas gives a new direction to the sentiment of pleasure, derived from the prospect, and raises the emotion of regard or vanity, according to the nature of the connection. There is not here, methinks, much room for doubt or disposition.

SECT. V.

- 1. It feems evident, that reason, in a strict sense, as meaning the judgment of truth and falshood, can never, of itself, be any motive to the will, and can have no insuence but so far as it touches some passion or affection. Abstract relations of ideas are the object of curiosity, not of volition. And matters of fact, where they are neither good nor evil, where they neither excite desire nor aversion, are totally indifferent; and whether known or unknown, whether mistaken or rightly apprehended, cannot be regarded as any motive to action.
- 2. What is commonly, in a popular fense, called reafon, and is so much recommended in moral discourses,
 is nothing but a general and a calm passion, which takes
 a comprehensive and a distant view of its object, and
 actuates the will, without exciting any sensible emotion.
 A man, we say, is diligent in his profession from reason; that is, from a calm desire of riches and a fortune.
 A man adheres to justice from reason; that is, from a
 calm

calm regard to public good, or to a character with him-felf and others.

- 3. The same objects, which recommend themselves to reason in this sense of the word, are also the objects of what we call passion, when they are brought near to us, and acquire some other advantages, either of external situation, or congruity to our internal temper; and by that means, excite a turbulent and sensible emotion. Evil, at a great distance, is avoided, we say, from reason: Evil, near at hand, produces aversion, horror, fear, and is the object of passion.
- 4. The common error of metaphyficians has lain in ascribing the direction of the will entirely to one of these principles, and supposing the other to have no influence. Men often act knowingly against their interest: It is not therefore the view of the greatest possible good which always influences them. Men often counteract a violent passion, in prosecution of their distant interests and defigns: It is not therefore the prefent uneafiness alone, which determines them. In general, we may observe, that both these principles operate on the will; and where they are contrary, that either of them prevails, according to the general character or present disposition of the person. What we call strength of mind implies the prevalence of the calm passions above the violent; though we may eafily observe, that there is no person so constantly possessed of this virtue, as never, on any occasion, to yield to the follicitation of violent affections and defires. From these variations of temper proceeds the great difficulty of deciding concerning the future actions and refolutions of men, where there is any contrariety of motives and passions.

SECT. VI.

1. We shall here enumerate some of those circumstances, which render a passion calm or violent, which heighten, or diminish any emotion.

It is a property in human nature, that any emotion, which attends a passion, is easily converted into it; though in their natures they be originally different from, and even contrary to each other. It is true, in order to cause a perfect union amongst passions, and make one produce the other, there is always required a double relation, according to the theory above delivered. when two passions are already produced by their separate causes, and are both present in the mind, they readily mingle and unite; though they have but one relation, and fometimes without any. The predominant paffion fwallows up the inferior, and converts it into itself. The spirits, when once excited, easily receive a change in their direction; and it is natural to imagine, that this change will come from the prevailing affection. The connection is in many cases closer between any two passions, than between any passion and indifference.

When a person is once heartily in love, the little faults and caprices of his mistress, the jealousies and quarrels, to which that commerce is so subject; however unpleasant they be, and rather connected with anger and hatred; are yet found, in many instances, to give additional force to the prevailing passion. It is a common artistice of politicians, when they would affect any person very much by a matter of sact, of which they intend to inform him, first to excite his curiosity; delay

as long as possible the fatisfying it; and by that means raise his anxiety and impatience to the utmost, before they give him a full in fight into the business. They know, that this curiofity will precipitate him into the paffion, which they purpose to raise, and will affish the object in its influence on the mind. A foldier, advancing to battle, is naturally inspired with courage and confidence, when he thinks on his friends and fellowfoldiers; and is struck with fear and terror, when he reflects on the enemy. Whatever new emotion, therefore, proceeds from the former, naturally encreases the courage; as the fame emotion proceeding from the latter, augments the fear. Hence in martial discipline, the uniformity and luftre of habit, the regularity of figures and motions, with all the pomp and majesty of war, encourage ourselves and our allies; while the same objects in the enemy strike terror into us, though agreeable and beautiful in themselves.

Hope is, in itself, an agreeable passion, and allied to friendship and benevolence; yet is it able sometimes to blow up anger, when that is the predominant passion. Spes addita suscitativas. VIRG.

- 2. Since passions, however independent, are naturally transfused into each other, if they are both present at the same time; it follows, that when good or evil is placed in such a situation as to cause any particular emotion, besides its direct passion of desire or aversion, that latter passion must acquire new force and violence.
- 3. This often happens, when any object excites contrary passions. For it is observable, that an opposition of passions commonly causes a new emotion in the spirits, and produces more disorder than the concurrence

of any two affections of equal force. This new emotion is easily converted into the predominant passion, and in many instances, is observed to encrease its violence, beyond the pitch, at which it would have arrived, had it met with no opposition. Hence we naturally desire what is forbid, and often take a pleasure in performing actions, merely because they are unlawful. The notion of duty, when opposite to the passions, is not always able to overcome them; and when it fails of that effect, is apt rather to increase and irritate them, by producing an opposition in our motives and principles.

- 4. The same effect follows, whether the opposition arises from internal motives or external obstacles. The passion commonly acquires new force in both cases. The efforts, which the mind makes to surmount the obstacle, excite the spirits, and enliven the passion.
- 5. Uncertainty has the same effect as opposition. The agitation of the thought, the quick turns which it makes from one view to another, the variety of passions which succeed each other, according to the different views: All these produce an emotion in the mind; and this emotion transfuses itself into the predominant passion.

Security, on the contrary, diminishes the passions. The mind, when left to itself, immediately languishes; and in order to preserve its ardour, must be every moment supported by a new flow of passion. For the same reason, despair, though contrary to security, has a like influence.

Nothing more powerfully excites any affection than to conceal some part of its object, by throwing it into into a kind of shade, which, at the same time that it shows enough to preposses us in favour of the object, leaves still some work for the imagination. Besides, that obscurity is always attended with a kind of uncertainty; the effort, which the sancy makes to compleat the idea, rouzes the spirits, and gives an additional force to the passion.

- 7. As despair and security, though contrary, produce the same effects; so absence is observed to have contrary effects, and in different circumstances, either encreases or diminishes our affection. Rochefou-cault has very well remarked, that absence destroys weak passions, but encreases strong; as the wind extinguishes a candle, but blows up a fire. Long absence naturally weakens our idea, and diminishes the passion: But where the passion is so strong and lively as to support itself, the uneasiness, arising from absence, encreases the passion, and gives it new force and influence.
- 8. When the foul applies itself to the performance of any action, or the conception of any object, to which it is not accustomed, there is a certain unpliableness in the faculties, and a difficulty of the spirits moving in their new direction. As this difficulty excites the spirits, it is the source of wonder, surprize, and of all the emotions, which arise from novelty; and is in itself, very agreeable, like every thing which enlivens the mind to a moderate degree. But though furprise be agreeable in itself, yet as it puts the spirits in agitation, it not only augments our agreeable affections, but also our painful, according to the foregoing principle. Hence every thing, that is new, is most affecting, and gives us either more pleasure or pain, than what, firicily speaking, should naturally follow

low from it. When it often returns upon us, the novelty wears off; the passions subside; the hurry of the spirits is over; and we survey the object with greater tranquillity.

9. The imagination and affections have a close union together. The vivacity of the former, gives force to the latter. Hence the prospect of any pleafure, with which we are acquainted, affects us more than any other pleasure, which we may own superior, but of whose nature we are wholly ignorant. Of the one we can form a particular and determinate idea: The other, we conceive under the general notion of pleasure.

Any fatisfaction, which we lately enjoyed, and of which the memory is fresh and recent, operates on the will with more violence, than another of which the traces are decayed and almost obliterated.

A pleasure, which is suitable to the way of life, in which we are engaged, excites more our desires and appetites than another, which is foreign to it.

Nothing is more capable of infusing any passion into the mind, than eloquence, by which objects are represented in the strongest and most lively colours. The bare opinion of another, especially when inforced with passion, will cause an idea to have an influence upon us, though that idea might otherwise have been entirely neglected.

It is remarkable, that lively passions commonly attend a lively imagination. In this respect, as well as others, the force of the passion depends as much on the temper of the person, as on the nature and situation of the object,

What

What is diffant, either in place or time, has not equal influence with what is near and contiguous.



I pretend not here to have exhausted this subject. It is sufficient for my purpose, if I have made it appear, that in the production and conduct of the passions, there is a certain regular mechanism, which is susceptible of as accurate a disquisition, as the laws of motion, optics, hydrostatics, or any part of natural philosophy.

AN

ENQUIRY

CONCERNING THE

PRINCIPLES

O F

MORALS.

SECTION I.

Of the GENERAL PRINCIPLES of MORALS.

ISPUTES with persons, pertinaciously obstinate in their principles, are, of all others, the most irksome; except, perhaps, those with persons, intirely disingentious, who really do not believe the opinion they defend, but engage in the controversy, from affectation, from a spirit of opposition, or from a desire of showing wit and ingenuity, superior to the rest of mankind. The same blind adherence to their own arguments is to be expected in both; the same contempt of their antagonists; and the same passionate vehemence, in inforcing sophistry and salsehood. And as reasoning is not the source, whence either disputant derives his tenets; 'tis in vain to expect, that any logic, which speaks not to the affections, will ever engage him to embrace sounder principles.

Those who have denied the reality of moral distinctions, may be ranked among the disingenuous disputants; nor is it conceivable, that any human creature could ever seriously believe, that all characters and actions were alike intitled to the affection and regard of every one. The difference, which nature has placed between one man and another, is so wide, and this difference is still so much farther widened, by education, example, and habit, that where the opposite extremes come at once Vol. II.

under our apprehension, there is no scepticism so scrupulous, and scarce any assurance so determined, as absolutely to deny all distinction between them. Let a man's insensibility be ever so great, he must often be touched with the images of RIGHT and WRONG; and let his prejudices be ever so obstinate, he must observe, that others are susceptible of like impressions. The only way, therefore, of converting an antagonist of this kind, is to leave him to himself. For, finding that no body keeps up the controversy with him, 'tis probable he will, at last, of himself, from mere weariness, come over to the side of common sense and reason.

There has been a controverfy started of late, much better worth examination, concerning the general foundation of MORALS; whether they are derived from REASON, or from SENTIMENT; whether we attain the knowlege of them by a chain of argument and induction, or by an immediate feeling and finer internal sense; whether, like all sound judgment of truth and salsehood, they should be the same to every rational intelligent being; or whether, like the perception of beauty and desormity, they are sounded entirely on the particular sabric and constitution of the human species.

The antient philosophers, tho' they often affirm, that virtue is nothing but conformity to reason, yet, in general, seem to consider morals as deriving their existence from taste and sentiment. On the other hand, our modern inquirers, tho' they also talk much of the beauty of virtue, and desormity of vice, yet have commonly endeavoured to account for these distinctions by metaphysical reasonings, and by deductions from the most abstract principles of human understanding. Such consusion reigned in these subjects, that an opposition of the greatest consequence could prevail between one system and another, and even in the parts almost of each individual system;

tem; and yet no body, till very lately, was ever fenfible of it. The elegant Lord SHAFTESBURY, who first gave occasion to remark this distinction, and who, in general, adhered to the principles of the antients, is not, himfelf, intirely free from the fame confusion.

It must be acknowledged, that both sides of the question are fusceptible of specious arguments. Moral distinctions, it may be faid, are discernible by pure reason: Else, whence the many disputes that reign in common life, as well as in philosophy, with regard to this subject: The long chain of proofs often produced on both fides; the examples cited, the authorities appealed to, the analogies employed, the fallacies detected, the inferences drawn, and the feveral conclusions adjusted to their proper principles. Truth is disputable; not taste: What exists in the nature of things is the standard of our judgment; what each man feels within himself is the standard of sentiment. Propositions in geometry may be proved, fystems in physics may be controverted; but the harmony of verse, the tenderness of passion, the brilliancy of wit, must give immediate pleasure. No man reasons concerning another's beauty; but frequently concerning the juftice or injustice of his actions. In every criminal trial the first object of the prisoner is to disprove the facts alleged, and deny the actions imputed to him: The fecond to prove, that even if these actions were real, they might be justified, as innocent and lawful. 'Tis confeffedly by deductions of the understanding, that the first point is ascertained: How can we suppose that a different faculty of the mind is employed in fixing the. other !

On the other hand, those who would resolve all moral determinations into fentiment, may endeavour to show, that 'tis impossible for reason ever to draw conclusions of this nature. To virtue, fay they, it belongs to be amiables

able, and vice odious. This forms their very nature or effence. But can reason or argumentation distribute these different epithets to any subjects, and pronounce before-hand, that this must produce love, and that hatred? Or what other reason can we ever assign for these assections, but the original fabric and formation of the human mind, which is naturally adapted to receive them?

The end of all moral speculations is to teach us our duty; and, by proper representations of the deformity of vice and beauty of virtue, beget correspondent habits, and engage us to avoid the one, and embrace the other. But is this ever to be expected from inferences and conclusions of the understanding, which of themselves have no hold of the affections, nor fet in motion the active powers of men? They discover truths: But where the truths which they discover are indifferent, and beget no defire or aversion, they can have no influence on conduct and behaviour. What is honourable, what is fair, what is becoming, what is noble, what is generous, takes poffession of the heart, and animates us to embrace and maintain it. What is intelligible, what is evident, what is probable, what is true, procures only the cool affent of the understanding; and gratifying a speculative curiofity puts an end to our researches.

Extinguish all the warm feelings and prepossessions in favour of virtue, and all disgust or aversion against vice: Render men totally indifferent towards these distinctions; and morality is no longer a practical study, nor has any tendency to regulate our lives and actions.

These arguments on each side (and many more might be produced) are so plausible, that I am apt to suspect, they may, the one as well as the other, be solid and satisfactory, and that reason and sentiment concur in almost all moral determinations and conclusions. The sinal sentence, 'tis probable, which pronounces characters and actions

actions amiable or odious, praise-worthy or blameable; that which stamps on them the mark of honour or infamy, approbation or censure; that which renders morality an active principle, and constitutes virtue our happiness, and vice our mifery: 'Tis probable, I say, that this final fentence depends on fome internal fenfe or feeling, which nature has made univerfal in the whole species. For what else can have an influence of this nature? But in order to pave the way for fuch a fentiment, and give a proper discernment of its object, 'tis often necessary, we find, that much reasoning should precede, that nice distinctions be made, just conclusions drawn, distant comparifons formed, complicated relations examined, and general facts fixed and afcertained. Some species of beauty, especially the natural kinds, on their first appearance, command our affection and approbation; and where they fail of this effect, 'tis impossible for any reasoning to redress their influence, or adapt them better to our taste and fentiment. But in many orders of beauty, particularly those of the finer arts, 'tis requisite to employ much reasoning, in order to seel the proper sentiment; and a false relish may frequently be corrected by argument and reflection. There are just grounds to conclude, that moral beauty partakes much of this latter species, and demands the affiffance of our intellectual faculties, in order to give it a fuitable influence on the human mind.

But though this question, concerning the general principles of morals, be extremely curious and important, 'tis needless for us, at present, to employ farther care in our researches concerning it. For if we can be so happy, in the course of this inquiry, as to discover the true origin of morals, it will then easily appear how far either sentiment or reason enters into all determinations of this nature *. In order to attain this purpose, we shall en-

deavour to follow a very simple method: We shall analyze that complication of mental qualities, which forms what, in common life, we call Personal Merit: We shall consider every attribute of the mind, which renders a man an object either of esteem and affection, or of hatred and contempt; every habit or fentiment or faculty, which, if ascribed to any person, implies either praise or blame, and may enter into any panegyric or fatire of his character and manners. The quick fenfibility on this head, which is so universal among mankind, gives a philosopher sufficient assurance that he can never be confiderably miftaken in framing the catalogue, or incur any danger of misplacing the objects of his contemplation: He need only enter into his own breast for a moment, and confider whether or not he would defire to have this or that quality ascribed to him, and whether fuch of fuch an imputation would proceed from a friend for an enemy. The very nature of language guides us almost infallibly in forming a judgment of this nature; and as every tongue possesses one set of words which are taken in a good fenfe, and another in the opposite, the least acquaintance with the idiom suffices, without any reasoning, to direct us in collecting and arranging the estimable or blameable qualities of men. The only object of reasoning is to discover the circumstances on both fides which are common to these qualities; to observe that particular in which the estimable qualities agree on the one hand, and the blameable on the other; and from thence to reach the foundation of ethics, and find those universal principles, from which all censure or approbation is ultimately derived. As this is a question of fact, not of abstract science, we can only expect success, by following the experimental method, and deducing general maxims from a comparison of particular instances. The other scientifical method, where a general abstract principle

Of the General Principles of Morals. 231

principle is first established, and is afterwards branched out into a variety of inferences and conclusions, may be more perfect in itself, but suits less the imperfection of human nature, and is a common source of illusion and mistake in this as well as in other subjects. Men are now cured of their passion for hypotheses and systems in natural philosophy, and will hearken to no arguments but those derived from experience. 'Tis full time that they should attempt a like reformation in all moral disquisitions; and reject every system of ethics, however subtile or ingenious, which is not sounded on fact and observation.

We shall begin our enquiry on this head by the confideration of the social virtues, benevolence and justice. The explication of them will probably give us an opening by which the others may be accounted for.

SECTION II.

Of BENEVOLENCE.

PART I.

THERE is a principle, supposed to prevail among many, which is utterly incompatible with all virtue or moral fentiment; and as it can proceed from nothing but the most depraved disposition; so in its turn it tends still further to encourage that depravity. principle is, that all benevolence is mere hypocrify, friendship a cheat, public spirit a farce, fidelity a snare to procure trust and confidence; and that while all of us, at the bottom, purfue only our private interest, we wear these fair disguises, in order to put others off their guard, and expose them the more to our wiles and machinations. What heart one must be possessed of who professes such principles, and who feels no internal sentiment that belies fo pernicious a theory, 'tis eafy to imagine: And also, what degree of affection and benevo-Ience he can bear to a species, whom he represents under fuch odious colours, and supposes so little susceptible of gratitude or any return of affection. Or if we will not ascribe these principles wholly to a corrupted heart, we must, at least, account for them from the most careless and precipitant examination. Superficial reasoners, indeed, observing many false pretences among mankind, and

and feeling, perhaps, no very strong restraint in their own disposition, might draw a general and hasty conclusion, that all is equally corrupted, and that men, different from all other animals, and indeed from all other species of existence, admit of no degrees of good or bad, but are, in every instance, the same creatures, under different disguises and appearances.

There is another principle, somewhat resembling the former; which has been much infifted on by philosophers, and has been the foundation of many a system; that whatever affection one may feel, or imagine he feels for others, no passion is, or can be disinterested; that the most generous friendship, however sincere, is a modification of felf-love; and that, even unknown to ourselves, we feek only our own gratification, while we appear the most deeply engaged in schemes for the liberty and happiness of mankind. By a turn of imagination, by a refinement of reflection, by an enthulialm of pallion, we feem to take part in the interests of others, and imagine ourselves divested of all selfish considerations: But, at bottom, the most generous patriot and most niggardly miser, the bravest hero and most abject coward, have, in every action, an equal regard to their own happiness and welfare.

Whoever concludes, from the seeming tendency of this opinion, that those, who make profession of it, cannot possibly feel the true sentiments of benevolence, or have any regard for genuine virtue, will often find himself, in practice, very much mistaken. Probity and honour were no strangers to Epicurus and his sect. Atticus and Horace seem to have enjoyed from nature, and cultivated by reflection, as generous and friendly dispositions as any disciple of the austerer schools. And among the moderns, Hobbes and Locke, who maintained the selfish system of morals, lived most irreproachable

able lives; tho' the former lay not under any restraint of religion, which might supply the defects of his philosophy.

An EPICUREAN or a Hobbist readily allows, that there is fuch a thing as friendship in the world, without hypocrify or difguife; the' he may attempt, by a philosophical chymistry, to resolve the elements of this pasfion, if I may so speak, into those of another, and explain every affection to be self-love, twisted and moulded, by a particular turn of imagination, into a variety of appearances. But as the same turn of imagination prevails not in every man, nor gives the same direction to the original paffion; this is fufficient, even according to the felfish system, to make the widest difference in human characters, and denominate one man virtuous and humane, another vicious and meanly interested. I esteem the man, whose self-love, by whatever means, is so directed as to give him a concern for others, and render him ferviceable to fociety: As I hate or despise him, who has no regard to any thing beyond his own gratifications and enjoyments. In vain would you fuggest, that these characters, tho' feemingly opposite, are, at bottom, the fame, and that a very inconfiderable turn of thought forms the whole difference between them. Each character; notwithstanding these inconsiderable differences, appears to me, in practice, pretty durable and untransmutable. And I find not in this, more than in other fubjects, that the natural fentiments, arifing from the general appearances of things, are easily destroyed by subtile reflections concerning the minute origin of these ap-Does not the lively, chearful colour of a countenance inspire me with complacency and pleasure; even though I learn from philosophy, that all difference of complexion arises from the most minute differences of thickness, in the most minute parts of the skin; by means of which a superficies is qualified to reflect one of the original colours of light, and absorb the others?

But tho' the question, concerning the universal or partial selfishness of man, be not so material, as is usually imagined, to morality and practice, it is certainly of confequence in the speculative science of human nature, and is a proper object of curiosity and inquiry. It may not, therefore, be improper, in this place, to bestow a few reslections upon it *.

The most obvious objection to the selfish hypothesis, is, that as it is contrary to common feeling and our most unprejudiced notions; there is required the highest stretch of philosophy to establish so extraordinary a paradox. To the most careless observer, there appear to be such dispositions as benevolence and generosity; such affections as love, friendship, compassion, gratitude. These fentiments have their causes, effects, objects, and operations, marked by common language and observation, and plainly diftinguished from those of the felfish pasfions. And as this is the obvious appearance of things, it must be admitted; till some hypothesis be discovered, which, by penetrating deeper into human nature, may prove the former affections to be nothing but modifications of the latter. All attempts of this kind have hitherto proved fruitless, and seem to have proceeded in-

tirely

^{*} Benevolence naturally divides into two kinds, the general and particular. The first is, where we have no friendship or connexion or esteem for the person, but seel only a general sympathy with him or a compassion for his pains, and a congratulation with his pleasures. The other species of benevolence is founded on an opinion of virtue, on services done us, or on some particular connexions. Both these sentiments must be allowed real in human nature; but whether they will resolve into some nice considerations of self-love, is a question more curious than important. The former sentiment, viz. that of general benevolence, or humanity, or sympathy, we shall have occasion frequently to treat of in the course of this inquiry; and I assume it as real, from general experience, without any other proof.

tirely from that love of fimplicity, which has been the fource of much false reasoning in philosophy. I shall not here enter into any detail on the present subject. Many able philosophers have shown the insufficiency of these systems. And I shall take for granted what, I believe, the smallest ressection will make evident to every impartial inquirer.

But the nature of the subject furnishes the strongest prefumption, that no better system will ever, for the future, be invented, in order to account for the origin of the benevolent from the felfish affections, and reduce all the various emotions of the human mind to a perfect fimplicity. The case is not the same in this species of philosophy as in physics. Many an hypothesis in nature, contrary to first appearances, has been found, on more accurate ferutiny, folid and fatisfactory. Inflances of this kind are fo frequent, that a judicious, as well as witty philosopher *, has ventured to affirm, if there be more than one way, in which any phænomenon may be produced, that there is a general prefumption for its arifing from the causes which are the least obvious and familiar. But the prefumption always lies on the other fide, in all inquiries concerning the origin of our passions, and of the internal operations of the human mind. The fimplest and most obvious cause, which can there be asfigned for any phænomenon, is probably the true one. When a philosopher, in the explication of his system, is obliged to have recourse to some very intricate and refined reflections, and to suppose them essential to the production of any passion or emotion, we have reason to be extremely on our guard against so fallacious an hypothesis. The affections are not susceptible of any impression from the refinements of reason or imagination; and 'tis always found, that a vigorous exertion of the latter faculties,

^{*} Monf. FONTENELLE.

necessarily, from the narrow capacity of the human mind, destroys all activity in the former. Our predominant motive or intention is, indeed, frequently concealed from ourselves, when it is mingled and confounded with other motives which the mind, from vanity or felf-conceit, is defirous of supposing more prevalent: But there is no instance, that a concealment of this nature has ever arisen from the abstruseness and intricacy of the motive. A man, who has loft a friend and patron, may flatter himself, that all his grief arises from generous sentiments. without any mixture of narrow or interested considerations: But a man, that grieves for a valuable friend, who needed his patronage and protection; how can we suppose, that his passionate tenderness arises from some metaphysical regards to a felf-interest, which has no foundation or reality? We may as well imagine, that minute wheels and springs, like those of a watch, give motion to a loaded waggon, as account for the origin of passion from such abstruse reflections.

Animals are found susceptible of kindness, both to their own species and to ours; nor is there, in this case, the least suspicion of disguise or artifice. Shall we account for all their sentiments too, from refined deductions of self-interest? Or if we admit a disinterested benevolence in the inscrior species, by what rule of analogy can we refuse it in the superior?

Love between the fexes begets a complacency and good-will, very distinct from the gratification of an appetite. Tenderness to their offspring, in all sensible beings, is commonly able alone to counterbalance the strongest motives of self-love, and has no manner of dependance on that affection. What interest can a fond mother have in view, who loses her health by affiduous attendance on her sick child, and afterwards languishes and dies of grief,

grief, when freed, by its death, from the flavery of that attendance?

Is gratitude no affection of the human breaft, or is that a word merely without any meaning or reality? Have we no fatisfaction in one man's company above another's, and no defire of the welfare of our friend, even though absence or death should prevent us from all participation in it? Or what is it commonly, that gives us any participation in it, even while alive and present, but our affection and regard to him?

These and a thousand other instances are marks of a general benevolence in human nature, where no real interest binds us to the object. And how an imaginary interest, known and avowed for such, can be the origin of any passion or emotion, seems difficult to explain. No satisfactory hypothesis of this kind has yet been discovered; nor is there the smallest probability, that the suture industry of men will ever be attended with more savourable success.

But farther, if we confider rightly of the matter, we shall find, that the hypothesis, which allows of a disinterested benevolence, distinct from self-love, has really more fimplicity in it, and is more conformable to the analogy of nature, than that which pretends to refolve all friendship and humanity into this latter principle. There are bodily wants or appetites, acknowledged by every one, which necessarily precede all fensual enjoyment, and carry us directly to feek possession of the object. Thus, hunger and thirst have eating and drinking for their end; and from the gratification of these primary appetites arises a pleasure, which may become the object of another species of desire or inclination, that is secondary and interested. In the same manner, there are mental passions, by which we are impelled immediately to feek particular objects, such as fame or power, or vengeance, without

any regard to interest; and when these objects are attained, a pleasing enjoyment ensues, as the consequence of our indulged affections. Nature must, by the internal frame and conflitution of the mind, give an original propenfity to fame, ere we can reap any pleasure from that acquisition, or pursue it from motives of self-love, and a defire of happiness. If I have no vanity, I take no delight in praise: If I be void of ambition, power gives me no enjoyment: If I be not angry, the punishment of an adversary is totally indifferent to me. In all these cases, there is a passion, which points immediately to the obiech, and constitutes it our good or happiness; as there are other fecondary passions, which afterwards arise, and pursue it as a part of our happiness, when once it is constituted such by our original affections. Were there no appetites of any kind antecedent to felf-love, that propensity could scarce ever exert itself; because we should, in that case, have felt few and slender pains or pleasures, and have little misery or happiness to avoid or to purfue.

Now where is the difficulty of conceiving, that this may likewise be the case with benevolence and friendship, and that, from the original frame of our temper, we may seel a desire of another's happiness or good, which, by means of that affection, becomes our own good, and is afterwards pursued, from the conjoined motives of benevolence and self-enjoyment? Who sees not that vengeance, from the force alone of passion, may be so eagerly pursued, as to make us knowingly neglect every confideration of ease, interest, or safety; and, like some vindictive animals, insuse our very souls into the wounds we give an enemy *? And what a malignant philosophy must it be, that will not allow, to humanity and friend-

^{*} Animasque in vulnere ponunt. VIRG.

Dum alteri noceat, sui negligens, says SENECA of Anger. De Ira, L. t.

thip, the same privileges, which are indisputably granted to the darker passions of enmity and resentment? Such a philosophy is more like a satyr than a true delineation or description of human nature; and may be a good soundation for paradoxical wit and raillery, but is a very bad one for any serious argument or reasoning.

PART IL

It may be esteemed, perhaps, a superstuous task to prove, that the benevolent or fofter affections are ESTI-MABLE; and wherever they appear, engage the approbation, and good-will of mankind. The epithets fociable, good-natured, humane, merciful, grateful, friendly, generous, beneficent, are known in all languages, and univerfally express the highest merit, which human nature is capable of attaining. Where these amiable qualities are attended with birth and power and eminent abilities, and difplay themselves in the good government or useful instruction of mankind, they seem even to raise the posfessors of them above the rank of human nature, and approach them in some measure to the divine. Exalted capacity, undaunted courage, prosperous success; these may only expose a hero or politician to the envy and malignity of the public: But as foon as the praifes are added of humane and beneficent; when instances are displayed of lenity, tenderness, or friendship; envy itfelf is filent, or joins the general voice of applaufe and acclamation.

When Pericles, the great Athenian statesman and general, was on his death-bed, his surrounding friends, deeming him now insensible, began to indulge their forrow for their expiring patron, by enumerating his great qualities and successes, his conquests and victories, the unusual length of his administration, and his nine trophies erected over the enemies of the republic. You

forget, cries the dying hero, who had heard all, you forget the most eminent of my praises, while you dwell so much on those vulgar advantages, in which fortune had a principal share. You have not observed, that no citizen has ever yet worne mourning on my account *.

In men of more ordinary talents and capacity, the focial virtues become, if possible, still more essentially requisite; there being nothing eminent, in that case, to compensate for the want of them, or preserve the perfon from our severest hatred, as well as contempt. A high ambition, an elevated courage, is apt, says Cicero, in less persect characters, to degenerate into a turbulent ferocity. The more social and softer virtues are there chiefly to be regarded. These are always good and amiable †.

The principal advantage which JUVENAL discovers in the extensive capacity of the human species, is, that it renders our benevolence also more extensive, and gives us larger opportunities of spreading our kindly influence than what are indulged to the inferior creation. It must, indeed, be consessed, that by doing good only, can a man truly enjoy the advantages of being eminent. His exalted station, of itself, but the more exposes him to danger and tumult. His sole prerogative is to afford shelter to inferiors, who repose themselves under his cover and protection.

But I forget, that it is not my present business to recommend generosity and benevolence, or to paint in their true colours, all the genuine charms of the social virtues. These, indeed, sufficiently engage every heart, on the first apprehension of them; and 'tis difficult to abstain from some sally of panegyric, as often as they

^{*} PLUT. in PERICLE.

[†] CIC. de Officiis, lib. I.

becur in discourse or reasoning. But our object here being more the speculative, than the practical part of morals, it will suffice to remark, (what will readily, I believe, be allowed) that no qualities are more intitled to the general good-will and approbation of mankind, than beneficence and humanity, friendship and gratitude, natural affection and public spirit, or whatever proceeds from a tender sympathy with others, and a generous concern for our kind and species. These, wherever they appear, seem to transsuse themselves, in a manner, into each beholder, and to call forth, in their own behalf, the same savourable and affectionate sentiments, which they exert on all around.

PART III. Omi

We may observe, that in displaying the praises of any humane, beneficent man, there is one circumstance which never fails to be amply infifted on, viz. the happiness and fatisfaction, derived to fociety from his intercourse and good offices. To his parents, we are apt to fay, he endears himself by his pious attachment and duteous care, still more than by the connexions of nature. His children never feel his authority, but when employed for their advantage. With him, the ties of love are confolidated by beneficence and friendship. The ties of friendship approach, in a fond observance of each obliging office, to those of love and inclination. His domestics and dependants have in him a fure refource; and no longer dread the power of fortune, but so far as she exercises it over him. From him the hungry receive food. the naked cloathing, the ignorant and flothful skill and industry. Like the sun, an inserior minister of providence, he cheers, invigorates, and fuftains the furrounding world.

If confined to private life, the sphere of his activity is narrower; but his influence is all benign and gentle. If exalted into a higher station, mankind and posterity reap the fruit of his labours.

As these topics of praise never sail to be employed, and with success, where we would inspire esteem for any one; may it not thence be concluded, that the UTILITY, resulting from the social virtues, forms, at least, a part of their merit, and is one source of that approbation and regard so universally paid them.

When we recommend even an animal or plant as use-ful and beneficial, we give it an applause and recommendation suited to its nature. As, on the other hand, reflection on the baneful influence of any of these inserior beings always inspires us with the sentiment of aversion. The eye is pleased with the prospect of corn-fields and loaded vineyards; horses grazing, and slocks pasturing: But slies the view of briars and brambles, affording shelter to wolves and serpents.

A machine, a piece of furniture, a vestment, a house well contrived for use and conveniency, is so far beautiful, and is contemplated with pleasure and approbation. An experienced eye is here sensible to many excellencies, which escape persons ignorant and uninstructed.

Can anything stronger be said in praise of a profession, such as merchandize or manufacture, than to observe the advantages which it procures to society? And is not a monk and inquisitor enraged when we treat his order as useless or pernicious to mankind?

The historian exults in displaying the benefit arising from his labours. The writer of romances alleviates or denies the bad consequences ascribed to his manner of composition.

In general, what praise is implied in the simple epithet, useful! What reproach in the contrary!

Your Gods, fays CICERO*, in opposition to the EPI-CUREANS, cannot justly claim any worship or adoration, with whatever imaginary persections you may suppose them endowed. They are totally useless and inactive. Even the Egyptians, whom you so much ridicule, never consecrated any animal but on account of its utility.

The sceptics +, though absurdly, that the origin of all religious worship was derived from the utility of inanimate objects, as the sun and moon to the support and well-being of mankind. This is also the common reason assigned by historians, for the deiscation of eminent herees and legislators ‡.

To plant a tree, to cultivate a field, to beget children; meritorious acts, according to the religion of ZOROASTER.

In all determinations of morality, this circumstance of public utility is ever principally in view; and wherever disputes arise, either in philosophy or common life, concerning the bounds of duty, the question cannot, by any means, be decided with greater certainty, than by ascertaining, on any side, the true interests of mankind. If any false opinion, embraced from appearances, has been found to prevail; as soon as farther experience and sounder reasoning have given us juster notions of human affairs; we retract our first sentiments, and adjust anew the boundaries of moral good and evil.

Giving alms to common beggars is naturally praised; because it seems to carry relief to the distressed and indigent: But when we observe the encouragement thence arising to idleness and debauchery, we regard that species of charity rather as a weakness than a virtue.

^{*} De Nat. Deor. lib. 1.

⁺ SEXT. EMP. adverfus MATH. lib. 8.

I Diop. Sic. paffim.

Tyrannicide, or the affaffination of usurpers and oppressive princes was highly extolled in ancient times; because it both freed mankind from many of these monsters, and seemed to keep the others in awe, whom the sword or poinard could not reach. But history and experience having since convinced us, that this practice increases the jealousy and cruelty of princes, a Timoleon and a Brutus, though treated with indulgence on account of the prejudices of their times, are now considered as very improper models for imitation.

Liberality in princes is regarded as a mark of beneficence: But when it occurs that the homely bread of the honest and industrious is often thereby converted into delicious cates for the idle and the prodigal, we soon retract our heedless praises. The regrets of a prince, for having lost a day, were noble and generous: But had he intended to have spent it in acts of generosity to his greedy courtiers, it was better lost than misemployed after that manner.

Luxury, or a refinement on the pleafures and conveniences of life, had long been supposed the source of every corruption in government, and the immediate cause of faction, sedition, civil wars, and the total loss of liberty. It was, therefore, universally regarded as a vice, and was an object of declamation to all satyrists, and severe moralists. Those, who prove, or attempt to prove, that such resinements rather tend to the increase of industry, civility, and arts, regulate anew our moral as well as political sentiments, and represent, as laudable and innocent, what had formerly been regarded as pernicious and blamable.

Upon the whole, then, it feems undeniable, that there is such a sentiment in human nature as benevolence; that nothing can bestow more merit on any human creature than the possession of it in an eminent degree; and

that a part, at least, of its merit arises from its tendency to promote the interests of our species, and bestow happiness on human society. We carry our view into the salutary consequences of such a character and disposition; and whatever has so benign an influence, and forwards so desirable an end, is beheld with complacency and pleasure. The social virtues are never regarded without their beneficial tendencies, nor viewed as barren and unfruitful. The happiness of mankind, the order of society, the harmony of samilies, the mutual support of friends, are always considered as the result of their gentle dominion over the breasts of men.

How confiderable a part of their merit we ought to ascribe to their utility, will better appear from suture disquisitions *; as well as the reason, why this circumstance has such a command over our esteem and approbation +.

* Sect. 3d and 4th. + Sect. 5th.

SECTION III.

Of Justice.

PART I.

HAT JUSTICE is useful to fociety and consequently that part of its merit, at least, must arise from that consideration, it would be a superfluous undertaking to prove. That public utility is the fole origin of justice, and that reflections on the beneficial consequences of this virtue are the fole foundation of its metit; this proposition, being more curious and important, will better deserve our examination and enquiry.

Let us suppose, that nature has bestowed on the human race such profuse abundance of all external conveniencies, that, without any uncertainty in the event, without any care or industry on our part, every individual sinds himself sully provided of whatever his most voracious appetites can want, or luxurious imagination wish or desire. His natural beauty, we shall suppose, surpasses all acquired ornaments: The perpetual elemency of the seasons renders useless all cloaths or covering: The raw herbage affords him the most delicious sare; the clear sountain, the richest beverage. No laborious occupation required: No tillage: No navigation. Music, poetry, and contemplation form his sole business: Conversation, mirth, and friendship his sole amusement.

It feems evident, that, in fuch a happy state, every other social virtue would flourish, and receive a tenfold encrease; but the cautious, jealous virtue of justice would never once have been dreamt of. For what purpose make a partition of goods, where every one has already more than enough? Why give rise to property, where there cannot possibly be any injury? Why call this object mine, when upon the seizing of it hy another, I need but stretch out my hand to possess myself of what is equally valuable? Justice, in that case, being totally U-SELESS, would be an idle ceremonial, and could never possibly have place among the catalogue of virtues.

We see even in the present necessitous condition of mankind, that wherever any benefit is bestowed by nature in an unlimited abundance, we leave it always in common among the whole human race, and make no fubdivisions of right and property. Water and air, tho' the most necessary of all objects, are not challenged as the property of individuals; nor can any man commit injustice by the most lavish use and enjoyment of these bleffings. In fertile extensive countries, with few inhabitants, land is regarded on the fame footing. And no topic is so much infifted on by those, who defend the liberty of the seas, as the unexhausted use of them in navigation. Were the advantages, procured by navigation, as inexhaustible, these reasoners had never had any adversaries to refute; nor had any claims been ever advanced of a separate, exclusive dominion over the ocean.

It may happen in some countries, at some periods, that there be established a property in water, none in land *; if the latter be in greater abundance than can be used by

[#] Genesis chap, xiii, and xxi,

the inhabitants, and the former be found, with difficulty, and in very small quantities.

Again; suppose, that, though the necessities of human race continue the same as at present, yet the mind is so enlarged, and fo replete with friendship and generosity, that every man has the utmost tenderness for every man, and feels no more concern for his own interest than for that of his fellows: It feems evident, that the USE of justice would, in this case, be suspended by such an extensive benevolence, nor would the divisions and barriers of property and obligation have ever been thought of. Why should I bind another, by a deed or promise, to do me any good office, when I know he is already prompted, by the strongest inclination, to seek my happiness, and would, of himself, perform the defired service; except the hurt, he thereby receives, be greater than the benefit accruing to me? In which case, he knows, that from my innate humanity and friendship, I should be the first to oppose myself to his imprudent generosity. Why raife land-marks between my neighbour's field and mine, when my heart has made no division between our interests; but shares all his joys and forrows with equal force and vivacity as if originally my own? Every man, upon this supposition, being a second-self to another, would trust all his interests to the discretion of every man; without jealoufy, without partition, without dif-And the whole race of mankind would form only one family; where all would lie in common, and be used freely, without regard to property; but cautiously too, with as entire regard to the necessities of each individual, as if our own interests were most intimately concerned.

In the present disposition of the human heart, it would, perhaps, be difficult to find compleat instances of such enlarged affections; but still we may observe, that the case

case of families approaches towards it; and the stronger the mutual benevolence is among the individuals, the nearer it approaches; till all distinction of property be, in a great measure, lost and confounded among them. Between married persons, the cement of friendship is by the laws supposed so strong as to abolish all division of possessions; and has often, in reality, the force ascribed And 'tis observable, that, during the ardour of new enthusiasms, where every principle is inflamed into extravagance, the community of goods has frequently been attempted; and nothing but experience of its inconveniencies from the returning or disguised selfishness of men, could make the imprudent fanatics adopt a-new the ideas of justice and of separate property. So true is it, that that virtue derives its existence entirely from its necessary use to the intercourse and social state of mankind.

To make this truth more evident, let us reverse the foregoing suppositions; and carrying every thing to the opposite extreme, consider what would be the effect of those new situations. Suppose a society to fall into such want of all common necessaries, that the utmost frugality and industry cannot preserve the greatest number from perishing, and the whole from extreme misery: It will readily, I believe, be admitted, that the strict laws of juffice are fulpended, in fuch a prefling emergence, and give place to the stronger motives of necessity and selfpreservation. Is it any crime, after a shipwreck, to seize whatever means or instrument of safety one can lay hold of, without regard to former limitations of property? Or if a city befieged were perishing with hunger; can we imagine, that men will fee any means of prefervation before them, and lose their lives, from a scrupulous regard to what, in other fituations, would be the rules of equity and justice? The USE and TENDENCY

of that virtue is to procure happiness and security, by preserving order in society: But where the society is ready to perish from extreme necessity, no greacer evil can be dreaded from violence and injustice; and every man may now provide for himself by all the means, which prudence can dictate, or humanity permit. The public even in less urgent necessities, opens granaries, without the consent of proprietors; as justly supposing, that the authority of magistracy may, consistent with equity, extend so far: But were any number of men to assemble, without the tye of laws or civil jurisdiction; would an equal partition of bread in a famine, even without the proprietor's consent, be regarded as criminal or injurious?

Suppose likewise, that it should be a virtuous man's lot to fall into the fociety of ruffians, remote from the protection of laws and government; what conduct must he embrace in that melancholy situation? He sees such a desperate rapaciousness prevail; such a disregard to equity, fuch contempt of order, fuch stupid blindness to future consequences, as must immediately have the most tragical conclusion, and must terminate in destruction to the greater number, and in a total dissolution of society to the rest. He, mean while, can have no other expedient than to arm himself, to whomever the sword he feizes, or the buckler, may belong: To make provision of all means of defence and fecurity: And his-particular regard to justice being no longer of USE to his own fafety or that of others, he must consult alone the dictates of felf-preservation, without concern for those who no longer merit his care and attention.

When any man, even in political fociety, renders himfelf, by his crimes, obnoxious to the public, he is punished by the laws in his goods and person; that is, the ordinary rules of justice are, with regard to him, suspended fuspended for a moment, and it becomes equitable to inflict on him, for the *benefit* of fociety, what, otherwise, he could not suffer without wrong or injury.

The rage and violence of public war; what is it but a suspension of justice among the warring parties, who perceive, that that virtue is now no longer of any use or advantage to them? The laws of war, which then succeed to those of equity and justice, are rules calculated for the advantage and utility of that particular state, in which men are now placed. And were a civilized nation engaged with barbarians, who observed no rules even of war; the former must also suspend their observance of them, where they no longer serve to any purpose; and must render every action or rencounter as bloody and pernicious as possible to the first aggressors.

Thus the rules of equity or justice depend entirely on the particular state and condition, in which men are placed, and owe their origin and existence to that UTI-LITY, which results to the public from their strict and regular observance. Reverse, in any considerable circumstance, the condition of men: Produce extreme abundance or extreme necessity: Implant in the human breast perfect moderation and humanity, or perfect rapaciousness and malice: By rendering justice totally ujeless, you thereby totally destroy its essence, and suspend its obligation upon mankind.

The common fituation of fociety is a medium amidstall these extremes. We are naturally partial to ourselves, and to our friends; but are capable of learning the advantage resulting from a more equitable conduct. Few enjoyments are given us from the open and liberal hand of nature; but by art, labour, and industry, we can extract them in great abundance. Hence the ideas of property become necessary in all civil society: Hence justice derives

rives its usefulness to the public: And hence alone arises its merit and moral obligation.

These conclusions are so natural and obvious, that they have not exaped even the poets, in their descriptions of the felicity, attending the golden age or the reign of SATURN. The feafons, in that first period of nature. were so temperate, if we credit these agreeable fictions, that there was no necessity for men to provide themselves with cloaths and houses, as a security against the violence of heat and cold: The rivers flowed with wine and milk: The oaks yielded honey; and nature frontaneously produced her greatest delicacies. Nor were these the chief advantages of that happy age. Tempests were not alone removed from nature; but those more furious tempests were unknown to human breasts, which now cause such uproar, and engender such confusion. Avarice, ambition, cruelty, felfishness, were never heard of: Cordial affection, compassion, sympathy, were the only movements with which the mind was yet acquainted. Even the punctilious distinction of mine and thine was banished from among that happy race of mortals, and carried with it the very notion of property and obligation, justice and injustice.

This poetical fiction of the golden age is, in some respects, of a piece with the philosophical fiction of the state of nature; only that the former is represented as the most charming and most peaceable condition, which can possibly be imagined; whereas the latter is painted out as a state of mutual war and violence, attended with the most extreme necessity. On the first origin of mankind, as we are told, their ignorance and savage nature were so prevalent, that they could give no mutual trust, but must each depend upon himself, and his own force or cunning for protection and security. No law was heard of: No rule of justice known: No distinction of property regarded:

regarded: Power was the only measure of right; and a perpetual war of all against all was the result of men's untamed selfishness and barbarity *.

Whether such a condition of human nature could ever exist, or if it did, could continue so long as to merit the appellation of a state, may justly be doubted. Men are necessarily born in a family-society, at least; and are trained up by their parents to some rule of conduct and behaviour. But this must be admitted, that if such a state of mutual war and violence was ever real, the suspension of all laws of justice, from their absolute inutility, is a necessary and infallible consequence.

The more we vary our views of human life, and the newer and more unufual the lights are, in which we furvey it, the more shall we be convinced, that the origin here affigned for the virtue of justice is real and satisfactory.

Were there a species of creatures, intermingled with men, which, though rational, were possess of such infe-

* This fiction of a state of nature, as a state of war, was not first started by Mr. Hobbes, as is commonly imagined. PLATO endeavours to refute an hypothesis very like it in the 2d, 3d, and 4th books de republica. Ct= CERO, on the contrary, supposes it certain and universally acknowleged in the following passage. "Quis enim vestrum, judices, ignorat, ita naturam " rerum tulisse, ut quodam tempore homines, nondum neque naturali, neque " civili jure descripto, fufi per agros, ac dispersi vagarentur tantumque ha-" berent quantum manu ac viribus, per cædem ac vulnera, aut cripere, aut " retinere potuissent? Qui igitur primi virtute & confilio præstanti extite-" runt, ii perspecto genere humanæ docilitatis atque ingenii, distipatos, unum " in locum congregarunt, cosque ex feritate illa ad justiciam ac mansuetudior nem transduxerunt. Tum res ad communem utilitatem, quas publicas " appellamus, tum conventicula hominum, quæ postea civitates nominatæ " funt, tum domicilia conjuncta, quas urbes dicamus, invento & divino & " humano jure, mænibus sepserunt. Atque inter hanc vitam, cerpolitam " humanitate, & illam immanem, nihil tam interest quam JUS atque " VIS. Horum utro uti nolimus, altero est utendum. Vim volumus " extingui? Jus valeat necesse est, id est, judicia, quibus omne jus conti-" netur. Judicia displicent, aut nulla sunt? Vis dominétur necesse est? " Hæc vident omnes." Pro. Sext. 1. 42.

rior

rior strength, both of body and mind, that they were incapable of all refistance, and could never, upon the highest provocation, make us feel the effects of their resentment; the necessary consequence, I think, is, that we should be bound, by the laws of humanity, to give gentle usage to these creatures, but should not, properly speaking, lie under any restraint of justice with regard to them, nor could they possess any right or property, exclusive of such arbitrary lords. Our intercourse with them could not be called fociety, which supposes a degree of equality; but absolute command on the one side, and fervile obedience on the other. Whatever we covet, they must instantly resign: Our permission is the only tenure by which they hold their possessions: Our compassion and kindness the only check, by which they curb our lawless will: And as no inconvenience ever results from the exercise of a power, so firmly established in nature, the reftraints of justice and property, being totally useles, would never have place in so unequal a confederacy.

This is plainly the fituation of men, with regard to animals; and how far these may be faid to possess reafon, I leave it to others to determine. The great superiority of civilized Europeans above barbarous In-DIANS, tempted us to imagine ourselves on the same footing with regard to them, and made us throw off all restraints of justice, and even of humanity, in our treatment of them. In many nations, the female fex are reduced to like flavery, and are rendered incapable of all property, in opposition to their lordly masters. But though the males, when united, have, in all countries, bodily force sufficient to maintain this severe tyranny; yet fuch are the infinuation, address, and charms of their fair companions, that they are commonly able to break Vol. II. the

the confederacy, and share with the other sex in all the rights and privileges of society.

Were the human species so framed by nature as that each individual possest within himself every faculty, requifite both for his own prefervation and for the propagation of his kind: Were all fociety and intercourse cut off between man and man, by the primary intention of the supreme Creator: It seems evident, that so solitary a being would be as much incapable of justice, as of social discourse and conversation. Where mutual regards and forbearance ferve to no manner of purpose, they would never direct the conduct of any reasonable man. headlong course of the passions would be checked by no reflection on future consequences. And as each man is here supposed to love himself alone, and to depend only on himself and his own activity for fafety and happiness, he would on every occasion, to the utmost of his power, challenge the preserence above every other being, to none of which he is bound by any ties, either of nature or of interest.

But suppose the conjunction of the sexes to be established in nature, a family immediately arises; and particular rules being found requisite for its subsistance, these are immediately embraced; though without comprehending the rest of mankind within their prescriptions. Suppose, that several families unite together into one society, which is totally disjoined from all others, the rules which preserve peace and order, enlarge themselves to the utmost extent of that society; but being then entirely useless, lose their force when carried one step farther. But again suppose, that several distinct societies maintain a kind of intercourse for mutual convenience and advantage, the boundaries of justice still grow larger, in proportion to the largeness of men's views,

and

and the force of their mutual connexions. History, experience, reason sufficiently instruct us in this natural progress of human sentiments, and in the gradual encrease of our regards to justice, in proportion as we become acquainted with the extensive utility of that virtue.

PART II.

If we examine the particular laws, by which justice is directed, and property determined; we shall still be presented with the same conclusion. The good of mankind is the only object of all these laws and regulations. Not only 'tis requisite for the peace and interest of society, that men's possessions should be separated; but the rules, which we follow, in making the separation, are such as can best be contrived to serve farther the interests of society.

We shall suppose, that a creature, possessed of reason, but unacquainted with human nature, deliberates with himself what RULES of justice or property would best promote public interest, and establish peace and security among mankind: His most obvious thought would be, to affign the largest possessions to the most extensive virtue, and give every one the power of doing good, proportioned to his inclination. In a perfect theocracy, where a being, infinitely intelligent, governs by particular volitions, this rule would certainly have place, and might serve the wifest purposes: But were mankind to execute such a law; so great is the uncertainty of merit, both from its natural obscurity, and from the selfconceit of each individual, that no determinate rule of conduct would ever refult from it; and the total diffolution of society must be the immediate consequence. Fanatics may suppose, that dominion is founded on grace, and

that faints alone inherit the earth; but the civil magisfrate very justly puts these sublime theorists on the same footing with common robbers, and teaches them by the severest discipline, that a rule, which, in speculation, may seem the most advantageous to society, may yet be found, in practice, totally pernicious and destructive.

That there were religious fanatics of this kind in Eng-LAND, during the civil wars, we learn from history; though 'tis probable, that the obvious tendency of these principles excited such horror in mankind, as soon obliged the dangerous enthusiasts to renounce, or at least conceal their tenets. Perhaps, the levellers, who claimed an equal distribution of property, were a kind of political fanatics, which arose from the religious species, and more openly avowed their pretensions; as carrying a more plausible appearance, of being practicable in themselves, as well as useful to human society.

It must, indeed, be confessed, that nature is so liberal to mankind, that were all her presents equally divided among the species, and improved by art and industry, every individual would enjoy all the necessaries, and even most of the comforts of life; nor would ever be liable to any ills, but fuch as might accidentally arise from the fickly frame and conflitution of his body. It must also be confessed, that, wherever we depart from this equality, we rob the poor of more satisfaction than we add to the rich, and that the flight gratification of a frivolous vanity, in one individual, frequently costs more than bread to many families, and even provinces. It may appear withal, that the rule of equality, as it would be highly useful, is not altogether impracticable; but has taken place, at least, in an imperfect degree, in some republics; particularly that of SPARTA; where it was attended, as 'tis faid, with the most beneficial consequences. Not to mention that the AGRARIAN laws, so frequently claimed

claimed in Rome, and carried to execution in many GREEK cities, proceeded all of them, from a general idea of the utility of this principle.

But historians, and even common sense, may inform us, that however specious these ideas of perfect equality may feem, they are really, at the bottom, impracticable; and were they not so, would be extremely pernicious to human society. Render possessions ever so equal, men's different degrees of art, care, and industry, will immediately break that equality. Or if you check these virtues, you reduce society to the extremest indigence; and instead of preventing want and beggary in a few, render it unavoidable to the whole community. The most rigorous inquisition too, is requisite to watch every inequality on its first appearance; and the most severe jurisdiction, to punish and redress it. But besides, that so much authority must soon degenerate into tyranny, and be exerted with great partialities; who can possibly be possessed of it, in such a situation as is here supposed? Perfect equality of possessions, destroying all subordination, weakens extremely the authority of magistracy. and must reduce all power nearly to a level, as well as property.

We may conclude, therefore, that, in order to establish laws for the regulation of property, we must be acquainted with the nature and fituation of man; must reject appearances, which may be false, though specious; and must fearch for those rules, which are, on the whole, most useful and beneficial. Vulgar sense and slight experience are fufficient for this purpose; where men give not way to too felfish avidity, or too extensive enthusiasm.

Who fees not, for instance, that whatever is produced or improved by a man's art or industry, ought, for ever, to be secured to him, in order to give encouragement to fuch useful habits and accomplishments? That the property ought also to descend to children and relations, for the same useful purpose? That it may be alienated by consent, in order to beget that commerce and intercourse, which is so beneficial to human society? And that all contracts and promises ought carefully to be fulfilled, in order to secure mutual trust and considence, by which the general interest of mankind is so much promoted?

Examine the writers on the laws of nature; and you will always find, that whatever principles they fet out with, they are fure to terminate here at last, and to as fign, as the ultimate reason for every rule which they establish, the convenience and necessities of mankind. A concession thus extorted, in opposition to systems, has more authority, than if it had been made in prosecution of them.

What other reason, indeed, could writers ever give, why this must be mine and that yours; since uninstructed nature, surely, never made any such distinction? The objects, which receive those appellations, are, of themselves, foreign to us; they are totally disjoined and separated from us; and nothing but the general interests of society can form the connection.

Sometimes, the interests of society may require a rule of justice in a particular case; but may not determine any particular rule, among several, which are all equally beneficial. In that case, the slightest analogies are laid hold of, in order to prevent that indifference and ambiguity, which would be the source of perpetual diffentions. Thus possession alone, and first possession, is supposed to convey property, where no body else has any precedent claim and pretension. Many of the reasonings of lawyers are of this analogical nature, and depend on very slight connexions of the imagination.

Does

Does any one scruple, in extraordinary cases, to violate all regard to the private property of individuals, and sacrifice to public interest a distinction, which had been established for the sake of that interest? The safety of the people is the supreme law: All particular laws are subordinate to it, and dependant on it: And if, in the common course of things, they be followed and regarded; 'tis only because the public safety and interest, commonly demand so equal and impartial an administration.

Sometimes both utility and analogy fail, and leave the laws of justice in total uncertainty. Thus, 'tis highly requisite, that prescription or long possession should convey property; but what number of days or months or years should be sufficient for that purpose, 'tis impossible for reason alone to determine, Civil laws here supply the place of the natural code, and assign different terms for prescription, according to the different utilities, proposed by the legislator. Bills of exchange and promissory notes, by the laws of most countries, prescribe sooner than bonds and mortgages, and contracts of a more formal nature.

In general, we may observe, that all questions of property are subordinate to the authority of civil laws, which extend, restrain, modify, and alter the rules of natural justice, according to the particular convenience of each community. The laws have, or ought to have, a constant reference to the constitution of government, the manners, the climate, the religion, the commerce, the situation of each society. A late author of genius, as well as learning, has prosecuted this subject at large, and has established, from these principles, a system of S 4

political knowlege, which abounds in ingenious and brilliant thoughts, and is not wanting in folidity *.

What is a man's property? Any thing, which it is lawful for him, and for him alone, to use. But what rule have we, by which we can distinguish these objects? Here we must have recourse to statutes, customs, precedents, analogies, and a hundred other circumstances; some of

* The author of L'Esprit de Loix. This illustrious writer, however, sets out with a different theory, and supposes all right to be sounded on certain rapports or relations; which is a system, that, in my opinion, never will reconcile with true philosophy. Father Malebranche, as far as I can learn, was the first that started this abstract theory of morals, which was afterwards adopted by Dr. Cudwrth, Dr. Clarke, and others; and as it excludes all sentiment, and pretends to sound every thing on reason, it has not wanted followers in this philosophic age. See Section 1. and Appendix 1. With regard to justice, the virtue here treated of, the inference against this theory seems short and conclusive. Property is allowed to be dependant on civil laws; civil laws are allowed to have no other soundation of their authority, and no other object, but the interest of society: This therefore must be allowed to be the sole soundation of property and justice. Not to mention, that our obligation itself to obey the magistrate and his laws is sounded on nothing but the interests of society.

If the ideas of justice, fometimes, do not follow the dispositions of civil law; we shall find, that these cases, instead of objections, are confirmations of the theory delivered above. Where a civil law is so perverse as to cross all the interests of fociety, it loses all its authority, and men judge by the ideas of natural justice, which are conformable to those interests. times also civil laws, for useful purposes, require a ceremony or form; and where that is wanting, their decrees run contrary to the usual tenor of justice; but one who takes advantage of fuch chicanes, is not commonly regarded as an honest man. Thus, the interests of society require, that contracts be fulfilled; and there is not a more material article either of natural or civil justice: But the omission of a trisling circumstance will often, by law, invalidate a contract, in foro bumano, but not in foro conscientiæ, as divines express themselves. In these cases, the magistrate is supposed only to withdraw his power of inforcing the right, not to have altered the right. Where his intention extends to the right, and is conformable to the interests of fociety; it never fails to alter the right; a clear proof of the origin of justice and of property, as affigned above.

which are constant and inflexible, some variable and arbitrary. But the ultimate point, in which they all professedly terminate, is, the interest and happiness of human society. Where this enters not into consideration, nothing can appear more whimsical, unnatural, and even superstitious, than all, or most of the laws of justice and of property.

Those, who ridicule vulgar superstitions, and expose the folly of particular regards to meats, days, places, postures, apparel, have an easy task; while they consider all the qualities and relations of the objects, and discover no adequate cause for that affection or antipathy, veneration or horror, which have so mighty an influence over a confiderable part of mankind. A SYRIAN would have starved rather than taste pigeon; an Egyptian would not have approached bacon: But if these species of food be examined by the fenses of fight, smell, or taste, or fcrutinized by the sciences of chymistry, medicine, or physics; no difference is ever found between them and any other species, nor can that precise circumstance be pitched on, which may afford a just foundation for the religious passion. A fowl on Thursday is lawful food; on Friday, abominable: Eggs in this house, and in this diocese, are permitted during Lent; a hundred paces farther, to eat them is a damnable fin. This earth or building, yesterday, was profane; to day, by the muttering of certain words, it has become holy and facred. Such reflections as these, in the mouth of a philosopher, one may fafely fay, are too obvious to have any influence; because they must always, to every man, occur at first fight; and where they prevail not, of themselves, they are furely obstructed by education, prejudice, and passion, not by ignorance or mistake.

It may appear to a careless view, or rather, a too abflracted reflection, that there enters a like superstition into all the regards of justice; and that, if a man subjects its objects, or what we call property, to the same feruting of fense and science, he will not, by the most accurate inquiry, find any foundation for the difference made by moral fentiment. I may lawfully nourish myfelf from this tree; but the fruit of another of the fame species, ten paces off, 'tis criminal for me to touch. Had I worn this apparel an hour ago, I had merited the severest punishment; but a man, by pronouncing a few magical fyllables, has now rendered it fit for my use and service. Were this house placed in the neighbouring territory, it had been immoral for me to dwell in it; but being built on this fide the river, it is fubject to a different municipal law, and I incur no blame or censure. The same species of reasoning, it may be thought, which fo successfully exposes superstition, is also applicable to justice; nor is it possible in the one case more than in the other, to point out, in the object, that precise quality or circumstance, which is the foundation of the fentiment.

But there is this material difference between superstition and justice, that the former is frivolous, useless, and burdensome; the latter is absolutely requisite to the wellbeing of mankind and existence of society. When we abstract from this circumstance (for 'tis too apparent ever to be overlooked) it must be confessed, that all regards to right and property, feem intirely without foundation, as much as the groffest and most vulgar superstition. Were the interests of society nowise concerned, 'tis as unintelligible, why another's articulating certain founds, implying confent, should change the nature of my actions with regard to a particular object, as why the reciting of a liturgy by a prieft, in a certain habit and posture, should dedicate a heap of brick and

and timber, and render it, thenceforth and for ever, facred *.

Thefe

* 'Tis evident, that the will or confent alone never transfers property. nor causes the obligation of a promise (for the same reasoning extends to both) but the will must be Expressed by words or signs, in order to impose a tye upon and man. The expression, being once brought in as subservient to the will, foon becomes the principal part of the promife; nor will a man be less bound by his word, tho' he secretly give a different direction to his intention, and with-hold the affent of his mind. But the' the expression makes, on most occasions, the whole of the promise, yet it does not always so; and one who should make use of any expression, of which he knows not the meaning, and which he uses without any fense of the consequences, would not certainly be bound by it. Nay, tho' he know its meaning, yet if he uses it in jest only, and with such signs as show evidently, that he has no ferious intention of binding himfelf, he would not lie under any obligation of performance; but 'tis necessary, that the words be a perfect expresfion of the will, without any contrary figns. Nay, even this we must not carry fo far as to imagine, that one, whom, by our quickness of understanding, we conjecture, from certain figns, to have an intention of deceiving us, is not bound by his expression or verbal promise, if we accept of it; but must limit this conclusion to those cases where the figns are of a different nature from those of deceit. All those contradictions are easily accounted for, if justice arises entirely from its usefulness to society; but will never be explained on any other hypothesis.

'Tis remarkable, that the moral decisions of the Jesuits and other relaxed casuists, were commonly formed in prosecution of some such subtilties of reasoning as are here pointed out, and proceeded as much from the habit of scholastic refinement as from any corruption of the heart, if we may follow the authority of Monf. BAYLE. See his dictionary, article Loyo-LA. And why has the indignation of mankind rose so high against these cafuiffs; but because every one perceived, that human society could not subfift were fuch practices authorized, and that morals must always be handled with a view to public interest, more than philosophical regularity? If the fecret direction of the intention, faid every man of fense, could invalidate a contract; where is our fecurity? And yet a metaphyfical schoolman might think, that where an intention was supposed to be requisite, if that intention really had not place, no confequence ought to follow, and no obligation be imposed. The casuistical subtilties may not be greater than the subtilties of lawyers, hinted at above; but as the former are pernicious, and the latter innocent and even necessary, this is the reason of the very different reception they meet with from the world.

268

These reflections are far from weakening the obligations of justice, or diminishing any thing from the most facred attention to property. On the contrary, such sentiments must acquire new force from the present reafoning. For what stronger foundation can be desired or conceived for any duty, than to observe that human society, or even human nature could not subside without the establishment of it; and will still arrive at greater degrees of happiness and perfection, the more inviolable the regard is, which is paid to that duty?

The dilemma feems obvious: As justice evidently tends to promote public utility and to support civil society, the fentiment of justice is either derived from our reflecting on that tendency, or like hunger, thirst, and other appetites, resentment, love of life, attachment to offspring, and other passions, arises from a simple original instinct in the human breast, which nature has implanted for like salutary purposes. If the latter be the case, it follows, that property, which is the object of justice, is also distinguished by a simple, original instinct, and is not ascertained by any argument or reflection. But who is there that ever heard of such an instinct? Or is this a subject, in which new discoveries can be made? We may as well expect to discover, in the

It is a doctrine of the church of Rome, that the priest, by a secret direction of his intention, can invalidate any sacrament. This position is derived from a strict and regular prosecution of the obvious truth, that empty words alone, without any meaning or intention in the speaker, can never be attended with any effect. If the same conclusion be not admitted in reafonings concerning civil contracts, where the affair is allowed to be of so much less consequence than the eternal salvation of thousands, it proceeds entirely from mens sense of the danger and inconvenience of the doctrine in the former case: And we may observe, that, however positive, arrogant, and dogmatical any superstition may appear, it never can convey any thorough persuasion of the reality of its objects, or put them, in any degree, on a balance with the common incidents of life, which we learn from daily observation and experimental reasoning.

body,

body, new fenses, which had before escaped all mankind.

But farther, tho' it feems a very fimple proposition, that nature, by an instinctive sentiment, distinguishes property, yet in reality we shall find, that there are required for that purpose ten thousand different instincts, and these employed about objects of the greatest intricacy and nicest discernment. For when a definition of property is required, that relation is found to resolve itself into any possession acquired by occupation, by industry, by prescription, by inheritance, by contract, &c. Can we think, that nature, by an original instinct, instructs us in all these methods of acquisition?

These words too, inheritance and contract, stand for ideas infinitely complicated; and to define them exactly, a thousand volumes of laws; and innumerable volumes of commentators, have not been found sufficient. Does nature, whose instincts in men are all simple, embrace such complicated and artificial objects, and create a rational creature, without trusting any thing to the operation of his reason?

But even the all this were admitted, it would not be fatisfactory. Positive laws can certainly transfer property. Is it by another original instinct, that we recognize the authority of kings and senates, and mark all the boundaries of their jurisdiction? Judges too, even the their sentence be erroneous and illegal, must be allowed, for the sake of peace and order, to have decisive authority, and ultimately to determine property. Have we original, innate ideas of prætors and chancellors and juries? Who sees not, that all these institutions arise merely from the necessities of human society?

All birds of the same species, in every age and country, build their nests alike: In this we see the force of instinct. Men, in different times and places, frame their houses differently: differently: Here we perceive the influence of reason and custom. A like inference may be drawn from comparing the instinct of generation and the institution of property.

However great the variety of municipal laws, it must be confessed, that their great lines pretty regularly concur; because the purposes to which they tend, are every where exactly similar. In like manner, all houses have a roof and walls, and windows and chimneys, tho' infinitely diversified in their shape, figure, and materials. The purposes of the latter, directed to the conveniencies of human life, discover not more plainly their origin from reason and reslection, than do those of the former, which point all to a like end.

I need not mention the variations which all the rules of property receive from the finer turns and connexions of the imagination, and from the fubtilities and abstractions of law-topics and reasonings. There is no possibility of reconciling this observation to the notion of original instincts.

What alone will beget a doubt of the theory, on which I infift, is the influence of education and acquired habits. by which we are so accustomed to blame injustice, that we are not, in every instance, conscious of any immédiate reflection on the pernicious consequences of it. The views the most familiar to us are apt, for that very reason, to escape us; and what we have very frequently performed from certain motives, we are apt likewise to continue mechanically, without recalling, on every occasion, the reflections which first determined us. The convenience, or rather necessity, which leads to justice, is so univerfal, and every where points so much to the same rules, that the habit takes place in all focieties; and it is not without some scrutiny, that we are able to ascertain its true origin. The matter, however, is not to obscure, but that, even in common life, we have, every moment, recourfe

recourse to the principle of public utility, and ask, What must become of the world, if such practices prevail? How could society subsist under such disorders? Were the distinction or separation of possessions intirely useless, can any one conceive, that it ever should have obtained in society?

Thus we feem, upon the whole, to have attained a knowlege of the force of that principle here infifted on, and can determine what degree of effeem or moral approbation may refult from reflections on public interest and utility. The necessity of justice to the support of society is the SOLE foundation of that virtue; and fince no moral excellence is more highly esteemed, we may conclude, that this circumstance of usefulness has, in general, the strongest energy, and most intire command over our fentiments. It must, therefore, be the source of a confiderable part of the merit ascribed to humanity, benevolence, friendship, public spirit, and other social virtues of that stamp; as it is the SOLE fource of the moral approbation paid to fidelity, justice, veracity, integrity, and those other estimable and useful qualities and principles. 'Tis intirely agreeable to the rules of philosophy, and even of common reason; where any principle has been found to have great force and energy in one instance, to ascribe to it a like energy in all similar instances *.

^{*} This is Sir Isaac Newton's second rule of philosophizing, Principia, lib. 3.

Com.

SECTION IV.

Of POLITICAL SOCIETY.

TAD every man sufficient sagacity to perceive, at all I times, the strong interest, which binds him to the observance of justice and equity, and strength of mind sufficient to persevere in a steady adherence to a general and a distant interest, in opposition to the allurements of present pleasure and advantage: There had never, in that case, been any such thing as government or political society, but each man following his natural liberty, had lived in intire peace and harmony with all others. What need of politive laws, where natural justice is, of itself, a sufficient restraint? Why create magistrates, where there never arises any disorder or iniquity? Why abridge our native freedom, when, in every instance, the utmost exertion of it is found innocent and beneficial? 'Tis evident, that, if government were totally useless, it never could have place, and that the SOLE foundation of the duty of ALLEGIANCE is the advantage which it procures to fociety, by preferving peace and order among mankind.

When a number of political focieties are erected, and maintain a great intercourse together, a new set of rules are immediately discovered to be useful in that particular situation; and accordingly take place, under the title of LAWS of NATIONS. Of this kind are, the sacredness of the persons of ambassadors, abstaining Vol. II.

from poisoned arms, quarter in war, with others of that kind, which are plainly calculated for the advantage of states and kingdoms, in their intercourse with each other.

The rules of justice, such as prevail among individuals, are not entirely suspended among political societies. All princes pretend a regard to the rights of others: and some, no doubt, without hypocrify. Alliances and treaties are every day made between independent flates, which would only be so much waste of parchment, if they were not found, by experience, to have some influence and authority. But here is the difference between kingdoms and individuals. Human nature cannot, by any means, subsist, without the association of individuals; and that affociation never could have place, were no regard paid to the laws of equity and justice. Diforder, confusion, the war of all against all, are the neceffary confequences of fuch a licentious conduct. But nations can subfish without intercourse. They may even fubfift, in some degree, under a general war. The obfervance of justice, tho' useful among them, is not guarded by fo strong a necessity as among individuals; and the moral obligation holds proportion with the ufefulmels. All politicians will allow, and most philosophers, that REASONS of STATE may, in particular emergencies, dispense with the rules of justice, and invalidate any treaty or alliance, where the strict observance of it would be prejudicial, in a confiderable degree, to either of the contracting parties. But nothing less than the extremest necessity, 'tis confest, can justify individuals in a breach of promife, or an invasion of the properties of others. .

In a confederated commonwealth, such as the ACHÆAN republic of old, or the Swiss Cantons and United Provinces in modern times; as the league has here a pecu-

liar utility, the conditions of union have a peculiar facredness and authority, and a violation of them would be equally criminal, or even more criminal, than any private injury or injustice.

The long and helples infancy of man requires the combination of parents for the subsistance of their young; and that combination requires the virtue of CHASTITY or fidelity to the marriage-bed. Without such an utility, it will readily be owned, that such a virtue would never have been thought of †.

An infidelity of this nature is much more pernicious in women than in men. Hence the laws of chastity are much stricter over the one sex than over the other.

These rules have all a reference to generation; and yet women past child-bearing are no more supposed to be exempted from them than those in the slower of their youth and beauty. General rules are often extended beyond the principle, whence they first arise; and this holds in all matters of taste and sentiment. 'Tis a vulgar story at Paris, that during the rage of the Mississippi, a hump-backed fellow went every day into the Rue de Quincempoix, where the stock-jobbers met in great crowds, and was well paid for allowing them to make use of his hump as a desk, in order to sign their con-

[†] The only solution, which Plato gives to all the objections, that might be raised against the community of women, established in his imaginary commonwealth, is, Kahlica yas dn τυτο ε λεγεται ε λελεξεται, οτι το μεν ωφελιμον καλον. Το δε βλαβεξον αισχέον. Scite enim issue of dictur est dictur; Id quod utile sit bonestum esse, quod autem inutile sit turpe esse. De Rep. lib. 5. p. 457. ex edit. Serr. And this maxim will admit of no doubt, where public utility is concerned; which is Plato's meaning. And indeed to what other purpose do all the ideas of chastity and modesty serve? Nisi utile est quod facimus, frustra est gloria, says Phædrus. Καλοντων βλασεων είνει, says Plutarch de vitioso pudore. Nihil eorum quæ damnosa sunt, pulchrum est. The same was the opinion of the Stoics. Φασιν εν οι Στωικοι αγαθον είναι ωφελείαν η εκ ετεξαν ωφελείας, ωφελείν μεν λεγοντες τη κεττην εξ την σπεθαίαν ωξαξίν. Sept. Emp. lib. 3. cap. 20.

tracts upon it. Would the fortune which he raised by this invention make him a handsome fellow; tho' it be confest, that personal beauty arises very much from ideas of utility? The imagination is influenced by associations of ideas; which, tho' they arise at first from the judgment, are not easily altered by every particular exception that occurs to us. To which we may add, in the present case of chastity, that the example of the old would be pernicious to the young; and that women continually thinking that a certain time would bring them the liberty of indulgence, would naturally advance that period, and think more lightly of this whole duty, so requisite to society.

Those who live in the same family have such frequent opportunities of licence of this kind, that nothing could preserve purity of manners, were marriage allowed among the nearest relations, or were any intercourse of love between them ratisfied by law and custom. INCEST, therefore, being pernicious in a superior degree, has also a superior turpitude and moral deformity annexed to it.

What is the reason, why, by the ATHENIAN laws, one might marry a half-sister by the father, but not by the mother? Plainly this. The manners of the ATHENIANS were so reserved, that a man was never permitted to approach the women's apartment, even in the same samily, unless where he visited his own mother. His step-mother and her children were as much shut up from him as the women of any other samily, and there was as little danger of any criminal correspondence between them. Uncless and nieces, for a like reason, might marry at ATHENS; but neither these, nor half-brothers and sisters, could contract that alliance at ROME, where the intercourse was more open between the sexes. Public utility is the cause of all these variations.

To repeat, to a man's prejudice, any thing that escaped him in private conversation, or to make any such use of his private letters, is highly blamed. The free and social intercourse of minds must be extremely checked, where no such rules of fidelity are established.

Even in repeating stories, whence we can see no ill consequences to result, the giving one's authors is regarded as a piece of indiscretion, if not of immorality. These stories, in passing from hand to hand, and receiving all the usual variations, frequently come about to the persons concerned, and produce animosities and quarrels among people, whose intentions are the most innocent and inosfensive.

To pry into fecrets, to open or even read the letters of others; to play the spy upon their words and looks and actions: what habits more inconvenient in society? What habits, of consequence, more blameable?

This principle is also the foundation of most of the laws of good manners; a kind of lesser morality calculated for the ease of company and conversation. Too much or too little ceremony are both blamed, and every thing, which promotes ease, without an indecent familiarity, is useful and laudable.

Constancy in friendships, attachments, and intimacies, is commonly very commendable, and is requisite to support trust and good correspondence in society. But in places of general, the casual concourse, where the pursuit of health and pleasure brings people promiscuously together, public conveniency has dispensed with this maxim; and custom there promotes an unreserved conversation for the time, by indulging the privilege of dropping afterwards every indifferent acquaintance, without breach of civility or good-manners.

Even in focieties, which are established on principles the most immoral, and the most destructive to the interests of the general society, there are required certain rules, which a species of false honour, as well as private interest, engages the members to observe. Robbers and pyrates, it has often been remarked, could not maintain their pernicious consederacy, did they not establish a new distributive justice among themselves, and recall those laws of equity, which they have violated with the rest of mankind.

I hate a drinking companion, fays the GREEK proverb, who never forgets. The follies of the last debauch should be buried in eternal oblivion, in order to give full scope to the follies of the next.

Among nations, where an immoral gallantry, if covered with a thin veil of mystery, is, in some degree, authorized by custom, there immediately arise a set of rules, calculated for the conveniency of that attachment. The samous court or parliament of love in PROVENCE decided formerly all difficult cases of this nature.

In focieties for play, there are laws required for the conduct of the game, and these laws are different in each game. The foundation, I own, of such societies is frivolous; and the laws are, in a great measure, tho' not altogether, capricious and arbitrary. So far is there a material difference between them and the rules of justice, sidelity and loyalty. The general societies of men are absolutely requisite for the subsistence of the species; and the public conveniency, which regulates morals, is inviolably established in the nature of man, and of the world, in which he lives. The comparison, therefore, in these respects, is very impersect. We may only learn from it the necessity of rules, wherever men have any intercourse with each other.

They cannot even pass each other on the road without rules. Waggoners, coachmen, and postilions have prinprinciples, by which they give way; and these are chiesly founded on mutual ease and convenience. Sometimes also they are arbitrary, at least dependent on a kind of capricious analogy, like many of the reasonings of lawyers *.

To carry the matter farther, we may observe, that 'tis impossible for men so much as to murder each other without statutes and maxims, and an idea of justice and honour. War has its laws as well as peace; and even that sportive kind of war carried on among wrestlers, boxers, cudgel-players, gladiators, is regulated by fixed principles. Common interest and utility beget infallibly a standard of right and wrong among the parties concerned.

* That the lighter machine yields to the heavier, and, in machines of the same kind, that the empty yields to the loaded: this rule is sounded on convenience. That those who are going to the capital take place of those who are coming from it; this seems to be sounded on some idea of the dignity of the great city, and of the preservence of the future to the past. From like reasons, among soot-walkers, the right-hand intitles a man to the wall, and prevents jostling, which peaceable people find very disagreeable and inconvenient.

SECTION V.

WHY UTILITY PLEASES.

PART I.

T T feems so natural a thought to ascribe to their utility I the praise which we bestow on the social virtues, that one would expect to meet with this principle every where in moral writers, as the chief foundation of their reafoning and inquiry. In common life, we may observe, that the circumstance of utility is always appealed to: nor is it supposed, that a greater eulogy can be given to any man, than to display his usefulness to the public, and enumerate the fervices which he has performed to mankind and fociety. What praife, even of an inanimate form, if the regularity and elegance of its parts destroy not its fitness for any useful purpose! And how fatisfactory an apology for any disproportion or seeming deformity, if we can show the necessity of that particular construction for the use intended! A ship appears infinitely more beautiful to an artist, or one moderately skilled in navigation, where its prow is wide and swelling beyond its poop, than if it were framed with a precife geometrical regularity, in contradiction to all the laws of mechanics. A building, whose doors and windows were exact squares, would hurt the eye by that very proportion; as ill adapted to the figure of a human creature, for whose service the fabric was intended.

What

What wonder then, that a man, whose habits and conduct are hurtful to society, and dangerous or pernicious to every one who has an intercourse with him, should, on that account, be an object of disapprobation, and communicate to every spectator the strongest sentiments of disgust and hatred *?

But perhaps the difficulty of accounting for these effects of usefulness, or its contrary, has kept philosophers from admitting them into their systems of ethics, and has induced them rather to employ any other principle, in explaining the origin of moral good and evil. But 'tis no just reason for rejecting any principle, confirmed by experience, that we cannot give a satisfactory account of its origin, nor are able to resolve it into other more general principles. And if we would employ a little thought on the present subject, we need be at no loss to account for the influence of utility, and to deduce it from principles, the most known and avowed in human nature.

* We ought not to imagine, because an inanimate object may be useful as well as a man, that therefore it ought also, according to this system, to merit the appellation of virtuous. The sentiments, excited by utility, are, an the two cases, very different; and the one is mixed with affection, esteem, approbation, &c. and not the other. In like manner, an inanimate object may have good colour and proportions as well as a human figure. But can we ever be in love with the former? There are a numerous fet of passions and fentiments, of which thinking rational beings are, by the original conflitution of nature, the only proper objects: And tho' the very same qualities be transferred to an infenfible, inanimate being, they will not excite the fame fentiments. The beneficial qualities of herbs and minerals are, indeed, fometimes called their virtues; but this is an effect of the caprice of language, which ought not to be regarded in reasoning. For tho' there be a species of approbation attending even inanimate objects, when beneficial, yet this fentiment is so weak, and so different from what is directed to beneficent magistrates or statesmen, that they ought not to be ranked under the same class or appellation.

A very small variation of the object, even where the same qualities are preserved, will destroy a sentiment. Thus, the same beauty, transferred to a different sex, excites no amorous passion, where nature is not extremely perverted.

From

From the apparent usefulness of the social virtues, it has readily been inferred by sceptics, both ancient and modern, that all moral distinctions arise from education, and were, at first, invented, and afterwards encouraged by the art of politicians, in order to render men tractable, and subdue their natural ferocity and selfishness, which incapacitated them for fociety. This principle indeed of precept and education, must be so far owned to have a powerful influence, that it may frequently increase or diminish, beyond their natural standard, the fentiments of approbation or diflike; and may even, in particular instances, create, without any natural principle, a new fentiment of this kind; as is evident in all superstitious practices and observances: But that all moral affection or diflike arises from this origin, will never surely be allowed by any judicious inquirer. Had nature made no fuch distinction, founded on the original constitution of the mind, the words, honourable and shameful, levely and odious, noble and despicable, had never had place in any language; nor could politicians, had they invented these terms, ever have been able to render them intelligible, or make them convey an idea to the audience. So that nothing can be more superficial than this paradox of the sceptics; and it were well, if, in the abstruser studies of logic and metaphysics, we could as easily get rid of the cavils of that feet, as in the more practical and intelligible sciences of politics and morals.

The focial virtues must, therefore, be allowed to have a natural beauty and amiableness, which, at first, antecedent to all precept or education, recommends them to the esteem of uninstructed mankind, and engages their affections. And as the public utility of these virtues is the chief circumstance, whence they derive their merit, it follows, that the end, which they have a tendency to promote, must be some way agreeable to us, and take hold of some natural affection. It must please, either

rom

from confiderations of felf-interest, or from more generous motives and regards.

It has often been afferted, that, as every man has a firong connexion with fociety, and perceives the impossibility of his folitary fubfishence, he becomes, on that account, favourable to all those habit or principles, which promote order in fociety, and insure to him the quiet possession of so inestimable a blessing. As much as we value our own happiness and welfare, as much must we value the practice of justice and humanity, by which alone the social confederacy can be maintained, and every man reap the fruits of mutual protection and assistance.

This deduction of morals from felf-love, or a regard to private interest, is a very obvious thought, and has not arisen wholly from the wanton sallies and sportive assaults of the sceptics. To mention no others, Polybus, one of the gravest and most judicious, as well as most moral writers of antiquity, has assigned this selfish origin to all our sentiments of virtue *. But tho' the solid, practical sense of that author, and his aversion to all vain subtilities, render his authority on the present subject very considerable; yet this is not an affair to be decided by authority; and the voice of nature and experience seems plainly to oppose the selfish theory.

We frequently bestow praise on virtuous actions, performed in very distant ages and remote countries; where the utmost subtilty of imagination would not discover any appearance of self-interest, or find any connexion of

^{*} Undutifulness to parents is disapproved of by mankind, weospapered to reshow, is συλλογίζιμενες στι το συαξαπλησιου εκαστις αυταν συγκυς ήσει. Ingratitude for a like reason (tho' he seems there to mix a more generous regard) συναγανακτείλας μεν τω σελας, αναφες ίλας δ' επ' αυτες το συαξαπλησιου εξ ων υπογγγείαι τις εννοία συαξεκίςω το καθοκού ο δυναμεως is θέωριας. Lib. 6. cap. 4. Perhaps the historian only mean, that our sympathy and bumanity was more enlivened, by our considering the similarity of our case, with that of the persons suffering; which is a just sentiment,

our present happiness and security with events so widely separated from us.

A generous, a brave, a noble deed, performed by an adversary, commands our approbation; while in its confequences it may be acknowleged prejudicial to our particular interest.

Where private advantage concurs with general affection for virtue, we readily perceive and avow the mixture of these distinct sentiments, which have a very different feeling and influence on the mind. We praise, perhaps, with more alacrity, where the generous, humane action contributes to our particular interest: But the topics of praise which we insist on are very wide of this circumstance. And we may attempt to bring over others to our sentiments, without endeavouring to convince them, that they reap any advantage from the actions, which we recommend to their approbation and applause.

Frame the model of a praise-worthy character, confisting of all the most amiable moral virtues: Give instances, in which these display themselves after an eminent and extraordinary manner: You readily engage the esteem and approbation of all your audience, who never so much as enquire in what age and country the person lived, who possessed these noble qualities: A circumstance, however, of all others, the most material to self-love, or a concern for our own individual happiness.

Once on a time, a statesman, in the shock and contest of parties, prevailed so far as to procure, by his eloquence, the banishment of an able adversary; whom he secretly followed, offering him money for his support during his exile, and soothing him with topics of consolation in his missortunes. Alas! cries the banished statesman, with what regret must I leave my friends in this city, where even enemies are so generous! Virtue, tho' in

an enemy, here pleased him: And we also give it the just tribute of praise and approbation; nor do we retract these sentiments, when we hear, that the action past at ATHENS, about two thousand years ago, and that the persons names were ESCHINES and DEMOSTHENES.

What is that to me? There are few occasions, when this question is not pertinent: And had it that universal, infallible influence supposed, it would turn into ridicule every composition, and almost every conversation, which contain any praise or censure of men and manners.

'Tis but a weak subterfuge, when pressed by these facts and arguments, to say, that we transport ourselves, by the force of imagination, into distant ages and countries, and consider the advantage, which we should have reaped from these characters, had we been contemporaries, and had any commerce with the persons. 'Tis not conceivable, how a real sentiment or passion can ever arise from a known imaginary interest; especially when our real interest is still kept in view, and is often acknowleged to be entirely distinct from the imaginary, and even sometimes opposite to it.

A man, brought to the brink of a precipice, cannot look down without trembling; and the fentiment of imaginary danger actuates him, in opposition to the opinion and belief of real safety. But the imagination is here assisted by the presence of a striking object; and yet prevails not, except it be also aided by novelty, and the unusual appearance of the object. Custom soon reconciles us to heights and precipices, and wears off these sale and delusive terrors. The reverse is observable in the estimates which we form of characters and manners; and the more we habituate ourselves to an accurate scrutiny of the moral species, the more delicate seeling do we acquire of the most minute distinctions between vice and virtue. Such frequent occasion, indeed, have we,

in common life, to pronounce all kinds of moral determinations, that no object of this kind can be new or unufual to us; nor could any false views or prepossessions maintain their ground against an experience, so common and familiar. Experience being chiefly what forms the associations of ideas, 'tis impossible, that any association could establish and support itself, in direct opposition to that principle.

Usefulness is agreeable, and engages our approbation. This is a matter of fact, confirmed by daily observation. But, useful? For what? For some body's interest, surely. Whose interest then? Not our own only: For our approbation frequently extends farther. It must, therefore, be the interest of those, who are served by the character or action approved of; and these we may conclude, however remote, are not totally indifferent to us. By opening up this principle, we shall discover one great source of moral distinctions.

PART II.

Self-love is a principle in human nature of fuch extensive energy, and the interest of each individual is, in general, fo closely connected with that of the community, that those philosophers were excusable, who fancied, that all our concern for the public might be refolved into a concern for our own happiness and preservation. They faw, every moment, instances of approbation or blame, fatisfaction or displeasure towards characters and actions; they denominated the objects of these sentiments, virtues or vices; they observed, that the former had a tendency to encrease the happiness, and the latter the misery of focietý; they asked, whether it were possible that we could have any general concern for fociety, or any difinterested resentment of the welfare or injury of others; they found it fimpler to confider all these sentiments as modifications of felf-love; and they discovered a pretence, at least, for this unity of principle, in that close union of interest, which is so observable between the public and each individual.

But notwithstanding this frequent confusion of interests, 'tis easy to attain what natural philosophers, after my lord BACON, have affected to call the experimentum crucis, or that experiment, which points out the right way in any doubt or ambiguity. We have found instances, in which private interest was separated from public; in which it was even contrary: And yet we obferved the moral fentiment to continue, notwithstanding this disjunction of interests. And wherever these distinct interests sensibly concurred, we always found a senfible encrease of the sentiment, and a more warm affection to virtue, and detestation of vice, or what we properly call, gratitude and revenge. Compelled by these instances, we must renounce the theory, which accounts for every moral fentiment by the principle of felf-love. We must adopt a more public affection, and allow, that the interests of fociety are not, even on their own account, entirely indifferent to us. Usefulness is only a tendency to a certain end; and 'tis a contradiction in terms, that any thing pleases as means to an end, where the end itself no way affects us. If therefore usefulness be a fource of moral fentiment, and if this ufefulness be not always confidered with a reference to felf; it follows, that every thing, which contributes to the happiness of society, recommends itself directly to our approbation and good-will. Here is a principle, which accounts, in great part, for the origin of morality: And what need we feek for abstrufe and remote fystems, when there occurs one fo obvious and natural *?

Have-

^{* &#}x27;Tis needless to push our researches so far as to ask, why we have humanity or a sellow-seeling with others. 'Tis sufficient, that this is experienced to be a principle in human nature. We must stop somewhere in our

Have we any difficulty to comprehend the force of humanity and benevolence? Or to conceive, that the very aspect of happiness, joy, prosperity, gives pleasure; that of pain, suffering, forrow, communicates uneasiness? The human countenance, fays Horace *, borrows smiles or tears from the human countenance. Reduce a person to solitude, and he loses all enjoyment, except merely of the speculative kind; and that because the movements of his heart are not forwarded by correspondent movements in his fellow-creatures. The figns of forrow and mourning, tho' arbitrary, affect us with melancholy; but the natural symptoms, tears and cries and groans, never fail to infuse compassion and uneasiness. And if the effects of misery touch us in so lively a manner; can we be supposed altogether insensible or indifferent towards its causes; when a malicious or treacherous character and behaviour are presented to us?

We enter, I shall suppose, into a convenient, warm, well-contrived apartment: We necessarily receive a pleafure from its very survey; because it presents us with the pleasing ideas of ease, satisfaction, and enjoyment. The hospitable, good-humoured, humane landlord appears. This circumstance surely must embellish the whole; nor can we easily forbear reslecting, with pleasure, on the satisfaction which results to every one from his intercourse and good-offices.

examination of causes; and there are, in every science, some general principles, beyond which we cannot hope to find any principle more general. No man is absolutely indifferent to the happiness and misery of others. The first has a natural tendency to give pleasure; the second, pain. This every one may find in himself. It is not probable, that these principles can be resolved into principles more simple and universal, whatever attempts may have been made to that purpose. But if it were possible, it belongs not to the present subject; and we may here safely consider these principles as original: Happy, if we can render all the consequences sufficiently plain and perspicuous.

Vol. II. U His

^{*} Uti ridentibus arrident, ita flentibus addent Humani vultus. Hor.

His whole family, by the freedom, ease, confidence, and calm enjoyment, diffused over their countenances, sufficiently express their happiness. I have a pleasing sympathy in the prospect of so much joy, and can never consider the source of it, without the most agreeable emotions.

He tells me, that an oppressive and powerful neighbour had attempted to disposses him of his inheritance, and had long disturbed all his innocent and social pleafures. I feel an immediate indignation arise in me against such violence and injury.

But 'tis no wonder, he adds, that a private wrong should proceed from a man, who had enslaved provinces, depopulated cities, and made the field and scaffold stream with human blood. I am struck with horror at the prospect of so much misery, and am actuated by the strongest antipathy against its author.

In general, 'tis certain, that wherever we go, whatever we reflect on or converse about; every thing still presents us with the view of human happiness or misery, and excites in our breast a sympathetic movement of pleasure or uneasiness. In our serious occupations, in our careless amusements, this principle still exerts its active energy.

A man, who enters the theatre, is immediately flruck with the view of fo great a multitude, participating of one common amusement; and experiences from their very aspect, a superior sensibility or disposition of being affected with every sentiment, which he shares with his fellow-creatures.

He observes the actors to be animated by the appearance of a full audience, and raised to a degree of enthusiam, which they cannot command in any solitary or calm moment.

Every

Every movement of the theatre, by a skilful poet, is communicated, as it were by magic, to the speciators; who weep, tremble, resent, rejoice, and are enslamed with all the variety of passions, which actuate the several personages of the drama.

Where any event crosses our wishes, and interrupts the happiness of the favourite characters, we feel a sensible anxiety and concern. But where their sufferings proceed from the treachery, cruelty or tyranny of an enemy, our breasts are affected with the liveliest resentment against the author of these calamities.

'Tis here esteemed contrary to the rules of art to represent any thing cool and indifferent. A distant friend, or a consident, who has no immediate interest in the catastrophe, ought, if possible, to be avoided by the poet; as communicating a like indifference to the audience, and checking the progress of the passions.

No species of poetry is more entertaining than pastoral; and every one is sensible, that the chief source of its pleasure arises from those images of a gentle and tender tranquillity, which it represents in its personages, and of which it communicates a like sentiment to the reader. Sannazarius, who transferred the scene to the season, tho' he presented the most magnificent object in nature, is confessed to have erred in his choice. The idea of toil, labour, and danger, suffered by the sistermen, is painful by an unavoidable sympathy, which attends every conception of human happiness or misery.

When I was twenty, fays a French Poet, Ovin was my choice: Now I am forty, I declare for Horace. We enter, to be fure, more readily into fentiments, which refemble those we feel every day: But no passion, when well represented, can be entirely indifferent to us; because there is none, of which every man has not within him, at least the seeds and first principles. 'Tis the

business of poetry to approach every affection by lively imagery and representation, and make it look like truth and reality: A certain proof, that wherever that reality is found, our minds are disposed to be strongly affected by it.

Any recent event or piece of news, by which the fortunes of states, provinces or many individuals are affected, is extremely interesting even to those whose welfare is not immediately engaged. Such intelligence is propagated with celerity, heard with avidity, and enquired into with attention and concern. The interests of society appear, on this occasion, to be, in some degree, the interest of each individual. The imagination is sure to be affected; the the passions excited may not always be so strong and steady as to have great influence on the conduct and behaviour.

The perusal of a history seems a calm entertainment; but would be no entertainment at all, did not our hearts beat with correspondent movements to those described by the historian.

Thucydides and Guicciardin support with disficulty our attention; while the former describes the trivial rencounters of the small cities of Greece, and the latter the harmless wars of Pisa. The few persons interested, and the small interest fill not the imagination, and engage not the affections. The deep distress of the numerous Athenian army before Syracuse; the danger, which so nearly threatens Venice; these excite compassion; these move terror and anxiety.

The indifferent, uninteresting style of SUETONIUS, equally with the masterly pencil of TACITUS, may convince us of the cruel depravity of NERO or TIBERIUS: But what a difference of sentiment! While the former coldly relates the sacts; and the latter sets before our eyes

the venerable figures of a Soranus and a Thrasea, intrepid in their fate, and only moved by the melting forrows of their friends and kindred. What sympathy then touches every human heart! What indignation against the tyrant, whose causeless fear or unprovoked malice, gave rise to such detestable barbarity!

If we bring these subjects nearer: If we remove all suspicion of siction and deceit: What powerful concern is excited, and how much superior, in many instances, to the narrow attachments of self-love and private interest! Popular sedition, party zeal, a devoted obedience to sactious leaders; these are some of the most visible, tho' less laudable effects of this social sympathy in human nature.

The frivolousness of the subject too, we may observe, is not able to detach us entirely from what carries an image of human sentiment and affection.

When a person stutters, and pronounces with difficulty, we even sympathize with this trivial uneasiness, and suffer for him. And 'tis a rule in criticism, that every combination of syllables or letters, which gives pain to the organs of speech in the recital, appears also, from a species of sympathy, harsh and disagreeable to the ear. Nay, when we run over a book with our eye, we are sensible of such unharmonious composition; because we still imagine, that a person recites it to us, and suffers from the pronunciation of these jarring sounds. So delicate is our sympathy!

Eafy and unconstrained postures and motions are always beautiful: An air of health and vigour is agreeable: Cloaths which warm, without burthening the body; which cover, without imprisoning the limbs, are well-fashioned. In every judgment of beauty, the sentiments and feelings of the persons affected enter into consideration, and communicate to the spectator similar touches

of pain or pleasure *. What wonder, then, if we can pronounce no judgment concerning the character and conduct of men, without considering the tendencies of their actions, and the happiness or misery which thence arises to society? What association of ideas would ever operate, were that principle here totally unactive †?

If any man, from a cold infensibility, or narrow selfishness of temper, is unaffected with the images of human happiness or misery, he must be equally indifferent to the images of vice and virtue: As, on the other hand, it is always found, that a warm concern for the interests of our species is attended with a delicate feeling of all moral distinctions; a strong resentment of injury done to men; a lively approbation of their welfare. In this particular, tho' great superiority is observable of one man above another; yet none are so entirely indifferent to the interests of their sellow-creatures, as to perceive no distinctions of moral good and evil, in consequence of the

^{* &}quot;Decentior equus cujus astricta sunt ilia; sed idem velocior. Pulcher saspectu sit athleta, cujus lacertos exercitatio expressit; idem certamini pastratior. Nunquam enim species ab utilitate dividitur. Sed hoc quidem si discernere modici judicii est." Quintilian Inst. lib. 8. cap. 3.

⁺ In proportion to the flation which a man possesses, according to the relations in which he is placed; we always expect from him a greater or less degree of good, and when disappointed, blame his inutility; and much more do we blame him, if any ill or prejudice arises from his conduct and behavior. When the interests of one country interfere with those of another, we estimate the merits of a statesman by the good or ill, which results to his own country from his measures and councils, without regard to the prejudice which he brings on its enemies and rivals. His fellow-citizens are the objects, which lie nearest the eye, while we determine his character. And as nature has implanted in every one a superior affection to his own country. we never expect any regard to distant nations, where the smallest competition arises. Not to mention, that while every man consults the good of his own community, we are fenfible, that the general interest of mankind is better promoted, than by any loofe indeterminate views to the good of a fpecies, whence no beneficial action could ever refult, for want of a duly limited object, on which they could exert themselves,

different tendencies of actions and principles. How, indeed, can we suppose it possible of any one, who wears a human heart, that, if there be subjected to his cenfure, one character or fystem of conduct, which is beneficial, and another, which is pernicious, to his species or community, he will not fo much as give a cool preference to the former, or ascribe to it the smallest merit or regard? Let us suppose such a person ever so selfish; let private interest have ingrossed ever so much his attention; yet in instances, where that is not concerned, he must unavoidably feel fome propensity to the good of mankind, and make it an object of choice, if every thing else be equal. Would any man, who is walking along; tread as willingly on another's gouty toes, whom he has no quarrel with, as on the hard flint and payement? There is here furely a difference in the case. We furely take into confideration the happiness and mifery of others, in weighing the feveral motives of action, and incline to the former, where no private regards draw us to feek our own promotion or advantage by the injury of our fellow-creatures. And if the principles of humanity are capable, in many inflances, of influencing our actions, they must, at all times, have fome authority over our fentiments, and give us a general approbation of what is useful to fociety, and blame of what is dangerous or pernicious. The degrees of these sentiments may be the subject of controversy; but the reality of their existence, one should think, must be admitted, in every theory or fystem.

A creature, absolutely malicious and spiteful, were there any fuch in nature, must be worse than indifferent to the images of vice and virtue. All his fentiments must be inverted, and directly opposite to those, which prevail in the human species. Whatever contributes to the good of mankind, as it crosses the constant bent of his wifhes and defires, must produce uneafiness and dis-U 4 approbation;

approbation; and on the contrary, whatever is the fource of disorder and misery in society, must, for the same reafon, be regarded with pleasure and complacency. MON, who probably from his affected spleen, more than any inveterate malice, was denominated the man-hater, embraced ALCIBIADES, with great fondness. Go on, my boy! cried he, acquire the confidence of the people: You will one day, I foresee, be the cause of great calamities to them * : Could we admit the two principles of the MANICHEANS, 'tis an infallible consequence, that their sentiments of human actions, as well as of every thing elfe, must be totally opposite; and that every instance of justice and benevolence, from its necessary tendency, must please the one deity and displease the other. All mankind so far resemble the good principle, that where interest or revenge or envy perverts not our disposition, we are always inclined, from our natural philanthropy, to give the preference to the happiness of society, and consequently to virtue, above its opposite. Absolute, unprovoked, disinterested malice has never, perhaps, place in any human breast; or if it had, must there pervert all the sentiments of morals, as well as the feelings of humanity. If the cruelty of Nero be allowed entirely voluntary, and not rather the effect of constant fear and refentment; 'tis evident, that TIGELLINUS, preferably to SENECA or Burrhus, must have possessed his steady and uniform approbation.

A flatesman or patriot, who serves our own country, in our own time, has always a more passionate regard paid him, than one whose beneficial influence operated on distant ages or remote nations; where the good, resulting from his generous humanity, being less connected with us, seems more obscure, and affects us with a less lively sympathy. We may own the merit to be equally

^{*} PLUTARCH in vita ALC.

great, tho' our fentiments are not raifed to an equal height, in both cases. The judgment here corrects the inequalities of our internal emotions and perceptions; in like manner, as it preserves us from error, in the several variations of images, presented to our external senses. The same object, at a double distance, really throws on the eye a picture of but half the bulk; and yet we imagine that it appears of the same size in both situations: because we know, that, on our approach to it, its image would expand on the eye, and that the difference confifts not in the object itself, but in our position with regard to it. And, indeed, without such correction of appearances, both in internal and external fentiment. men could never think or talk steadily, on any subject: while their fluctuating fituations produce a continual variation on objects, and throw them into such different and contrary lights and politions *.

The more we converse with mankind, and the greater social intercourse we maintain, the more shall we be familiarized to these general presences and distinctions, without which our conversation and discourse could scarcely be rendered intelligible to each other. Every

* For a like reason, the tendencies of actions and characters, not their real accidental consequences, are alone regarded in our moral determinations or general judgments; tho' in our real feeling or sentiment, we cannot help paying greater regard to one whose station, joined to virtue, renders him really useful to society, than to one, who exerts the social virtues only in good intentions and benevolent affections. Separating the character from the fortune, by an easy and necessary effort of thought, we pronounce these persons alike, and give them the same general praise. The judgment corrects or endeavours to correct the appearance: But is not able entirely to prevail over sentiment.

Why is this peach-tree faid to be better than that other, but because it produces more or better fruit? And would not the same praise be given it, tho' snails or vermin had destroyed the fruit, before it came to full maturity? In morals too, is not the tree known by the fruit? And cannot we easily distinguish between nature and accident, in the one case as well as in the other?

man's interest is peculiar to himself, and the aversions and defires, which refult from it, cannot be supposed to affect others in a like degree. General language, therefore, being formed for general use, must be moulded on some more general views, and must affix the epithets of praise or blame, in conformity to sentiments, which arise from the general interests of the community. And if these sentiments, in most men, be not so strong as those, which have a reference to private good; yet still they must make some distinction, even in persons the most deprayed and felfish; and must attach the notion of good to a beneficent conduct, and of evil to the contrary. Sympathy, we shall allow, is much fainter than our concern for ourselves, and sympathy with persons remote from us, much fainter than that with persons near and contiguous; but for this very reason, 'tis necessary for us, in our calm judgments and discourse concerning the characters of men, to neglect all these differences, and render our fentiments more public and focial. that we ourselves often change our fituation in this particular, we every day meet with perfons, who are in a different fituation from us, and who could never converfe with us, were we to remain constantly in that position and point of view, which is peculiar to ourfelf. The intercourse of sentiments, therefore, in society and converfation, makes us form some general unalterable standard, by which we may approve or disapprove of characters and manners. And tho' the heart takes not part entirely with those general notions, nor regulates all its love and hatred, by the universal, abstract differences of vice and virtue, without regard to felf, or the persons with whom we are more immediately connected; yet have these moral differences a confiderable influence, and being sufficient, at least, for discourse, serve all our purposes

in company, in the pulpit, on the theatre, and in the schools*.

Thus, in whatever light we take this fubject, the merit afcribed to the focial virtues, appears still uniform, and arifes chiefly from that regard, which the natural fentiment of benevolence engages us to pay to the interests of mankind and foclety. If we confider the principles of the human make; fuch as they appear to daily experience and observation; we must, a priori, conclude it impossible for such a creature as man to be totally indifferent to the well or ill-being of his fellow-creatures, and not readily, of himself, to pronounce, where nothing gives him any particular byass, that what promotes their happiness is good, what tends to their misery is evil, without any farther regard or confideration. Here then are the faint rudiments, at least, or out-lines, of a general distinction between actions; and in proportion as the humanity of the person is supposed to encrease, his connexion with those injured or benefited, and his lively conception of their mifery or happiness; his confequent censure or approbation acquires proportionable vigour. There is no necessity, that a generous action, barely mentioned in an old history, or remote gazette, should communicate any strong feelings of applause and admiration. Virtue, placed at such a distance, is like a fixed star, which, tho' to the eye of reason, it may appear as luminous as the sun in his meridian, is fo infinitely removed, as to affect the fenfes, neither with light nor heat. Bring this virtue nearer,

^{* &#}x27;Tis wisely ordained by nature, that private connexions should commonly prevail over universal views and considerations; otherwise our affections and actions would be dissipated and lost, for want of a proper limited object. Thus a small benefit done to ourselves, or our near friends, excites more lively sentiments of love and approbation than a great benefit done to a distant common-wealth: But still we know here, as in all the senses, to correct these inequalities by resection, and retain a general standard of vice and wirtue, founded chiefly on general usefulness.

by our acquaintance or connexion with the persons, or even by an eloquent recital of the case; our hearts are immediately caught, our sympathy enlivened, and our cool approbation converted into the warmest sentiments of friendship and regard. These seem necessary and infallible consequences of the general principles of human nature, as discovered in common life and practice.

Again; reverse these views and reasonings: Consider the matter a posteriori; and weighing the consequences, enquire, if the merit of social virtue is not, in a great measure, derived from the feelings of humanity, with which it affects the spectators. It appears to be matter of fact, that the circumstance of utility, in all subjects, is a source of praise and approbation: That it is constantly appealed to in all moral decisions concerning the merit and demerit of actions: That it is the sole source of that high regard paid to justice, fidelity, honour, allegiance and chastity: That it is inseparable from all the other social virtues, humanity, generosity, charity, affability, lenity, mercy and moderation: And, in a word, that it is the soundation of the chief part of morals, which has a reference to mankind and our fellow-creatures.

It appears also, that, in our general approbation of characters and manners, the useful tendency of the social virtues moves us not by any regards to self-interest, but has an influence much more universal and extensive. It appears, that a tendency to public good, and to the promoting of peace, harmony, and order in society, does always, by affecting the benevolent principles of our frame, engage us on the side of the social virtues. And it appears, as an additional confirmation, that these principles of humanity and sympathy enter so deep into all our sentiments, and have so powerful an influence, as may enable them to excite the strongest censure and applause. The present theory is the simple result of all these

inferences, each of which feems founded on uniform experience and observation.

Were it doubtful, whether there was any fuch principle in our nature as humanity or a concern for others, yet when we see, in numberless instances, that, whatever has a tendency to promote the interests of society, is so highly approved of, we ought thence to learn the force of the benevolent principle; fince 'tis impossible for any thing to please as means to an end where the end is totally indifferent. On the other hand, were it doubtful whether there was, implanted in our nature, any general principle of moral blame and approbation, yet when we fee, in numberless instances, the influence of humanity, we ought thence to conclude, that 'tis impossible, but that every thing, which promotes the interest of society, must communicate pleasure, and what is pernicious give uneasiness. But when these different reflections and obfervations concur in establishing the same conclusion, must they not bestow an undisputed evidence upon it?

'Tis however hoped, that the progress of this argument will bring a farther confirmation of the present theory, by showing the rise of other sentiments of esteem and regard from the same or like principles.

SECTION VI.

OF QUALITIES USEFUL TO OURSELVES.

PART I.

IT feems evident, that where a quality or habit is subjected to our examination, if it appear in any respect prejudicial to the person possessed of it, or such as incapacitates him for business and action, it is instantly blamed, and ranked among his faults and impersections. Indolence, negligence, want of order and method, obstinacy, fickleness, rashness, credulity; these qualities were never esteemed by any one indifferent to a character; much less, extolled as accomplishments or virtues. The prejudice, resulting from them, immediately strikes our eye, and gives us the sentiment of pain and disapprobation.

No quality, 'tis allowed, is absolutely either blameable or praise-worthy. 'Tis all according to its degree. A due medium, say the Peripatetics, is the characteristic of virtue. But this medium is chiefly determined by utility. A proper celerity, for instance, and dispatch in business, is commendable. When defective, no progress is ever made in the execution of any purpose: When excessive, it engages us in precipitate and ill-concerted measures and enterprizes: By such reasonings as these, we fix the proper and commendable mediocrity in all moral and prudential disquisitions; and never lose view

view of the advantages, which result from any character or habit.

Now as these advantages are enjoyed by the perfon possessed of the character, it can never be self-love which renders the prospect of them agreeable to us, the spectators, and prompts our esteem and approbation. No force of imagination can convert us into another person, and make us fancy, that we, being that person, reap benefit from those valuable qualities, which belong to him. Or if it did, no celerity of imagination could immediately transport us back, into ourfelves, and make us love and esteem the person, as different from us. Views and fentiments, so opposite to known truth, and to each other, could never have place, at the same time, in the same person. All suspicion, therefore, of selfish regards, is here totally excluded. 'Tis a quite different principle, which actuates our bosom, and interests us in the felicity of the person whom we contemplate. Where his natural talents and acquired abilities give us the profpect of elevation, advancement, a figure in life, prosperous success, a steady command over fortune, and the execution of great or advantageous undertakings; we are struck with such agreeable images, and feel a complacency and regard immediately arise towards him. The ideas of happiness, joy, triumph, prosperity, are connected with every circumstance of his character, and diffuse over our minds a pleasing sentiment of sympathy and humanity *.

Let

^{*} One may venture to affirm, that there is no human creature, to whom the appearance of happiness (where envy or revenge has no place) does not give pleasure, that of misery, uneasiness. This seems inseparable from our make and constitution. But they are only the more generous minds, that are thence prompted to seek zealously the good of others, and to have a real passion for their welfare. With men of narrow and ungenerous spirits, this sympathy goes not beyond a slight feeling of the imagination, which serves

Let us suppose a person originally so framed as to have no manner of concern for his fellow-creatures, but to regard the happiness and misery of all sensible beings with greater indifference than even two contiguous shades of the same colour. Let us suppose, if the prosperity of nations were laid on the one hand, and their ruin on the other, and he were defired to choose; that he would stand, like the schoolman's ass, irresolute and undetermined, between equal motives; or rather, like the same ass between two pieces of wood or marble, without any inclination or propenlity to either fide. The confequence, I believe, must be allowed just, that such a perfon, being absolutely unconcerned, either for the public good of a community or the private utility of others, would look on every quality, however pernicious, or however beneficial, to fociety, or to its polleflor, with the same indifference as on the most common and uninteresting object.

But if, instead of this fancied monster, we suppose a man to form a judgment or determination in the case, there is to him a plain soundation of preserve, where every thing else is equal; and however cool his choice may be, if his heart be selfish, or if the persons interested be remote from him; there must still be a choice, or distinction between what is useful, and what is pernicious. Now this distinction is the same in all its parts, with the moral distinction, whose soundation has been so often, and so much in vain, enquired after. The same

only to excite fentiments of complacency or cenfure, and makes them apply to the object either honourable or dishonourable appellations. A griping miser, for instance, praises extremely industry and frugality even in others, and sets them, in his estimation, above all the other virtues. He knows the good that results from them, and feels that species of happiness with a more lively sympathy, than any other you could represent to him; tho pethaps he would not part with a shilling to make the fortune of the industious man, whom he praises so highly.

endowments of the mind, in every circumstance, are agreeable to the sentiment of morals and to that of humanity; the same temper is susceptible of high degrees of the one sentiment and of the other; and the same alteration in the objects, by their nearer approach or by connections, enlivens the one and the other. By all the rules of philosophy, therefore, we must conclude, that these fentiments are originally the same; since, in each particular, even the most minute, they are governed by the same laws, and are moved by the same objects.

Why do philosophers infer, with the greatest certainty, that the moon is kept in its orbit by the same force of gravity, which makes bodies fall near the surface of the earth, but because these effects are, upon computation, found similar and equal? And must not this argument bring as strong conviction, in moral as in natural disquisitions?

To prove, by any long detail, that all the qualities, useful to the possession, are approved, and the contrary censured, would be superfluous. The least reslection on what is every day experienced in life, will be sufficient. We shall only mention a few instances, in order to remove, if possible, all doubt and hesitation.

The quality the most necessary for the execution of any useful enterprize, is DISCRETION; by which we carry on a safe intercourse with others, give due attention to our own and to their character, weigh each circumstance of the business which we undertake, and employ the surest and safest means for the attainment of any end or purpose. To a CROMWEL, perhaps, or a DERETZ, discretion may appear an alderman-like virtue, as Dr. Swift calls it; and being incompatible with those vast designs, to which their courage and ambition prompted them, it might really, in them, be a fault

or imperfection. But in the conduct of ordinary life, no virtue is more requisite, not only to obtain success, but to avoid the most fatal miscarriages and disappointments. The greatest parts without it, as observed by an elegant writer, may be fatal to their owner; as Po-LYPHEMUS deprived of his eye was only the more exposed, on account of his enormous strength and stature.

The best character, indeed, were it not rather too perfect for human nature, is that which gives nothing to temper of any kind; but alternately employs enterprise and caution, as each is useful to the particular purpose intended. Such is the excellence, which St. EVREMOND ascribes to mareschal TURENNE, who displayed every campaign, as he grew older, more temerity in his military enterprises; and being now, from long experience, perfectly acquainted with every incident in war, he advanced with greater firmness and security, in a road so well known to him. FABIUS, fays MACHIAVEL, was cautious; Scipio enterprising: And both succeeded, because the situation of the ROMAN affairs, during the command of each, was peculiarly adapted to his genius; but both would have failed, had these situations been rever-He is happy, whose circumstances suit his temper; but he is more excellent, who can fuit his temper to any circumstances.

What need is there to display the praises of INDUS-TRY, and to extol its advantages, in the acquisition of power and riches, or in raising what we call a fortune in the world? The tortoise, according to the fable, by his affiduity, gained the race of the hare, tho' possessed of much superior swiftness. A man's time, when well husbanded, is like a cultivated field, of which a few acres produce more of what is useful to life, than extensive provinces, even of the richest soil, when over-run with weeds and brambles.

X 2

But all prospect of success in life, or even of tolerable subsistence, must fail, where a reasonable FRU-GALITY is wanting. The heap, instead of increasing, diminishes daily, and leaves its possessor for much more unhappy, that not having been able to confine his expences to a large revenue, he will still less be able to live contentedly on a small one. The souls of men, according to PLATO *, inflamed with impure appetites, and lofing the body, which alone afforded means of fatisfaction, hover about the earth, and haunt the places, . where their bodies are deposited; possess with the longing defire to recover the lost organs of sensation. So may we fee worthless prodigals, having confumed their fortunes in wild debauches, thrusting themselves into every plentiful table, and every party of pleasure, hated even by the vicious, and despised even by fools.

The one extreme of frugality is avarice, which as it both deprives a man of all use of his riches, and checks hospitality and every social enjoyment, is justly censured on a double account. Prodigality, the other extreme, is commonly more hurtful to a man himself; and each of these extremes is blamed above the over, according to the temper of the person who censures, and according to his greater or less sensibility to pleasure, either social or sensual.

QUALITIES often derive their merit from complicated fources. Honesty, fidelity, truth, are praised for their immediate tendency to promote the interests of society; but they are also considered as advantageous to the person himself, and as the source of that trust and consideree, which can alone give a man any consideration in life. One becomes contemptible, no less than odious, when he forgets the duty which in this particular he owes to himself as well as to society.

Perhaps, this confideration is the chief fource of the high blame, which is thrown on any instance of failure among women in point of chastity. The greatest regard, which can be acquired by that fex, is derived from their fidelity; and a woman becomes cheap and vulgar, lofes her rank, and is exposed to every infult, who is deficient The smallest failure is here sufficient in this particular. to blast her character! A female has so many opportunities of fecretly indulging these appetites, that nothing can give us fecurity but her absolute modesty and referve: and where a breach is once made, it can scarcely ever be fully repaired. If a man behaves with cowardice on one occasion, a contrary conduct re-instates him in his cha-But by what action can a woman, whose behaviour has once been dissolute, be able to assure us, that she has formed better resolutions, and has self-command enough to carry them into execution?

All men, 'tis allowed, are equally desirous of happiness; but few are successful in the pursuit: One chiefcause is the want of STRENGTH of MIND, which might enable them to relift the temptation of present ease or pleafure, and carry them forward in the fearch of more distant profit and enjoyment. Our affections, on a general prospect of their objects, form certain rules of conduct, and certain measures of preserence of one above another: And these decisions, tho' really the result of our calm passions, and propensities, (for what else can pronounce any object eligible or the contrary?) are yet faid, by a natural abuse of terms, to be the determinations of pure reason and reflection. But when some of these objects approach nearer us, or acquire the advantages of favourable lights and politions, which catch the heart or imagination; our general refolutions are frequently confounded, a small enjoyment preferred, and lassing shame and forrow entailed upon us. And how-

ever poets may employ their wit and eloquence, in celebrating present pleasure, and rejecting all distant views to fame, health, or fortune; 'tis obvious, that this practice is the source of all dissoluteness and disorder, repentance and mifery. A man of a strong and determined temper adheres tenaciously to his general resolutions, and is neither feduced by the allurements of pleafure, nor terrified by the menaces of pain; but keeps still in view those distant pursuits, by which he, at once, ensures his happiness and his honour.

Self-fatisfaction, at least in some degree, is an advantage, which equally attends the FOOL and the WISE-MAN: But 'tis the only one; nor is there any other circumstance in the conduct of life, where they are upon an equal footing. Business, books, conversation; for all of these, a fool is totally incapacitated, and except condemned by his station to the coarsest drudgery, remains a ufeless burthen upon the earth. Accordingly, 'tis found, that men are infinitely jealous of their character in this particular; and many instances are seen of profligacy and treachery, the most avowed, and unreserved; none of bearing patiently the imputation of ignorance and stupidity. DICAEARCHUS, the MACE-DONIAN general, who, as PolyBius tells us *, openly erected one altar to impiety, and another to injustice, in order to bid defiance to mankind; even he, I am well' assured, would have started at the epithet of fool, and have meditated revenge for fo injurious an appellation. Except the affection of parents, the strongest and most indiffoluble bond in nature, no connexion has force fufficient to support the disgust arising from this character. Love itself, which can subfift under treachery, ingratitude, malice, and infidelity, is immediately extin-

^{*} Lib. 17. cap. 35.

guished by it, when perceived and acknowleged; nor are deformity and old age more fatal to the dominion of that passion. So dreadful are the ideas of an utter incapacity for any purpose or undertaking, and of continued error and misconduct in life!

When 'tis asked, whether a quick or a slow apprehension be most valuable? Whether one, that, at first view,
penetrates far into a subject, but can perform nothing
upon study; or a contrary character, which must work
out every thing by dint of application? Whether a clear
head or a copious invention? Whether a profound genius or a sure judgment? In short, what character, or
peculiar turn of understanding is more excellent than
another? 'Tis evident, that we can answer none of these
questions, without considering which of those qualities
capacitates a man best for the world, and carries him
farthest in any undertaking.

If refined fense and exalted fense be not so useful as common sense, their rarity, their novelty, and the nobleness of their objects make some compensation, and render them the admiration of mankind: As gold, the less serviceable than iron, acquires, from its scarcity, a value, which is much superior.

The defects of judgment can be supplied by no art or invention; but those of MEMORY frequently may, both in business and in study, by method and industry, and by diligence in committing every thing to writing; and we scarce ever hear a short memory given as a reason for a man's want of success in any undertaking. But in antient times, when no man could make a figure without the talent of speaking, and when the audience were too delicate to bear such crude, undigested harangues as our extemporary orators offer to public assemblies; the faculty of memory was then of the utmost consequence, and was accordingly much more valued than

at present. Scarce any great genius is mentioned in antiquity, who is not celebrated for this talent; and CICERO enumerates it among the other sublime qualities of CESAR himself*.

Particular customs and manners alter the usefulness of qualities: They also alter their merit. Particular situations and accidents have, in some degree, the same influence. He will always be more esteemed, who possesses those talents and accomplishments, which suit his station, and profession, than he whom fortune has misplaced in the part which she has assigned him. The private or selfish virtues are, in this respect, more arbitrary than the public and social. In other respects, they are, perhaps, less liable to doubt and controversy.

In this kingdom, fuch continued oftentation, of late years, has appeared among men in active life, with regard to public spirit, and among those in speculative with regard to benevolence; and so many false pretensions to each have been, no doubt, detected, that men of the world are apt, without any bad intention, to discover a fullen incredulity on the head of those moral endowments, and even fometimes absolutely to deny their existence and reality. In like manner, I find, that of old, the perpetual cant of the Stoics and Cynics concerning virtue, their magnificent professions and slender performances, bred a difgust in mankind; and LUCIAN, who, though licentious with regard to pleasure, is yet, in other respects, a very moral writer, cannot, sometimes, talk of virtue, so much boasted, without betraying fymptoms of spleen and irony +. But surely, this peevish delicacy,

^{*} Fuit în illo îngenium, ratio, memoria, lîteræ, cura, cogitatio, diligentia, &c. Philip. 2.

[†] Αξετην τίνα και ασωματά και ληξας μεγάλη τη φοίνη ξυνειξούταν. Luc. ΤΙΜΟΝ. Αξαίη, Και συναγαγοίτες (οι φιλοσοφοί) ευεξαπατητά μειρακία την τε αφουθρυλλητού αξετην τραγαθού. Ισυκο-ΜΕΝ. In another place, Ηπω

delicacy, whence-ever it arises, can never be carried so far as to make us deny the existence of every species of merit, and all distinction of manners and behaviour. Besides discretion, caution, enterprise, industry, assiduity, frugality, acconomy, good-funse, prudence, discernment; befides these endowments, I say, whose very names force an avowal of their merit, there are many others, to which the most determined scepticism cannot, for a moment, refuse the tribute of praise and approbation. Temperance, sobriety, patience, constancy, perseverance, forethought, confiderateness, secrecy, order, infinuation, address, presence of mind, quickness of conception, facility of expression; these, and a thousand more of the same kind, no man will ever deny to be excellencies and perfections. their merit confifts in their tendency to serve the person. possessed of them, without any magnificent claims of public and focial defert, we are the less jealous of their pretentions, and readily admit them into the catalogue of laudable qualities. We are not fensible, that, by this concession, we have paved the way for all the other moral excellencies, and cannot confiftently hefitate any longer, with regard to difinterested benevolence, patriotifm, and humanity.

It feems, indeed, certain, that first appearances are here, as usual, extremely deceitful, and that 'tis more difficult, in a speculative way, to resolve into self-love, the merit which we ascribe to the selfish virtues abovementioned, than that even of the social virtues, justice and beneficence. For this latter purpose, we need but say, that whatever conduct promotes the good of the community, is loved, praised, and esteemed by the community, on account of that utility and interest, of which every one partakes: And though this affection and re-

γαρ ετιν η πολυθρυλλητ. αρετη, κέ φυσις, κέ ειμαρμένη, κέ τυχη, ανυπ. ατα Κέ κεγα πραγματων ονοματα. Deor. Concil.

gard be, in reality, gratitude, not felf-love, yet a diftinction, even of this obvious nature, may not readily be made by superficial reasoners; and there is room, at least, to support the cavil and dispute for a moment. But as qualities, which tend only to the utility of their possession, without any reference to us, or to the community, are yet esteemed and valued; by what theory or system can we account for this sentiment from self-love, or deduce it from that favourite origin? There feems here a necessity of confessing that the happiness and mifery of others are not spectacles entirely indifferent to us: but that the view of the former, whether in its causes or effects, like fun-shine or the prospect of well-cultivated plains, (to carry our pretentions no higher) communicates a secret joy and fatisfaction; the appearance of the latter, like a lowering cloud or barren landskip, throws a melancholy damp over the imagination. this concession being once made, the difficulty is over; and a natural, unforced interpretation of the phænomena of human life will afterwards, we may hope, prevail among all speculative enquirers,

PART II.

It may not be improper, in this place, to examine the influence of bodily endowments, and of the goods of fortune, over our fentiments of regard and efteem, and to confider whether these phænomena fortify or weaken the present theory. It will naturally be expected, that the beauty of the body, as is supposed by all antient moralists, will be similar, in some respects, to that of the mind; and that every kind of esteem, which is paid to a man, will have something similar in its origin, whether it arises from his mental endowments, or from his exterior circumstances.

'Tis

'Tis evident, that one confiderable fource of beauty in all animals is the advantage which they reap from the particular structure of their limbs and members, suitable to the particular manner of life, to which they are by nature destined. The just proportions of a horse described by Xenopson and Virgil, are the same, which are received at this day by our modern jockeys; because the foundation of them is the same, to wit, experience of what is detrimental or useful in the animal.

Broad shoulders, a lank belly, firm joints, taper legs; all these are beautiful in our species, because signs of force and vigour. Ideas of utility and its contrary, though they do not entirely determine what is handsome or deformed, are evidently the source of a considerable part of approbation or dislike.

In ancient times, bodily strength and dexterity, being of greater use and importance in war, was also much more esteemed and valued, than at present. Not to infish on Homer and the poets, we may observe, that historians scruple not to mention sorce of body among the other accomplishments even of Epaminondas, whom they acknowlege to be the greatest hero, statesman, and general of all the Greeks*. A like praise is given to Pompey, one of the greatest of the Romans†. This instance is similar to what we observed above, with regard to memory.

^{*} DIODORUS SICULUS, lib. 15. It may not be improper to give the character of EPAMINONDAS, as drawn by the historian, in order to show the ideas of perfect merit, which prevailed in those ages. In other illustrious men, says he, you will observe, that each possessed from one shining quality, which was the foundation of his same: In EPAMINONDAS all the wirtues are found united; force of body, eloquence of expression, vigour of mind, contempt of riches, gentleness of disposition, and what is chiefly to be regarded, courage and conduct in war.

[†] Cum alacribus, saltu; cum velocibus, cursu; cum validis recte certabat. SALLUST apud VEGET.

What derision and contempt, with both sexes, attend impotence; while the unhappy object is regarded as one deprived of so capital a pleasure in life, and at the same time, as disabled from communicating it to others. Barrenness in women, being also a species of inutility, is a reproach, but not in the same degree: Of which the reason is very obvious, according to the present theory.

There is no rule in painting or statuary more indispensible than that of balancing the figures, and placing them with the greatest exactness on their proper center of gravity. A figure, which is not justly balanced is ugly; because it conveys the disagreeable ideas of fall, harm, and pain *.

A disposition or turn of mind, which qualifies a man to rise in the world, and advance his fortune, is entitled to esteem and regard, as has been already explained. It may, therefore, naturally be supposed, that the actual possession of riches and authority will have a considerable influence over these sentiments.

Let us examine any hypothesis, by which we can account for the regard paid the rich and powerful: We shall find none satisfactory but that which derives it from the enjoyment communicated to the spectator by the images

* All men are equally liable to pain and disease and fickness; and may again recover health and ease. These circumstances, as they make no distinction between one man and another, are no source of pride or humility, regard or contempt. But comparing our own species to superior ones, 'tis a very mortifying consideration, that we should be so liable to all diseases and infirmities; and divines accordingly employ this topic, in order to depress self conceit and vanity. They would have more success, if the common bent of our thoughts were not perpetually turned to compare ourselves with each other. The infirmities of old age are mortifying; because a comparison with the young may take place. The king's evil is industriously concealed, because it affects others, and is transmitted to posterity. The case is nearly the same with such diseases as convey any nauseous or frightful images; the epilepsy, for instance, ulcers, sores, scabs, &s.

of prosperity, happiness, ease, plenty, command, and the gratification of every appetite. Self-love, for inflance, which some affect so much to consider as the source of every sentiment, is plainly insufficient to this purpose. Where no good-will or friendship appears, 'tis difficult to conceive on what we can sound our hope of advantage from the riches of others; though we naturally respect the rich, even before they discover any such favourable disposition towards us.

We are affected with the same sentiments, when we lie so much out of the sphere of their activity, that they cannot even be supposed to possess the power of serving us. A prisoner of war, in all civilized nations, is treated with a regard suited to his condition; and riches, 'tis evident, go far towards fixing the condition of any perfon. If birth and quality enter for a share, this still affords us an argument to our present purpose. For what is it we call a man of birth, but one who is descended from a long succession of rich and powerful ancestors, and who acquires our esteem by his connexion with perfons whom we esteem? His ancestors, therefore, though dead, are respected, in some measure, on account of their riches; and consequently, without any kind of expectation.

But not to go so far as prisoners of war or the dead, to find instances of this disinterested regard for riches; we may only observe, with a little attention, those phænomena, which occur in common life and conversation. A man, who is himself, we shall suppose, of a competent fortune, and of no profession, being introduced to a company of strangers, naturally treats them with different degrees of respect, as he is informed of their different fortunes and conditions; though 'tis impossible that he can so suddenly propose, and perhaps he would not accept of, any pecuniary advantage from them. A traveller is al-

ways admitted into company, and meets with civility, in proportion as his train and equipage speak him a man of great or moderate fortune. In short, the different ranks of men are, in a great measure, regulated by riches; and that with regard to superiors as well as inferiors, strangers as well as acquaintance.

What remains, therefore, but to conclude, that as riches are defired for ourfelf only as the means of gratifying our appetites, either at present or in some imaginary future period; they beget esteem in others merely from their having that influence. This indeed is their very nature or essence: They have a direct reference to the commodities, conveniencies, and pleasures of life: The bill of a banker, who is broke, or gold in a defert island, would otherwise be full as valuable. When we approach a man, who is, as we fay, at his eafe, we are prefented with the pleafing ideas of plenty, fatisfaction. cleanliness, warmth; a chearful house, elegant furniture. ready fervice, and whatever is defireable in meat, drink, or apparel. On the contrary, when a poor man appears, the difagreeable images of want, penury, hard labour, dirty furniture, coarse or ragged cloaths, nauseous meat and distasteful liquor, immediately strike our fancy. What else do we mean by faying that one is rich, the other poor? And as regard or contempt is the natural consequence of those different situations in life; 'tis easily feen what additional light and evidence this throws on our preceding theory, with regard to all moral diffinctions *.

À

^{*} There is fomething extraordinary, and feemingly unaccountable in the operation of our paffions, when we consider the fortune and situation of others. Very often another's advancement and prosperity produces envy, which has a strong mixture of hatred, and arises chiefly from the comparison of ourselves with the person. At the very same time, or at least, in very short intervals, we may seel the passion of respect, which is a species

A man who has cured himself of all ridiculous prepossessions, and is fully, sincerely, and steadily convinced, from experience as well as philosophy, that the
differences of fortune make less difference in happiness
than is vulgarly imagined; such a one does not measure out degrees of esteem according to the rent-rolls of
his acquaintance. He may, indeed, externally pay a
superior deserence to the great lord above the vassal; because riches are the most convenient, being the most
sixed and determinate source of distinction: But his internal sentiments are more regulated by the personal
characters of men, than by the accidental and capricious
savours of fortune.

In most countries of EUROPE, family, that is, hereditary riches, marked with titles and symbols from the
sovereign, is the chief source of distinction. In ENGLAND, more regard is paid to present opulence and
plenty. Each practice has its advantages and disadvantages. Where birth is respected, unactive, spiritless
minds remain in haughty indolence, and dream of nothing but pedigrees and genealogies: The generous and
ambitious seek honour and command and reputation
and favour. Where riches are the chief idol, corruption, venality, rapine prevail: Arts, manufactures, commerce, agriculture sourish. The former prejudice, be-

of affection or good will, with a mixture of humility. On the other hand, the misfortunes of our fellows often cause pity, which has in it a strong mixture of good-will. This sentiment of pity is nearly allied to contempt, which is a species of dislike, with a mixture of pride. I only point out these phænomena, as a subject of speculation to such as are curious with regard to moral enquiries. 'Tis sufficient for the present purpose to observe in general, that power and riches commonly cause respect, poverty and meanness contempt, tho' particular views and incidents may sometimes raise the passions of envy and of pity.

ing favourable to military virtue, is more fuited to monarchies. The latter, being the chief four to industry, agrees better with a republican government. And we accordingly find; that each of these forms of government, by varying the utility of those customs, has commonly a proportional effect on the fentiments of mankind.

SECTION

SECTION VII

Of QUALITIES IMMEDIATELY AGREE-

THOEVER has passed an evening with serious melancholy people, and has observed how suddenly the conversation was animated, and what fprightliness diffused itself over the countenance, discourse, and behaviour of every one, on the accession of a good-humoured, lively companion; fuch a one will eafily allow, that CHEARFULNESS carries great merit with it, and naturally conciliates the good-will of mankind. No quality, indeed, more readily communicates itself to all around; because no one has a greater propensity to display itself, in jovial talk and pleasant entertainment. The flame spreads through the whole circle; and the most fullen and morose are often caught by it. That the melancholy hate the merry, even the Horace fays it, I have some difficulty to allow; because I have always obferved, that where the jollity is moderate and decent, ferious people are so much the more delighted, as it disfipates the gloom, with which they are commonly oppressed; and gives them an unusual enjoyment.

From this influence of chearfulness, both to communicate itself, and to engage approbation, we may perceive, that there are another set of mental qualities, which, without any utility or any tendency to farther good, Vol. II.

either of the community or of the possession, dissus a satisfaction on the beholders, and procure friendship and regard. Their immediate sensation, to the person possessed of them, is agreeable: Others enter into the same humour, and catch the sentiment, by a contagion or natural sympathy: And as we cannot forbear loving whatever pleases, a kindly emotion arises towards the person, who communicates so much satisfaction. He is a more animating spectacle: His presence dissusses over us more series complacency and enjoyment: Our imagination, entering into his seelings and disposition, is affected in a more agreeable manner, than if a melancholy, dejected, sullen, anxious temper, were presented to us. Hence the affection and approbation, which attend the former: The aversion and disgust, with which we regard the latter *.

Few men would envy the character, which CESAR gives of CASSIUS.

He loves no play,
As thou do'ft, Anthony: He hears no music:
Seldom he smiles; and smiles in such a fort,
As if he mock'd himself, and scorn'd his spirit
That could be mov'd to smile at any thing.

Not only fuch men, as CÆSAR adds, are commonly dangerous, but also, having little enjoyment within themfelves, they can never become agreeable to others, or contribute any thing to social entertainment. In all polite nations and ages, a relish of pleasure, if accompa-

There is no man, who, on particular occasions, is not affected with all the disagreeable passions, sear, anger, dejection, grief, melancholy, anxiety, &c. But these, so far as they are natural, and universal, make no difference between one man and another, and can never be the object of blame. 'Tis only when the disposition gives a propensity to any of these disagreeable passions, that they dissigne the character, and by giving uneasines, convey the sentiment of disapprobation to the spectator.

nied with temperance and decency, is esteemed a considerable merit, even in the greatest men; and becomes still more requisite in those of inferior rank and character. Tis an agreeable representation, which a French writer gives of the situation of his own mind in this particular, Virtue I love, says he, without ausserity: Pleasure without effeminacy: And life, without fearing its and *?

Who is not struck with any signal instance of GREAT-NESS of MIND or Dignity of Character; with elevation of sentiments, distain of slavery, and with that noble pride and spirit, which arises from conscious virtue? The sublime, says Longinus, is often nothing but the echo or image of magnanimity; and where this quality appears in any one, even though a syllable be not uttered, it excites our applause and admiration; as may be observed of the samous silence of AJAX in the ODYS-SEY, which expresses more noble distain and resolute indignation, than any language can convey †.

Were I ALEXANDER, faid PARMENIO, I would accept of these offers made by DARIUS. So would I too, replied ALEXANDER, were I PARMENIO. This saying is admirable, says Longinus, from a like principle 1.

Go, cries the fame hero to his foldiers, when they refused to follow him to the Indies, go tell your countrymen, that you left ALEXANDER compleating the conquest of the world. "ALEXANDER," said the Prince of Conde, who always admired this passage, "abandoned by his foldiers, among Barbarians not yet fully subdued, selt in himself such a dignity and right of empire, that he could not believe it possible that any one would result fuse to obey him. Whether in Europe or in Asia,

^{* &}quot; J'aime la vertu, sans rudesse;

[&]quot; J'aime la plaisir, fans molesse;

[&]quot;J'aime la vie, & n'en crains point la fin." St. EVREMOND.
† Cap. 9. ‡ Idem.

" among GREEKS or PERSIANS, all was indifferent to him: Wherever he found men, he fancied he would find subjects."

The confidant of MEDEA in the tragedy recommends caution and submission; and enumerating all the distresses of that unfortunate heroine, asks her, what she has to support her against so many enemies. Myself, replies she; Myself, I say, and it is enough. Boileau justly recommends this passage as an instance of true sublime *.

When Phocion the modest, the gentle Phocion, was led to execution, he turned to one of his fellow-fufferers, who was lamenting his own hard fate. Is it not glary enough for you, says he, that you die with Phocion †?

Place in opposition the picture which Tacitus draws of VITELLIUS, fallen from empire, prolonging his ignominy from a wretched love of life, delivered over to the merciless rabble; tossed, buffeted, and kicked about; constrained, by their holding a poniard under his chin, to raise his head, and expose himself to every contumely. What abject infamy! What low humiliation! Yet even here, says the historian, he discovered some symptoms of a mind not wholly degenerate. To a tribune, who insulted him, he replied, I am still your emperor ‡.

We never excuse the absolute want of spirit and dignity of character, or a proper sense of what is due to one's

Reflexion to fur Longin. † PLUTARCH in PHOC.

[‡] TACIT. hift. lib. 3. The author entering upon the narration, fays, Laniata weste, fædum spectaculum ducebatur, multi increpantibus, nulla sulacrimante: desormitas exitus misericordiam abstulerat. To enter thoroughly into this method of thinking, we must make allowance for the antient maxims, that no one ought to prolong his life after it became dishonourable; but as he had always a right to dispose of it, it then became a duty to part with it.

felf, in fociety and the common intercourse of life. This vice conflitutes what we properly call meanness; when a man can submit to the basest slavery, in order to gain his ends; fawn upon those who abuse him; and degrade himself by intimacies and familiarities with undeserving inferiors. A certain degree of generous pride or felfvalue is fo requisite, that the absence of it in the mind displeases after the same manner, as the want of a nose, eye, or any of the most material features of the face or members of the body *.

The utility of COURAGE, both to the public and to the person possessed of it, is an obvious foundation of merit: But to any one who duly considers the matter, it will appear, that this quality has a peculiar lustre, which it derives wholly from itself, and from that noble elevation inseparable from it. Its figure, drawn by painters and by poets, displays, in each feature, a fublimity and daring confidence; which catches the eye, engages the affections, and diffuses, by sympathy, a like sublimity of sentiment over every spectator.

Under what glorious colours does DEMOSTHENES + represent Phillip; where the orator apologizes for his own administration, and justifies that pertinacious love of liberty, with which he had inspired the ATHENIANS. "I beheld PHILIP," fays he, "he with whom was

^{*} The absence of a virtue may often be a vice; and that of the highest kind; as in the instance of ingratitude, as well as meanness. Where we expect a beauty, the disappointment gives an uneasy sensation, and produces a real deformity. An abjectness of character, likewise, is disgustful and contemptible in another view. Where a man has no fense of value in himfelf, we are not likely to have any higher estimate of him. And if the fame person, who crouches to his superiors, is insolent to his inferiors (as often happens) this contrariety of behaviour, instead of correcting the former vice, aggravates it extremely, by the addition of a vice, still more odious. See fect. 8. + Pro corona.

"your contest, resolutely, while in pursuit of empire and dominion, exposing himself to every wound; his eye goared, his neck wrested, his arm, his thigh pierced, whatever part of his body fortune should seize on, that cheerfully relinquishing; provided that, with what remained, he might live in honour and renown. And shall it be said, that he, born in Pella, a place heretofore mean and ignoble, should be inspired with fo high an ambition and thirst of same: While you, ATHENIANS, &c." These praises excite the most lively admiration; but the views presented by the orator, carry us not, we see, beyond the hero himself, nor ever regard the suture advantageous consequences of his valour.

The martial temper of the ROMANS, inflamed by continual wars, had raised their esteem of courage so high, that, in their language, it was called virtue by way of excellence and of distinction from all other moral qualities. The SUEVI, in the opinion of TACITUS*, drest their hair with a laudable intent: Not for the purposes of living or being beloved: They adorned themselves only for their enemies, and in order to appear more terrible. A sentiment of the historian, which would sound a little oddly, in other nations and other ages.

The SCYTHIANS, according to HERODOTUS +, after fleaing the skin from the heads of their enemies, whom they had slain, dressed it like leather, and used it as a towel; and whoever had the most of those towels was most esteemed among them. So much had martial bravery, in that nation, as in many others, destroyed the sentiments of humanity; a virtue surely much more useful and engaging.

'Tis indeed observable, that, among all uncultivated nations, who have not, as yet, had full experience of the

⁹ De moribus Germ.

advantages attending beneficence, justice, and the focial virtues, courage is the predominant excellence; what is most celebrated by poets, recommended by parents and inftructors, and admired by the public in ge-The ethics of Homer are, in this particular, very different from those of FENELON, his elegant imitator; and fuch as are well fuited to an age, in which one hero, as remarked by Thucydides *, could ask another, without offence, whether he was a robber. Such also, very lately, was the system of ethics, which prevailed in many barbarous parts of IRELAND; if we may credit Spencer, in his judicious account of the state of that kingdom +.

Of the same class of virtues with courage is that undisturbed philosophical TRANQUILLITY, superior to pain, forrow, anxiety, and each affault of adverse fortune. Conscious of his own virtue, say the philosophers, the fage elevates himfelf above every accident of life; and securely placed in the temple of wisdom, looks down on inferior mortals, engaged in pursuit of honours, riches, reputation, and each frivolous enjoyment. These pretensions, no doubt, when stretched to the utmost, are, by far, too magnificent for human nature. They carry, however, a grandeur with them, which feizes the spectator, and strikes him with admiration. nearer we can approach in practice, to this fublime tranquillity and indifference (for we must distinguish it from a stupid insensibility) the more secure enjoyment

+ It is a common use, says he, amongst their gentlemen's sons, that, as foon as they are able to use their weapons, they strait gather to themselves three or four stragglers or kern, with whom wandering a while up and down idly the country, taking only meat, he at last falleth into some bad occafion, that shall be offered; which being once made known, he is thenceforth counted a man of worth, in whom there is courage.

shall we attain within ourselves, and the more greatness of mind shall we discover to the world. The philosophical tranquillity may, indeed, be considered only as a branch of magnanimity.

Who admires not Socrates; his perpetual ferenity and contentment, amidst the greatest poverty and domestic vexations; his resolute contempt of riches, and magnanimous care of preserving liberty, while he resused all affissance from his friends and disciples, and avoided even the dependence of an obligation? Epictetus had not so much as a door to his little house or hovel; and therefore, soon lost his iron lamp, the only surniture which he had worth taking. But resolving to disappoint all robbers for the suture, he supplied its place with an earthen lamp, of which he very peaceably kept possession ever after.

In antiquity, the heroes of philosophy, as well as those of war and patriotism, have a grandeur and force of sentiment, which associates our narrow souls, and is rashly rejected as extravagant and supernatural. They, in their turn, I allow, would have had equal reason to consider as romantic and incredible, the degree of humanity, clemency, order, tranquillity, and other social virtues, to which, in the administration of government, we have attained in modern times, had any one been then able to have made a fair representation of them. Such is the compensation, which nature, or rather education, has made in the distribution of excellencies and virtues, in these different ages.

The merit of BENEVOLENCE, arising from its utility, and its tendency to promote the good of mankind, has been already explained, and is, no doubt, the source of a considerable part of that esteem, which is so universally paid to it. But it will also be allowed, that the very softness and tenderness of the sentiment, its engaging endearments,

endearments, its fond expressions, its delicate attentions; and all that flow of mutual confidence and regard, which enter into a warm attachment of love and friendship: It will be allowed, I say, that these feelings, being delightful in themselves, are necessarily communicated to the spectators, and melt them into the same fondness and delicacy. The tears naturally start in our eyes on the apprehension of a warm sentiment of this nature: Our breast heaves, our heart is agitated, and every humane tender principle of our frame, is set in motion, and gives us the purest and most satisfactory enjoyment.

When poets form descriptions of ELYSIAN fields, where the blessed inhabitants stand in no need of each other's assistance, they yet represent them as maintaining a constant intercourse of love and friendship, and sooth our fancy with the pleasing image of these soft and gentle passions. The idea of tender tranquillity in a pastoral ARCADIA is agreeable from a like principle, as has been observed above *.

Who would live amidst perpetual wrangling, and scolding, and mutual reproaches? The roughness and harshness of these emotions disturb and displease us: We suffer by contagion and sympathy; nor can we remain indifferent spectators, even the certain, that no pernicious consequences would ever follow from such angry passions.

As a certain proof, that the whole merit of benevolence is not derived from its usefulness, we may observe, that, in a kind way of blame, we say, a person is too good; when he exceeds his part in society, and carries his attention for others beyond the proper bounds. In like manner, we say a man is too high-spirited, too intrepid, too indifferent about fortune: Reproaches, which really, at the bottom, imply more esteem than many panegyrics. Being accustomed to rate the merit and demerit of characters chiefly by their useful or pernicious tendencies, we cannot forbear applying the epithet of blame, when we discover a sentiment, which rises to a degree that is hurtful: But it may happen, at the same time, that its noble elevation, or its engaging tenderness so seizes the heart, as rather to encrease our friendship and concern for the person *.

The amours and attachments of HARRY the IVth, during the civil wars of the league, frequently hurt his interest and his cause; but all the young, at least, and amorous, who can sympathize with the tender passions, will allow that this very weakness (for they will readily call it such) chiefly endears that hero, and interests them in his fortunes.

The excessive bravery and resolute inflexibility of CHARLES the XIIth ruined his own country, and infested all his neighbours: But have such splendor and greatness in their appearance, as strike us with admiration; and they might, in some degree, be even approved of, if they betrayed not sometimes too evident symptoms of madness and disorder.

The ATHENIANS pretended to the first invention of agriculture and of laws; and always valued themselves extremely on the benefit thereby procured to the whole race of mankind. They also boasted, and with reason, of their warlike enterprizes; particularly against those innumerable sleets and armies of Persians, which invaded Greece during the reigns of Darius and Xerxes. But though there be no comparison, in point of utility, between these peaceful and military honours; yet we find, that the orators, who have wrote such ela-

^{*} Cheerfulness could scarce admit of blame from its excess, were it not that diffolute mirth, without a proper cause or subject, is a sure symptom and characteristic of folly, and on that account disgussigns.

borate panegyrics on that famous city, have chiefly triumphed in displaying the warlike atchievements. SIAS, THUCYDIDES, PLATO and ISOCRATES discover, all of them, the same partiality; which tho' condemned by calm reason and reflection, appears so natural in the mind of man.

'Tis observable, that the great charm of poetry consists in lively pictures of the fublime passions, magnanimity, courage, disdain of fortune; or those of the tender affections, love and friendship; which warm the heart, and diffuse over it similar sentiments and emotions. And though all kinds of passion, even the most disagreeable, fuch as grief and anger, are observed, when excited by poetry, to convey a fatisfaction, from a mechanism of nature, not easy to be explained: Yet those more elevated or fofter affections have a peculiar influence, and pleafe from more than one cause or principle. Not to mention, that they alone interest us in the fortune of the perfons represented, or communicate any esteem and affection for their character.

And can it possible be doubted, that this talent itself of poets, to move the passions, this PATHETIC and SUBLIME of fentiment, is a very confiderable merit, and being enhanced by its extreme rarity, may exhalt the person possessed of it, above every character of the age in which he lives? The prudence, address, steadiness, and benign government of Augustus, adorned with all the splendor of his noble birth and imperial crown, render him but an unequal competitor for fame with VIR-GIL, who lays nothing into the opposite scale but the divine beauties of his poetical genius.

The very fensibility to these beauties, or a DELI-CACY of taste, is itself a beauty in any character; as conveying the purest, the most durable, and most innocent of all enjoyments.

Thefe

332 SECTION VII.

These are some instances of the species of merit, that are valued for the immediate pleasure, which they communicate to the person possessed of them. No views of utility or of suture beneficial consequences enter into this sentiment of approbation; yet is it of a kind similar to that other sentiment, which arises from views of a public or private utility. The same social sympathy, we may observe, or fellow-seeling with human happiness or misery, gives rise to both; and this analogy in all the parts of the present theory, may justly be regarded as a confirmation of it.

SECTION

SECTION VIII.

Of Qualities immediately agreeable to Others*.

A S the mutual shocks, in fociety, and the oppositions of interest and self-love have constrained mankind to establish the laws of justice; in order to preserve the advantages of common affiftance and protection: In like manner, the eternal contrarieties, in company, of men's pride and felf-conceit, have introduced the rules of GOOD-MANNERS or POLITENESS: in order to facilitate the intercourse of minds, and an undisturbed commerce and conversation. Among well-bred people, a mutual deference is affected: Contempt of others difguised: Authority concealed: Attention given to each in his turn: And an easy stream of conversation maintained, without vehemence, without mutual interruption, without eagerness for victory, and without any airs of superiority. These attentions and regards are immediately agreeable to others, abstracted from any consideration of utility or beneficial tendencies: They conciliate affection, promote esteem, and enhance extremely the merit of the person, who regulates his behaviour by them.

Many

[&]quot;Tis the nature, and, indeed, the definition of virtue, that 'tis a quality of the mind agreeable to or approved of by every one, subsconfiders or contemplates it. But some qualities produce pleasure, because they are useful to society, or useful or agreeable to the person himself; others produce it more immediately: Which is the class of virtues here considered.

334 SECTION VIII.

Many of the forms of breeding are arbitrary and calfual: But the thing expressed by them is still the same. A Spaniard goes out of his own house before his guest, to signify that he leaves him master of all. In other countries, the landlord walks out last, as a common mark of deference and regard.

But, in order to render a man perfect good company, he must have WIT and INGENUITY as well as good-manners. What wit is, it may not be easy to define; but 'tis easy surely to determine, that 'tis a quality immediately agreeable to others, and communicating, on its first appearance, a lively joy and satisfaction to every one who has any comprehension of it. The most prosound metaphysics, indeed, might be employed in explaining the various kinds and species of wit; and many classes of it, which are now received on the sole testimony of taste and sentiment, might, perhaps, be resolved into more general principles. But this is sufficient for our present purpose, that it does affect taste and sentiment, and bestowing an immediate enjoyment, is a sure source of approbation and affection.

In countries, where men pass most of their time in conversation, and visits and assemblies, these companion-able qualities, so to speak, are of high estimation, and form a chief part of personal merit. In countries, where men live a more domestic life, and either are employed in business, or amuse themselves in a narrower circle of acquaintance, the more solid qualities are chiefly regarded. Thus, I have often observed, that among the French, the first questions, with regard to a stranger, are, Is be polite? Has be wit? In our own country, the chief praise bestowed, is always that of a good-natured, sensible fellow.

In conversation, the lively spirit of dialogue is agreelable, even to those who desire not to have any share of the discourse: Hence the teller of long stories, or the pompous declaimer, is very little approved of. But most men desire likewise their turn in the conversation, and regard, with a very evil eye, that *loquacity*, which deprives them of a right they are naturally so jealous of.

There are a fet of harmless liars, frequently to be met with in company, who deal much in the marvelous. Their usual intention is to please and entertain; but as men are most delighted with what they conceive to be truth, these people mistake extremely the means of pleasing, and incur universal blame. Some indulgence, however, to lying or siction is given in bumorous stories; because it is there really agreeable and entertaining; and truth is not of any importance.

Eloquence, genius of all kinds, even good sense and sound reasoning, when it rises to an eminent degree, and is employed upon subjects of any considerable dignity and nice discernment; all these endowments seem immediately agreeable, and have a merit distinct from their usefulness. Rarity, likewise, which so much enhances the price of every thing, must set an additional value on these noble talents of the human mind.

Modesty may be understood in different senses, even abstracted from chastity, which has been already treated of. It sometimes means that tenderness and nicety of honour, that apprehension of blame, that dread of intrusion or injury towards others, that Pudor, which is the proper guardian of every kind of virtue, and a sure preservative against vice and corruption. But its most usual meaning is when it is opposed to impudence and arrogance, and expresses a diffidence of our own judgment, and a due attention and regard to others. In young men chiefly, this quality is a sure sign of good sense; and is also the certain means of augmenting that endowment, by preserving their ears open to instruction, and making

them still grasp after new attainments. But it has a farther charm to every spectator; by flattering each man's vanity, and presenting the appearance of a docile pupil, who receives, with proper attention and respect, every word they utter.

Men have, in general, a much greater propenfity to over-value than under-value themselves; notwithstanding the opinion of ARISTOTLE. This makes us more jealous of the excess on the former side, and causes us to regard, with a peculiar indulgence, all tendency to modesty and self-diffidence; as esteeming the danger less of falling into any vicious extreme of that nature. thus, in countries, where men's bodies are apt to exceed in corpulency, perfonal beauty is placed in a much greater degree of slenderness, than in countries where that is the most usual defect. Being so often struck with instances of one species of deformity, men think they can never keep at too great a distance from it, and wish always to have a leaning to the opposite side. In like manner, were the door opened to felf-praise, and were Montaigne's maxim observed, that one should say as frankly, I have fenfe, I have learning, I have courage, beauty, or wit; as 'tis fure we often think fo; were this the case, I say, every one is sensible, that such a slood of impertinence would break in upon us, as would render fociety wholly intolerable. For this reason custom has established it as a rule, in common focieties, that men should not indulge themselves in self-praise, nor even speak much of themselves; and 'tis only among intimate friends or people of very manly behaviour, that one is allowed to do himself justice. No body finds fault with MAURICE, Prince of ORANGE, for his reply to one, who asked him whom he esteemed the first general of the age, The marquis de SPINOLA, said he, is the fecond. Though 'tis observable, that the selfpraife praise implied is here better implied, than if it had been directly expressed, without any cover or disguise.

He must be a very superficial thinker, who imagines, that all instances of mutual deference are to be understood in earnest, and that a man would be more esteemable for being ignorant of his own merits and accomplishments. A finall bias towards modesty, even in the internal fentiments, is favourably regarded, especially in young people; and a strong bias is required in the outward behaviour: but this excludes not a noble pride and spirit, which may openly display itself in its full extent, when one lies under calumny or oppression of any kind. The generous contumacy of Socrates, as Cicero calls it, has been highly celebrated in all ages; and when ioined to the usual modesty of his behaviour, forms a most shining character. IPHICRATES, the ATHENIAN general, being accused of betraying the interests of his country, asked his accuser, Would you, says he, on a like occasion, have been guilty of that crime? By no means, replied the other. And can you then imagine, cried the hero, that IPHICRATES would be guilty? QUINCTIL. lib. 5. cap. 12. In fhort, a generous spirit and self-value, well founded, decently difguifed, and courageously supported under diffress and calumny, is a very great excellency, and feems to derive its merit from the noble elevation of its fentiment, or its immediate agreeableness to its posfessor. In ordinary characters, we approve of a bias towards modesty, which is a quality immediately agreeable to others: The vicious excess of the former virtue, viz. infolence or haughtiness, is immediately disagreeable to others: The excess of the latter is so to the possessor. Thus are the boundaries of these duties adjusted.

A defire of fame, reputation, or a character with others, is so far from being blameable, that it seems Vol. II. Z insepa-

inseparable from virtue, genius, capacity, and a generous or noble disposition. An attention even to trivial matters, in order to please, is also expected and demanded by fociety; and no one is surprized, if he finds a man in company, to observe a greater elegance of drefs, and a more pleasant flow of conversation, than when he passes his time at home, and with his own family. Wherein, then, confifts VANITY, which is so justly regarded as a fault or imperfection? It feems to confift chiefly in fuch an intemperate display of our advantages, honours and accomplishments; in such an importunate and open demand of praise and admiration, as is offensive to others, and encroaches too far on their fecret vanity and ambi-It is, beside, a sure symptom of the want of true dignity and elevation of mind, which is fo great an ornament to any character. For why that impatient defire of applause; as if you were not justly entitled to it, and might not reasonably expect that it would for ever attend you? Why fo anxious to inform us of the great company which you have kept; the obliging things which were faid to you; the honours, the distinctions which you met with; as if these were not things of course, and what we could readily, of ourfelves, have imagined, without being told of them?

DECENCY, or a proper regard to age, fex, character and station in the world, may be ranked among the qualities, which are immediately agreeable to others, and which, by that means, acquire praise and approbation. An effeminate behaviour in a man, a rough manner in a woman; these are ugly, because unsuitable to each character, and different from the qualities which we expect in the sexes. 'Tis as if a tragedy abounded in comic beauties, or a comedy in tragic. The disproportions hurt the eye, and convey a disagreeable sentiment to the spectators, the source of blame and disapprobation.

This is that indecorum, which is explained fo much at large by CICERO in his Offices.

Among the other virtues, we may also give CLEAN-LINESS a place; fince it naturally renders us agreeable to others, and is no inconfiderable source of love and affection. No one will deny, that a negligence in this particular is a fault; and as faults are nothing but smaller vices, and this fault can have no other origin than the uneafy fensation, which it excites in others; we may, in this inftance, feemingly fo trivial, clearly discover the orlgin of moral diffinctions, about which the learned have involved themselves in such mazes of perplexity and érror.

But besides all the agreeable qualities, the origin of whose beauty, we can, in some degree, explain and account for, there still remains something mysterious and inexplicable, which conveys an immediate fatisfaction to the spectator, but how, or why, or for what reason, he cannot pretend to determine. There is a MANNER. a grace, a genteelness, an I-know-not-what, which some men possess above others, which is very different from external beauty and comeliness, and which, however, catches our affection almost as suddenly and powerfully. And though this manner be chiefly talked of in the paffion between the fexes, where the concealed magic is eafily explained, yet furely much of it prevails in all our eftimation of characters, and forms no inconfiderable part of personal merit. This class of accomplishments, therefore, must be trusted entirely to the blind, but sure testimony of taste and sentiment; and must be considered as a part of ethics, left by nature to baffle all the pride of philosophy, and make her sensible of her narrow boundaries and flender acquisitions.

We approve of another, because of his wit, politeness, modesty, decency, or an agreeable quality which he Z 2 possesses ;

possessible posses

bation. This principle enters into all the judgments, which we form concerning manners and characters.

SECTION

SECTION IX.

Conclusion.

PART I.

T may justly appear surprizing, that any man, in so late an age, should find it requisite to prove, by elaborate reasonings, that PERSONAL MERIT consists altogether in the possession of mental qualities, useful or agreeable to the person himself or to others. It might be expected that this principle would have occurred even to the first rude, unpractifed enquirers concerning morals, and been received from its own evidence, without any argument or disputation. Whatever is valuable in any kind, so naturally classes itself under the division of useful or agreeable, the utile or the dulce, that 'tis not easy to imagine, why we should ever seek farther, or consider the question as a matter of nice research or enquiry. And as every thing useful or agreeable must possess these qualities with regard either to the person himself or to others, the compleat delineation or description of merit seems to be performed as naturally as a shadow is cast by the sun, or an image is reflected upon water. If the ground, on which the shadow is cast, be not broken and uneven; nor the furface, from which the image is reflected, difturbed and confused; a just figure is immediately prefented, without any art or attention. And it seems a reafonreasonable presumption, that systems and hypotheses have perverted our natural understanding; when a theory, so simple and obvious, could so long have escaped the most elaborate examination.

But however the case may have fared with philosophy; in common life, these principles are still implicitly maintained, nor is any other topic of praise or blame ever recurred to, when we employ any panegyric or fatyr, any applause or censure of human action and behaviour. If we observe men, in every intercourse of business or pleasure, in each discourse and conversation; we shall find them no where, except in the schools, at any loss upon this subject. What so natural, for instance, as the following dialogue? You are very happy, we shall suppose one to say, addressing himself to another, that you have given your daughter to CLEANTHES. He is a man of honour and humanity. Every one, who has any intercourse with him, is sure of fair and kind treatment *. I congratulate you too, fays another, on the promifing expectations of this fon-in-law; whose affiduous application to the study of the laws, whose quick penetration and early knowlege both of men and business, prognosticate the greatest honours and advancement +. You surprize me much, replies a third, when you talk of CLEANTHES as a man of business and application. I met him lately in a circle of the gayest company, and he was the very life and foul of our convertation: So much wit with good manners; fo much gallantry without affectation; fo much ingenious knowlege fo genteelly delivered, I have never before observed in any one 1. You would admire him still more, fays a fourth, if you knew him more familiarly. That chearfulness

^{*} Qualities useful to others.

[†] Qualities ufeful to the person himself.

¹ Qualities immediately agreeable to others.

which you might remark in him, is not a sudden flash struck out by company: It runs through the whole tenor of his life, and preserves a perpetual serenity on his countenance, and tranquillity in his soul. He has met with severe trials, missfortunes, as well as dangers; and by his greatness of mind, was still superior to all of them *. The image, gentlemen, which you have here delineated of Cleanthes, cry I, is that of accomplished merit. Each of you has given a stroke of the pencil to his figure; and you have unawares exceeded all the pictures drawn by Gratian or Castiglione. A philosopher might select this character as a model of perfect virtue,

And as every quality, which is useful or agreeable to ourselves or others, is, in common life, allowed to be a part of personal merit; so no other will ever be received. where men judge of things by their natural, unprejudiced reason, without the delusive glosses of superstition and false religion, Celibacy, fasting, penances, mortisication, felf-denial, humility, filence, folitude, and the whole train of monkish virtues; for what reason are they every where rejected by men of sense, but because they ferve no manner of purpose; neither advance a man's fortune in the world, nor render him a more valuable member of fociety; neither qualify him for the entertainment of company, nor encrease his power of selfenjoyment? We observe, on the contrary, that they cross all these desirable ends; stupify the understanding and harden the heart, obscure the fancy and sour the temper. We justly, therefore, transfer them to the opposite column, and place them in the catalogue of vices; nor has any superstition force sufficient, among men of the world, to pervert entirely these natural sen-

^{*} Qualities immediately agreeable to the person himself.

timents. A gloomy, hair-brained enthusiast, after his death, may have a place in the calendar; but will scarce ever be admitted, when alive, into intimacy and society, except by those who are as delirious and dismal as himself.

It feems a happiness in the present theory, that it enters not into that vulgar dispute concerning the degrees of benevolence or felf-love, which prevail in human nature; a dispute which is never likely to have any issue, both because men, who have taken party, are not easily convinced, and because the phænomena, which can be produced on either fide, are fo difperfed, fo uncertain, and fubject to fo many interpretations, that, 'tis' scarce polfible accurately to compare them, or draw from them any determinate inference or conclusion. 'Tis sufficient for our present purpose, if it be allowed, what surely, without the greatest absurdity cannot be disputed, that there is some benevolence, however small, insused into our bosom; some spark of friendship for human kind; fome particle of the dove kneaded, into our frame, along with the elements of the wolf and ferpent. Let these generous fentiments be supposed ever so weak; let them be insufficient to move even a hand or finger of our body; they must still direct the determinations of our mind, and where every thing else is equal, produce a cool preference of what is useful and serviceable to mankind, above what is pernicious and dangerous. A moral diftinction, therefore, immediately arises; a general sentiment of blame and approbation; a tendency, however faint, to the objects of the one, and a proportionable aversion to those of the other. Nor will these reasoners, who so earnestly maintain the predominant selfishness of human kind, be any wife fcandalized at hearing of the weak sentiments of virtue, implanted in our nature. On the contrary, they are found as ready to maintain the one one tenet as the other, and their spirit of satire, (for such it appears, rather than of corruption) naturally gives rise to both opinions; which have, indeed, a great and almost an indissoluble connection together.

Avarice, ambition, vanity, and all passions vulgarly, though improperly, comprized under the denomination of felf-love, are here excluded from our theory concerning the origin of morals, not because they are too weak, but because they have not a proper direction, for that purpose. The notion of morals implies some fentiment common to all mankind, which recommends the fame object to general approbation, and makes every man, or most men, agree in the same opinion or decision concerning it. It also implies some fentiment, so universal and comprehensive as to extend to all mankind, and render the actions and conduct, even of the persons the most remote, an object of applause or censure, according as they agree or difagree with that rule of right which is established. These two requisite circumstances belong alone to the fentiment of humanity here infifted on. The other passions produce, in every breast, many strong fentiments of defire and aversion, affection and hatred; but these neither are felt so much in common, nor are fo comprehensive, as to be the foundation of any general fystem and established theory of blame or approbation.

When a man denominates another his enemy, his rival, his antagonist, his adversary, he is understood to speak the language of self-love, and to express sentiments, peculiar to himself, and arising from his particular circumstances and situation. But when he bestows on any man the epithets of vicious or odious or depraved, he then speaks another language, and expresses sentiments, in which he expects all his audience are to concur with him.

He must here, therefore, depart from his private and particular fituation, and must chuse a point of view, common to him with others: He must move some universal principle of the human frame, and touch a string, to which all mankind have an accord and fymphony. If he means, therefore, to express, that this man possesses qualities, whose tendency is pernicious to society, he has chosen this common point of view, and has touched the principle of humanity, in which every man, in fome de-While the human heart is compounded gree, concurs. of the fame elements as at prefent, it will never be wholly indifferent to the public good, nor entirely unaffected with the tendencies of characters and manners. though this affection of humanity may not generally be esteemed so strong as vanity or ambition, yet, being common to all men, it can alone be the foundation of morals, or of any general fystem of blame or praise. One man's ambition is not another's ambition; nor will the same event or object fatisfy both: But the humanity of one man is the humanity of every one; and the same object touches this passion in all human creatures.

But the fentiments, which arise from humanity, are not only the fame in all human creatures, and produce the same approbation or censure; but they also comprehend all human creatures; nor is there any one whose conduct or character is not, by their means, an object, to every one, of cenfure or approbation. On the contrary, those other passions, commonly denominated selfish, both produce different sentiments in each individual, according to his particular fituation; and also contemplate the greatest part of mankind with the utmost in-Whoever has a high regard difference and unconcern. and esteem for me flatters my vanity; whoever expresses contempt mortifies and displeases me: But as my name is known but to a fmall part of mankind, there are few

few who come within the sphere of this passion, or excite, on its account, either my affection or disgust. But if you represent a tyrannical, insolent, or barbarous behaviour, in any country or in any age of the world; I soon carry my eye to the pernicious tendency of such a conduct, and seel the sentiments of repugnance and displeasure towards it. No character can be so remote as to be, in this light, wholly indifferent to me. What is beneficial to society or to the person himself must still be preserved. And every quality or action, of every human being, must, by this means, be ranked under some class or denomination, expressive of general censure or applause.

What more, therefore, can we ask to distinguish the fentiments, dependant on humanity, from those connected with any other passion, or to satisfy us, why the former are the origin of morals, and not the latter? Whatever conduct gains my approbation, by touching my humanity, procures also the applause of all men, by affecting the same principle in them: But what serves my avarice or ambition pleases only these passions in me. and affects not the avarice and ambition of the rest of mankind. There is no circumstance of conduct in any man, provided it have a beneficial tendency, that is not agreeable to my humanity, however remote the person: But every man, so far removed as neither to cross nor ferve my avarice and ambition, is regarded as wholly indifferent by those passions. The distinction, therefore, between these species of sentiment being so great and evident, language must soon be moulded upon it, and must invent a peculiar fet of terms, in order to express those universal sentiments of censure or approbation, which arife from humanity, or from views of general usefulness and its contrary. VIRTUE and VICE become then known: Morals are recognized: Certain general ideas

are framed of human conduct and behaviour: Such meafures are expected and required from men, in such fituations: This action is determined to be conformable to our abstract rule; that other, contrary. And by such universal principles are the particular fentiments of selflove frequently controuled and limited *.

From instances of popular tumults, seditions, factions, panics, and of all passions, which are shared with a multitude; we may learn the influence of society, in exciting and supporting any emotion; while the most ungovernable disorders are raised, we find, by that means, from the slightest and most frivolous occasions. Solon was no very cruel, though, perhaps, an unjust legislator, who punished neuters in civil wars; and few, I believe, would, in such cases, incur the penalty, were their affection and discourse allowed sufficient to absolve them. No selfishness, and scarce any philosophy, have there force sufficient to support a total coolness and indisse-

* It feems certain, both from reason and experience, that a rude, untaught favage regulates chiefly his love and hatred by the ideas of private utility and injury, and has but faint conceptions of a general rule or fystem of behaviour. The man who stands opposite to him in battle, he hates heartily, not only for the prefent moment, which is almost unavoidable, but for ever after; nor is he fatisfied without the most extreme punishment and vengeance. But we, accustomed to fociety, and to more enlarged reflections. consider, that this man is ferving his own country and community; that any man, in the same situation, would do the same; that we ourselves, in like circumstances, observe a like conduct; that, in general, human fociety is best supported on such maxims: And by these suppositions and views, we correct, in some measure, our ruder and narrower passions. And though much of our friendship and enmity be still regulated by private considerations of benefit and harm, we pay, at least, this homage to general rules, which we are accustomed to respect, that we commonly pervert our adverfary's conduct, by imputing malice or injustice to him, in order to give vent to those passions, which arise from self-love and private interest. When the heart is full of rage, it never wants pretences of this nature; though fometimes as frivolous, as those from which HORACE, being almost crushed by the fall of a tree, affects to accuse of parricide the first planter of it.

rence;

rence; and he must be more or less than man, who kindles not in the common blaze. What wonder then, that moral fentiments are found of such influence in life; though fpringing from principles, which may appear, at first fight, somewhat small and delicate? But these principles, we must remark, are social and univerfal: They form, in a manner, the party of human-kind against vice or disorder, its common enemy: And as the benevolent concern for others is diffused, in a greater or less degree, over all men, and is the fame in all, it occurs more frequently in discourse, is cherished by society and conversation, and the blame and approbation, consequent on it, are thereby rouzed from that lethargy, into which they are probably lulled, in folitary and uncultivated nature. Other passions, though perhaps originally stronger, yet being felfish and private, are often overpowered by its force, and yield the dominion of our breaff to those focial and public principles.

Another spring of our constitution, that brings a great addition of force to moral fentiment, is, the love of fame; which rules, with fuch uncontrolled authority, in all generous minds, and is often the grand object of all their defigns and undertakings. By our continual and earnest pursuit of a character, a name, a reputation in the world, we bring our own deportment and conduct frequently in review, and confider how they appear in the eyes of those, who approach and regard us. This constant habit of surveying ourself, as it were, in reflection, keeps alive all the fentiments of right and wrong, and begets in noble natures, a certain reverence for themselves as well as others; which is the furest guardian of every virtue. The animal conveniencies and pleasures fink gradually in their value; while every inward beauty and moral grace is studiously acquired, and the mind is accomplished

in each perfection, which can adorn or embellish a rational creature.

Here is the most perfect morality with which we are acquainted: Here is displayed the force of many sympathies. Our moral sentiment is itself a feeling chiefly of that nature: And our regard to a character with others seems to arise only from a care of preserving a character with ourselves; and to obtain this end, we find it necessary to prop our tottering judgment on the correspondent approbation of mankind.

But, in order to accommodate matters, and remove, if possible, every difficulty, let us allow all these reason-Let us allow, that when we resolve ings to be false. the pleasure, which arises from views of utility, into the fentiments of humanity and sympathy, we have embraced a wrong hypothesis. Let us confess it necessary to find fome other explication of that applause, which is paid to objects, whether inanimate, animate, or rational, if they have a tendency to promote the welfare and advantage of mankind. However difficult it be to conceive, that an object is approved of on account of its tendency to a certain end, while the end itself is totally indifferent; let us swallow this absurdity, and consider what are the confequences. The preceding delineation or definition of PERSONAL MERIT must still retain its evidence and authority: It must still be allowed, that every quality, of the mind, which is useful or agreeable to the person himself or to others, communicates a pleasure to the spectator, engages his esteem, and is admitted under the honourable denomination of virtue or merit. Are not justice, fidelity, honour, veracity, allegiance, chastity, esteemed folely on account of their tendency to promote the good of fociety? Is not that tendency inseparable from humanity, benevolence, lenity, generofity, gratitude, moderation, tenderness, friendship, and all the other

other focial virtues? Can it possibly be doubted, that industry, discretion, frugality, secrecy, order, perseverance, forethought, judgment, and this whole class of virtues and accomplishments of which many pages would not contain the catalogue; can it be doubted, I fay, that the tendency of these qualities to promote the interest and happiness of their possessor, is the sole foundation of their merit? Who can dispute that a mind, which supports a perpetual ferenity and chearfulness, a noble dignity and undaunted spirit, a tender affection and good-will to all around; as it has more enjoyment within itself, is also a more animating and rejoicing spectacle, than if dejected with melancholy, tormented with anxiety, irritated with rage, or funk into the most abject baseness and degeneracy? And as to the qualities, immediately agreeable to others, they speak sufficiently for themselves; and he must be unhappy, indeed, either in his own temper, or in his fituation and company, who has never perceived the charms of a facetious wit or flowing affability, of a delicate modesty or decent genteelness of address and manner.

I am sensible, that nothing can be more unphilosophical than to be positive or dogmatical on any subject; and that, even if excessive scepticism could be maintained, it would not be more destructive to all just reasoning and enquiry. I am convinced, that, where men are the most fure and arrogant, they are commonly the most mistaken, and have there given reins to passion, without that proper deliberation and fuspence, which can alone fecure them from the groffest absurdities. Yet, I must confess, that this enumeration puts the matter in so strong a light, that I cannot, at present, be more assured of any truth, which I learn from reasoning and argument, than that personal merit consists entirely in the usefulness or agreeableness of qualities to the person himfelf possessed of them, or to others, who have any intercourfe

course with him. But when I reslect, that, though the bulk and figure of the earth have been measured and delineated, though the motions of the tides have been accounted for, the order and occonomy of the heavenly bodies subjected to their proper laws, and INFINITE itself reduced to calculation; yet men still dispute concerning the foundation of their moral duties: When I reslect on this, I say, I sall back into dissidence and scepticism, and suspect, that an hypothesis, so obvious, had it been a true one, would, long ere now, have been received by the unanimous suffrage and consent of mankind.

PART II.

Having explained the moral approbation attending merit or virtue, there remains nothing, but to confider briefly our interested obligation to it, and to inquire, whether every man, who has any regard to his own happiness and welfare, will not best find his account in the practice of every moral duty. If this can be clearly aftertained from the foregoing theory, we shall have the satisfaction to reflect, that we have advanced principles, which not only, 'tis hoped, will stand the test of reafoning and inquiry, but may contribute to the amendment of men's lives, and their improvement in morality and focial virtue. And tho' the philosophical truth of any proposition by no means depends on its tendency to promote the interests of society; yet a man has but a bad grace, who delivers a theory, however true, which, he must confess, leads to a practice dangerous and pernicious. Why rake into those corners of nature, which spread a nuisance all around? Why dig up the pestilence from the pit, in which it is buried? The ingenuity of your refearches may be admired; but your fystems will be detefted:

tested: And mankind will agree, if they cannot resute them, to fink them, at least, in eternal silence and oblivion. Truths, which are pernicious to society, if any such there be, will yield to errors, which are salutary and advantageous.

But what philosophical truths can be more advantageous to fociety, than those here delivered, which represent virtue in all her genuine and most engaging charms, and make us approach her with ease, familiarity, and affection? The difmal drefs falls off, with which many divines, and fome philosophers had covered her; and nothing appears but gentleness, humanity, beneficence, affability; nay even, at proper intervals, play, frolic, and gaiety. She talks not of useless austerities and rigours, fuffering and felf denial. She declares, that her fole purpose is, to make her votaries and all mankind, during every inftant of their existence, if possible, cheerful and happy; nor does she ever willingly part with any pleasure but in hopes of ample compensation in some other period of their lives. The sole trouble which she demands is that of just calculation, and a steady ... preference of the greater happiness. And if any austere pretenders approach her, enemies to joy and pleasure, she either rejects them as hypocrites and deceivers; or if the admits them in her train, they are ranked, however, among the least favoured of her votaries.

And, indeed, to drop all figurative expression, what hopes can we ever have of engaging mankind to a practice, which we confess full of austerity and rigour? Or what theory of morality can ever serve any useful purpose, unless it can show, by a particular detail, that all the duties, which it recommends, are also the true interest of each individual? The peculiar advantage of the foregoing system, seems to be, that it surnishes proper mediums for that parpose.

Vol. II. A a That

That the virtues which are immediately useful or agreeable to the person possessed of them, are desireable in a view to self-interest, it would surely be superstuous to prove. Moralists, indeed, may spare themselves all the pains, which they often take in recommending these duties. To what purpose collect arguments to evince, that temperance is advantageous, and the excesses of pleasure hurtful? When it appears, that these excesses are only denominated such, because they are hurtful; and that, if the unlimited use of strong liquors, for instance, no more impaired health or the faculties of the mind and body than the use of air or water, it would not be a whit more vicious or blameable.

It feems equally fuperfluous to prove, that the companionable virtues of good manners and wit, decency and genteelness, are more desirable than the contrary qualities. Vanity alone, without other confiderations, is a fufficient motive to make us wish the possession of these accomplishments. No man was ever willingly deficient in this particular. All our failures here proceed from bad education, want of capacity, or a perverse and unpliable disposition. Would you have your company coveted, admired, followed; rather than hated, despised, avoided? Can any one feriously deliberate in the case? As no enjoyment is fincere, without some reference to company and fociety; fo no fociety can be agreeable or even tolerable, where a man feels his presence unwelcome, and discovers all around him symptoms of disgust and aversion.

But why, in the greater fociety or confederacy of mankind, should not the case be the same as in particular clubs and companies? Why is it more doubtful, that the enlarged virtues of humanity, generosity, beneficence, are desirable with a view to happiness and self-interest, than the limited endowments of ingenuity and politeness?

Are we apprehensive, lest those social affections interfere, in a greater and more immediate degree than any other pursuits, with private utility, and cannot be gratified, without some important facrifices of honour and advantage? If so, we are but ill instructed in the nature of the human passions, and are more insluenced by verbal distinctions than by real differences.

Whatever contradiction may vulgarly be supposed betwixt the felfish and social sentiments or dispositions; they are really no more opposite than selfish and ambitious, felfish and revengeful, selfish and vain. 'Tis requisite, that there be an original propenfity of some kind, in order to be a basis to self-love, by giving a relish to the objects of its pursuit; and none more fit for this purpose than benevolence or humanity. The goods of fortune are spent in one gratification or other: The miser, who accumulates his annual income, and lends it out at interest, has really spent it in the gratification of his avarice. And it would be difficult to show, why a man is more a lofer by a generous action, than by any other method of expence; fince the utmost which he can attain, by the most elaborate selfishness, is the indulgence of some affection.

Now if life, without passion, must be altogether insipid and tiresome; let a man suppose that he has sull power of modelling his own disposition, and let him deliberate what appetite or desire he would choose for the soundation of his happiness and enjoyment. Every affection, he would observe, when gratisted by success, gives a satisfaction proportioned to its force and violence; but besides this advantage, common to all, the immediate feeling of benevolence and friendship, humanity and kindness, is sweet, smooth, tender, and agreeable, independent of all fortune and accidents. These virtues are besides attended with a pleasing consciousness or remembrance, and keep us in humanity with ourselves as well as others;

while we retain the agreeable reflection of having done our part towards mankind and fociety. And though all men show a jealousy of our success in the pursuits of avarice and ambition; yet are we almost sure of their good-will and good-wishes, so long as we persevere in the paths of virtue, and employ ourselves in the execution of generous plans and purposes. What other passion is there where we shall find so many advantages united; an agreeable sentiment, a pleasing consciousness, a good reputation? But of these truths, we may observe, men are, of themselves, pretty much convinced; nor are they descient in their duty to society, because they would not wish to be generous, friendly, and humane; but because they do not feel themselves such.

Treating vice with the greatest candour, and making it all possible concessions, we must acknowledge, that there is not, in any instance, the smallest pretext for giving it the preference above virtue, with a view to self-interest; except, perhaps, in the case of justice, where a man, taking things in a certain light, may often feem to be a loser by his integrity. And though it is allowed, that, without a regard to property, no fociety could fubfiff; yet, according to the imperfect way in which human affairs are conducted, a fensible knave, in particular incidents, may think, that an act of iniquity or infidelity will make a confiderable addition to his fortune, without caufing any confiderable breach in the focial union and confederacy. That honesty is the best policy, may be a good general rule; but is liable to many exceptions: And he, it may, perhaps, be judged, conducts himself with most wisdom, who observes the general rule, and takes advantage of all the exceptions.

I must confess, that if a man thinks, that this reasoning much requires an answer, it will be a little difficult to find any, which will to him appear satisfactory and convincing. If his heart rebels not against such pernicious maxims, if he feels no reluctance to the thoughts of villany or baseness, he has indeed lost a considerable motive to virtue; and we may expect, that his practice will be answerable to his speculation. But in all ingenuous natures, the antipathy to treachery and roguery is too strong to be counterbalanced by any views of profit or pecuniary advantage. Inward peace of mind, consciousness of integrity, a satisfactory view of our own conduct; these are circumstances very requisite to happiness, and will be cherished and cultivated by every honest man, who seeds the importance of them.

Such a one has, befides the frequent fatisfaction of feeing knaves, with all their pretended cunning and ability, betrayed by their own maxims; and while they purpose to cheat with moderation and secrecy, a tempting incident occurs, nature is frail, and they give into the snare; whence they can never extricate themselves, without a total loss of reputation, and the forseiture of all future trust and considence with mankind.

But were they ever fo fecret and fuccessful, the honest man, if he has any tincture of philosophy, or even common observation and reflection, will discover that they themselves are, in the end, the greatest dupes, and have facrificed the invaluable enjoyment of a character, with themselves at least, for the acquisition of worthless toys and gewgaws. How little is requisite to supply the necessities of nature? And in a view to pleasure, what comparison between the unbought satisfactions of conversation, fociety, fludy, even health and the common beauties of nature, but above all the peaceful reflection on one's own conduct: What comparison, I say, between these, and the feverish, empty amusements of luxury and expence? These natural pleasures, indeed, are really without price; both because they are below all price in their attainment, and above it in their enjoyment.

APPENDIX I.

CONCERNING MORAL SENTIMENT.

F the foregoing hypothesis be received, it will now be easy for us to determine the question first started *, concerning the general principles of morals; and tho' we postponed the decision of that question, lest it should then involve us in intricate speculations, which are unsit for moral discourses, we may resume it at present, and examine how far either reason or sentiment enters into all determinations of praise or censure.

One principal foundation of moral praise being supposed to lie in the usefulness of any quality or action; 'tis evident, that reason must enter for a considerable share in all determinations of this kind; fince nothing but that faculty can inftruct us in the tendency of qualities and actions, and point out their beneficial confequences to fociety and to their possessors. In many cases, this is an affair liable to great controverfy: Doubts may arise; opposite interests may occur; and a preference must be given to one fide, from very nice views, and a small overballance of utility. This is particularly remarkable in questions with regard to justice; as is, indeed, natural to suppose, from that species of utility, which attends this virtue +. Were every fingle instance of justice, like that of benevolence, useful to society; this would be a more simple state of the case, and seldom liable to great

* Sect. I.

† See Appendik II.

Aa4

con-

controversy. But as fingle instances of justice are often pernicious in their first and immediate tendency, and as the advantage to fociety refults only from the observance of the general rule, and from the concurrence and combination of feveral persons in the same equitable conduct; the case here becomes more intricate and involved. The various circumstances of society; the various consequences of any practice; the various interests, which may be proposed: These, on many occasions, are doubtful, and subject to great discussion and enquiry. The object of municipal laws is to fix all the questions with regard to justice: The debates of civilians; the reflections of politicians; the precedents of histories and public records, are all directed to the fame purpose. And a very accurate reason or judgment is often requisite, to give the true determination, amidst such intricate doubts arising from obscure or opposite utilities.

But though reason, when fully assisted and improved, be sufficient to instruct us in the pernicious or useful tendencies of qualities and actions; it is not alone sufficient to produce any moral blame or approbation. only a tendency to a certain end; and were the end totally indifferent to us, we should feel the same indifference towards the means. 'Tis requisite a sentiment should here display itself, in order to give a preference to the useful above the pernicious tendencies. This sentiment can be no other than a feeling for the happiness of mankind, and a refentment of their mifery; fince these are the different ends, which virtue and vice have a tendency to promote. Here, therefore, reason instructs us in the feveral tendencies of actions, and humanity makes a diffinction in favour of those, which are useful and beneficial.

This partition between the faculties of understanding and sentiment, in all moral decisions, seems clear from the preceding hypothesis. But I shall suppose that hypothesis salse: It will then be requisite to look out for some other theory, that may be satisfactory; and I-dare venture to affirm, that none such will ever be sound, so long as we suppose reason to be the sole source of morals. To prove this, it will be proper to weigh the sive sollowing considerations.

I. 'Tis easy for a false hypothesis to maintain some appearance of truth, while it keeps wholly in generals, makes use of undefined terms, and employs comparisons, instead of instances. This is particularly remarkable in that philosophy, which ascribes the discernment of all moral diffinctions to reason alone, without the concurrence of fentiment. 'Tis impossible, in any particular instance, that this hypothesis can so much as be rendered intelligible; whatever specious figure it may make in general declamations and discourses. Examine the crime of ingratitude, for instance; which has place, wherever we observe good-will, expressed and known, together with good offices performed, on the one fide, and a return of ill-will or indifference, with ill-offices or neglect on the other: Anatomize all these circumstances, and examine, by your reason alone, in what consists the demerit or blame: You never will come to any iffue or conclusion.

Reason judges either of matter of fact or of relations. Enquire then, first, where is that matter of fact, which we here call crime; point it out; determine the time of its existence; describe its essence or nature; explain the sense or faculty, to which it discovers itself. It resides in the mind of the person who is ungrateful. He must, therefore, seel it, and be conscious of it. But nothing is there, except the passion of ill-will or absolute indifference. You cannot say, that these, of themselves, always, and in all circumstances, are crimes. No: They are only

only crimes, when directed towards persons, who have before expressed and displayed good will towards us. Consequently, we may infer, that the crime of ingratitude is not any particular individual fast; but arises from a complication of circumstances, which, being presented to the spectator, excites the sentiment of blame, by the particular structure and sabric of his mind.

This representation, you say, is false. Crime, indeed, confists not in a particular fact, of whose reality we are affured by reason: But it consists in certain moral relations, discovered by reason, in the same manner as we discover, by reason, the truths of geometry or algebra. But what are the relations, I ask, of which you here talk? In the case stated above, I see first good-will and good offices in one person; then ill-will and ill-offices in the other. Between these, there is the relation of contrariety. Does the crime confift in that relation? But suppose, that a person bore me ill-will or did me illoffices; and I, in return, were indifferent towards him, or did him good-offices: Here is the same relation of contrariety; and yet my conduct is often highly laudable. Twist and turn this matter, as much as you will, you can never rest the morality on relation; but must have recourse to the decisions of sentiment.

When 'tis affirmed, that two and three are equal to the half of ten; this relation of equality, I understand perfectly. I conceive, that if ten be divided into two parts, of which one has as many units as the other; and if any of these parts be compared to two added to three, it will contain as many units as that compound number. But when you draw thence a comparison to moral relations, I own that I am altogether at a loss to understand you. A moral action, a crime, such as ingratitude, is a complicated object. Does the morality consist in the relation of its parts to each other. How? After

what manner? Specify the relation: Be more particular and explicite in your propositions; and you will easily fee their falshood.

No, fay you, the morality confifts in the relation of actions to the rule of right; and they are denominated good or ill, according as they agree or difagree with it. What then is this rule of right? In what does it confift? How is it determined? By reason, you'll say, which examines the moral relations of actions. So that moral relations are determined by the comparison of actions to a rule. And that rule is determined by confidering the moral relations of objects. Is not this fine reasoning?

All this is metaphysics, you cry: That is enough: There needs nothing more to give a strong prefumption of falshood. Yes, reply I: Here are metaphysics surely: But they are all on your fide, who advance an abstruce hypothesis, which can never be made intelligible, nor quadrate with any particular inftance or illustration. The hypothesis which we embrace is plain. It maintains, that morality is determined by fentiment. It defines virtue to be, whatever mental action or quality gives to a spectator the pleasing sentiment of approbation; and vice the contrary. We then proceed to examine a plain matter of fact, viz. what actions have this influence: We confider all the circumstances, in which these actions agree: And from thence endeavour to extract some general obfervations with regard to these fentiments. If you call this metaphyfics, and find any thing abstruse here, you need only conclude, that your turn of mind is not fuited to the moral sciences.

II. When a man, at any time, deliberates concerning his own conduct, (as, whether he had better, in a particular emergence, affift a brother or a benefactor) he must consider these separate relations, with all the circumstances and fituations of the persons, in order to determine

termine the superior duty and obligation: And in order to determine the proportion of lines in any triangle, 'tis neceffary to examine the nature of that figure, and the relations, w ich its several parts bear to each other. But notwithstanding this appearing similarity in the two cases, there is, at the bottom, an extreme difference between them. A speculative reasoner concerning triangles or circles confiders the feveral known and given relations of the parts of these figures; and from thence infers some unknown relation, which is dependent on the former. But in moral deliberations, we must be acquainted, before-hand, with all the objects, and all their relations to ear, other; and from a comparison of the whole, fix our choice or approbation. No new fact to be afcertained: No new relation to be discovered. circumstances of the cafe are supposed to be laid before us, ere we can fix any sentence of blame or approbation. If any material circumstance be yet unknown or doubtful, we must first employ our enquiry or intellectual faculties to affure us of it; and must suspend for a time all moral decision or sentiment. While we are ignorant, whether a man was aggressor or not, now can we determine whether the person, who killed him, be criminal or innocent? But after every circumstance, every relation is known, the understanding has no farther room to operate, nor any object, on which it could employ The approbation or blame, which then ensues, cannot be the work of the judgment, but of the heart; and is not a speculative proposition or affirmation, but an active f eling or fentiment. In the disquisitions of the understanding, from known circumstances and relations, we infer some new and unknown. In moral decissons, all the circumstances and relations must be antecedently known; and the mind, from the contemplation of the whole, feels fome new impression of affection or disgust, esteem or contempt, approbation or blame.

Hence

Hence the great difference between a mistake of fact and one of right; and hence the reason, why the one is commonly criminal and not the other. When ŒDIPUS killed Laius, he was ignorant of the relation, and from circumstances, innocent and involuntary, formed erroneous opinions concerning the action which he committed. But when NERO killed AGRIPPINA, all the relations between himself and the person, and all the circumstances of the fact were antecedently known to him: But the motive of revenge, or fear or interest, prevailed in his favage heart over the fentiments of duty and humanity. And when we express that detestation against him, to which he, himself, in a little time, became infensible; 'tis not, that we see any relations, of which he was ignorant, but that, from the rectitude of our disposition, we feel fentiments, against which he was hardened, from flattery and a long perseverance in the most enormous crimes. In these sentiments, then, not in a discovery of relations of any kind, do all moral determinations confift. Before we can pretend to form any decision of this kind, every thing must be known and ascertained on the side of the object or action. Nothing remains but to feel, on our part, some fentiment of blame or approbation; whence we pronounce the action criminal or virtuous.

III. This doctrine will become still more evident, if we compare moral beauty with natural, to which, in many particulars, it bears so near a resemblance. 'Tis on the proportion, relation, and position of parts, that all natural beauty depends; but it would be absurd thence to infer, that the perception of beauty, like that of truth in geometrical problems, consists wholly in the perception of relations, and was performed entirely by the understanding or intellectual faculties. In all the sciences, our mind, from the known relations, investi-

gates the unknown: But in all decisions of taste or external beauty, all the relations are before-hand obvious to the eye; and we thence proceed to feel a sentiment of complacency or disgust, according to the nature of the object, and disposition of our organs.

Euclid has fully explained all the qualities of the circle; but has not, in any proposition, said a word of its beauty. The reason is evident. The beauty is not a quality of the circle. It lies not in any part of the line, whose parts are equally distant from a common center. It is only the effect, which that figure operates upon the mind, whose peculiar fabric or structure renders it susceptible of such sentiments. In vain would you look for it in the circle, or seek it, either by your senses or by mathematical reasonings, in all the properties of that figure.

Attend to Palladio and Perrault, while they explain all the parts and proportions of a pillar: They talk of the cornice and freeze and base and entablature and shaft and architrave; and give the description and position of each of these members. But should you ask the description and position of its beauty, they would readily reply, that the beauty is not in any of the parts or members of a pillar, but results from the whole, when that complicated figure is presented to an intelligent mind, susceptible of those finer sensations. 'Till such a spectator appear, there is nothing but a figure of such particular dimensions and proportions: From his sentiments alone arise its elegance and beauty.

Again; attend to CICERO, while he paints the crimes of a VERRES or a CATILINE; you must acknowlege, that the moral turpitude results, in the same manner, from the contemplation of the whole, when presented to a being, whose organs have such a particular structure and

and formation. The orator may paint rage, insolence, barbarity on the one fide: Meekness, sufferance, forrow, innocence on the other: But if you feel no indignation or compassion arise in you from this complication of circumffances, you would in vain ask him, in what consists the crime or villainy, which he so vehemently exclaims against: At what time, or on what subject it first began to exist: And what has a few months afterwards become of it, when every disposition and thought of all the actors are totally altered, or annihilated. No fatisfactory anfwer can be given to any of these questions, upon the abstract hypothesis of morals; and we must at last confess, that the crime or immorality is no particular fact or relation, which can be the object of the understanding: But arises entirely from the sentiment of disapprobation, which, by the structure of human nature, we unavoidably feel on the apprehension of barbarity or treachery.

IV. Inanimate objects may bear to each other all the fame relations, which we observe in moral agents; tho' the former can never be the object of love or hatred, nor are consequently susceptible of merit or iniquity. A young tree, which over-tops and destroys its parent, stands in all the same relations with Nero, when he murdered Agrippina; and if morality consisted in any abstract relations, would, no doubt, he equally criminal.

V. It appears evident, that the ultimate ends of human actions can never, in any case, be accounted for by reason, but recommend themselves entirely to the sentiments and affections of mankind, without any dependance on the intellectual faculties. Ask a man, why he uses exercise; he will answer, because he desires to keep his health. If you then enquire, why he desires health, he will readily reply, because sickness is painful. If you push your enquiries farther, and desire a reason, why he hates pain,

pain, 'tis impossible he can ever give any. This is an ultimate end, and is never referred to any other object.

Perhaps, to your second question, why he desires health, he may also reply, that 'tis necessary for the exercise of his calling. If you ask, why he is anxious on that head, he will answer, because he desires to get money. If you demand Why? It is the instrument of pleasure, says he. And beyond this it is an absurdity to ask for a reason. 'Tis impossible there can be a progress in infinitum; and that one thing can always be a reason, why another is desired. Something must be desirable on its own account, and because of its immediate accord and agreement with human sentiment and affection.

Now as virtue is an end, and is defirable on its own account, without fee or reward, merely for the immediate fatisfaction which it conveys; 'tis requisite that there should be some fentiment, which it touches; some internal taste or feeling, or whatever you please to call it, which distinguishes moral good and evil, and which embraces the one and rejects the other.

Thus the distinct boundaries and offices of reason and of taste are easily ascertained. The former conveys the knowlege of truth and falshood: The latter gives the fentiment of beauty and deformity, vice and virtue. The one discovers objects, as they really stand in nature, without addition or diminution: The other has a productive faculty, and gilding or staining all natural obiects with the colours, borrowed from internal fentiment, raises, in a manner, a new creation. Reason, being cool and difengaged, is no motive to action, and directs only the impulse received from appetite or inclination, by showing us the means of obtaining happiness or avoiding mifery: Taste, as it gives pleasure or pain, and thereby constitutes happiness or misery, becomes a motive to action, and is the first spring or impulse to defire fire and volition. From circumstances and relations, known or supposed, the former leads us to the discovery of the concealed and unknown: After all circumstances and relations are laid before us, the latter makes us feel from the whole a new sentiment of blame or approbation. The standard of the one, being sounded on the nature of things, is eternal and inslexible, even by the will of the supreme Being: The standard of the other, arising from the internal frame and constitution of animals, is ultimately derived from that divine Will, which bestowed on each being its peculiar nature, and arranged the several classes and orders of existence.

APPENDIX II.

FARTHER CONSIDERATIONS with regard to Justice.

HE intention of this appendix is to give fome more particular explication of the origin and nature of justice, and to mark some differences between it and the other virtues.

The focial virtues of humanity and benevolence exert their influence immediately, by a direct tendency or instinct, which keeps chiefly in view the simple object, moving the affections, and comprehends not any scheme or fystem, nor the consequence resulting from the concurrence, imitation, or example of others. A parent flies to the relief of his child; transported by that natural sympathy, which actuates him, and which affords no leifure to reflect on the fentiments or conduct of the rest of mankind in like circumstances. A generous man embraces cheerfully an opportunity of ferving his friend; because he then feels himself under the dominion of the beneficent affections, nor is he concerned whether any other person in the universe was ever before actuated by fuch noble motives, or will ever afterwards prove their influence. In all these cases, the social passions have in view a fingle individual object, and purfue alone the fafety and happiness of the person loved and esteemed. With this they are fatisfied: In this, they acquiesce.

B b 2

And

And as the good resulting from their benign influence, is in itself compleat and entire, it also excites the moral sentiment of approbation, without any reflection on farther consequences, and without the more enlarged views of the concurrence or imitation of the other members of society. On the contrary, were the generous friend or disinterested patriot to stand alone in the practice of beneficence; this would rather inhance his value in our eyes, and join the praise of rarity and novelty to his other more exalted merits.

The case is not the same with the social virtues of justice and fidelity. They are highly useful, or indeed at solutely necessary to the well-being of mankind: But, the benefit, refulting from them, is not the confequence of every individual fingle act; but arises from the whole scheme or system, concurred in by the whole, or the greatest part of the society. General peace and order are the attendants of justice or a general abstinence from the possessions of others: But a particular regard to the particular right of one individual citizen may frequently, confidered in itself, be productive of pernicious confequences. The refult of the individual acts is here often directly opposite to that of the whole system of actions; and the former may be extremely hurtful, while the latter is, to the higheff degree, advantageous. Riches, inherited from a parent, are, in a bad man's hand, the instruments of mischief. The right of succession may, in one instance, be hurtful. Its benefit arises only from the observance of the general rule; and 'tis sufficient, if compensation be thereby made for all the ills and inconveniencies, which flow from particular characters and fituations.

CYRUS, young and unexperienced, confidered only the individual case before him, and reslected on its limited stress and convenience, when he assigned the long coat to the tall boy, and the fhort coat to the other of smaller size. His governor instructed him better; while he pointed out more enlarged views and consequences, and informed his pupil of the general, instexible rules, necessary to support general peace and order in society.

The happiness and prosperity of mankind, arising from the social virtue of benevolence and its subdivisions, may be compared to a wall, built by many hands; which still rises by each stone, that is heaped upon it, and receives encrease proportional to the diligence and care of each workman. The same happiness, raised by the social virtue of justice and its subdivisions, may be compared to the building of a vault, where each individual stone would, of itself, fall to the ground; nor does the whole fabric support itself, but by the mutual assistance and combination of its corresponding parts.

All the laws of nature, which regulate property, as well as all civil laws, are general, and regard alone some effential circumstances of the case, without taking into confideration the characters, fituations and connexions of the person concerned, or any particular consequences, which may refult from the determination of these laws, in any particular case which offers. They deprive, without scruple, a beneficent man of all his possessions, if acquired by mistake, without a good title; in order to bestow them on a selfish miser, who has already heaped up immense stores of superfluous riches. Public utility requires, that property fhould be regulated by general inflexible rules; and tho' fuch rules are adopted as best ferve the same end of public utility, 'tis impossible for them to prevent all particular hardships, or make beneficial consequences result from every individual case. 'Tis sufficient, if the whole plan or scheme be necessary to the support of civil society, and if the ballance of good, in the main, does thereby preponderate much B b 3 above

above that of evil. Even the general laws of the universe, tho' planned by infinite wishom, cannot exclude all evil or inconvenience, in every particular operation.

It has been afferted by fome, that justice arises from HUMAN CONVENTIONS, and proceeds from the voluntary choice, confent, or combination of man-If by convention be here meant a promise (which is the most usual sense of the word) nothing can be more absurd than this position. The observance of promises is itself one of the most considerable parts of justice; and we are not furely bound to keep our word, because we have given our word to keep it. But if by convention be meant a fense of common interest; which sense each man feels in his own breaft, which he remarks in his fellows, and which carries him, in concurrence with others, into a general plan or system of actions, which tends to public utility; it must be owned, that, in this fense, justice arises from human conventions. For if it be allowed (what is, indeed, evident) that the particular confequences of a particular act of justice may be hurtful to the public as well as to individuals; it follows, that every man, in embracing that virtue, must have an eye to the whole plan or fystem, and must expect the concurrence of his fellows in the fame conduct and behaviour. Did all his views terminate in the consequences of each act of his own, his benevolence and humanity, as well as his felf-love, might often prescribe to him measures of conduct very different from those, which are agreeable to the strict rules of right and justice.

Thus two men pull the oars of a boat, by common convention, for common interest, without any promise or contract: Thus gold and filver are made the measures of exchange; thus speech and words and language are fixed, by human convention and agreement. Whatever is advantageous to two or more persons, if all persons their

their part; but what loses all advantage, if only one perform, can arise from no other principle. There would otherwise be no motive for any one of them to enter into that scheme of conduct *.

The word, natural, is commonly taken in fo many fenses, and is of such a loose fignification, that it feems to little purpose to dispute, whether justice be natural or not. If felf-love, if benevolence be natural to man; if reason and forethought be also natural; then may the fame epithet be applied to justice, order, fidelity, property, fociety. Men's inclination, their necessities lead them to combine; their understanding and experience tell them, that this combination is impossible, where each governs himself by no rule, and pays no regard to the possessions of others: And from these passions and reflections conjoined, fo foon as we observe like passions and reflections in others, the fentiment of justice, thro' all ages, has infallibly and certainly had place, to fome degree or other, in every individual of the human species. In fo fagacious an animal, what necessarily arises from

^{*} This theory concerning the origin of property, and confequently of juftice, is, in the main, the same with that hinted at and adopted by GRO-TIUS. "Hinc discimus, quæ fuerit causa, ob quam a primæva commu-" nione rerum primo mobilium, deinde & immobilium discessum est : nimi-" rum quod cum non contenti homines vesci sponte natis, antra habitare, corpore aut nudo agere, aut corticibus arborum ferarumve pellibus vestito, " vitæ genus exquifitius delegissent, industria opus fuit, quam finguli rebus " fingulis adhiberent : Quo minus autem fructus in commune conferrentur, " primum obstitit locorum, in quæ homines discesserunt, distantia, deinde " justitiæ & amoris desectus, per quem siebat, ut nec in labore, nec in con-" fumtione fructuum, quæ debebat, æqualitas servaretur. Simul discimus, of quomodo res in proprietatem iverint; non animi actu folo, neque enim " scire alii poterant, quid alii suum esse vellent, ut eo abstingrent, & idem velle plures poterant; sed pacto quodam aut expresso, ut per divisionem " aut tacito, ut per occupationem." De jure belli & pacis. Lib. 2. cap. 2. 6 2, art. 4. & 5.

the exertion of his intellectual faculties, may justly be esteemed natural +.

Among all civilized nations, it has been the confrant endeavour to remove every thing arbitrary and partial from the decision of property, and to fix the sentence of judges by fuch general views and confiderations, as may be equal to every member of the fociety. For besides, that nothing could be more dangerous than to accustom the bench, even in the smallest instance, to regard private friendship or enmity; 'tis certain, that men, where they imagine, that there was no other reason for the preference of their adversary but personal favour, are apt to entertain the strongest ill-will against the magistrates and judges. When natural reason, therefore, points out no fixed view of public utility, by which a controverfy of property can be decided, positive laws are often framed to supply its place, and direct the procedure of all courts of justice. Where these too fail, as often happens, precedents are called for; and a former decision, tho given itself without any sufficient reason, justly becomes a sufficient reason for a new decision. If direct laws and precedents be wanting, imperfect and indirect ones are brought in aid; and the controverted case is ranged under them, by analogical reasonings and comparisons, and fimilitudes, and correspondencies, which are often more fanciful than real. In general, it may fafely be afferted,

[†] Natural may be opposed, either to what is unusual, minaculous, or artificial. In the two former senses, justice and property are undoubtedly natural. But as they suppose reason, forethought, design, and a social union and consederacy among men, perhaps that epithet cannot strictly, in the last sense, be applied to them. Had men lived without society, property had never been known, and neither justice nor injustice had ever existed. But society among human creatures, had been impossible, without reason and forethought. Inserior animals, that unite, are guided by instinct, which supplies the place of reason. But all these disputes are merely verbal.

that jurisprudence is, in this respect, different from all the sciences; and that in many of its nicer questions, there cannot properly be faid to be truth or falshood on either fide. If one pleader brings the case under any former law or precedent, by a refined analogy or comparison; the opposite pleader is not at a loss to find an opposite analogy or comparison: And the preference given by the judge is often founded more on taste and imagination than on any folid argument. Public utility is the general object of all courts of judicature; and this utility too requires a stable rule in all controversies: But where feveral rules, nearly equal and indifferent, prefent themselves, 'tis a very slight turn of thought, which fixes the decision in favour of either party *.

We

* That there be a feparation or distinction of possessions, and that this separation be steady and constant; this is absolutely required by the interests of fociety, and hence the origin of justice and property. What possessions are affigned to particular persons; this is, generally speaking, pretty indifferent; and is often determined by very frivolous views and confiderations. We shall mention a few particulars.

Were a fociety formed among feveral independent members, the most obvious rule, which could be agreed on, would be to annex property to prefent possession, and leave every one a right to what he at present enjuys. The relation of possession, which takes place between the person and the object, naturally draws on the relation of property.

For a like reasun, occupation or first possession becomes the foundation of property.

Where a man bestows labour and industry upon any object, which before belonged to no body; as in cutting down and shaping a tree, in cultivating a field, &c. the alterations which he produces, causes a relation between him and the object, and naturally engages us to annex it to him by the new relation of property. This cause here concurs with the public utility, which confifts in the encouragement given to industry and labour.

Perhaps too, private humanity towards the possessor, concurs, in this instance, with the other motives, and engages us to leave with him what he has acquired by his sweat and labour; and what he has flattered himself in the constant enjoyment of. For the private humanity can, by no means, be the origin of juftice; fince the latter virtue so often contradicts the former; yet when the rule of separate and constant possession is once formed by

We may just observe, before we conclude this subject, that, after the laws of justice are fixed by views of general utility, the injury, the hardship, the harm, which result to any individual from a violation of them, enter very much into consideration, and are a great source of that universal blame, which attends every wrong or iniquity. By the laws of society, this coat, this horse

the indispensible necessities of society, private humanity, and an aversion to the doing a hardship to another, may, in a particular instance, give rise to a particular rule of property.

I am much inclined to think, that the right of fuccession or inheritance much depends on those connexions of the imagination, and that the relation to a former proprietor begetting a relation to the object, is the cause why the property is transferred to a man after the death of his kinsman. 'Tis true; industry is more encouraged by the transference of possession to children or near relations: But this consideration will only have place in a cultivated society; whereas the right of succession is regarded even among the greatest Barbarians.

Acquisition of property by acceffion can be explained no way but by having recourse to the relations and connexions of the imagination.

The property of rivers, by the laws of most nations, and by the natural turn of our thought, is attributed to the proprietors of their banks, excepting such vast rivers as the Rhink or the Danube, which seem too large to follow as an accession the property of the neighbouring fields. Yet even these rivers are considered as the property of that nation, thro' whose dominions they run; the idea of a nation being of a suitable bulk to correspond with them, and bear them such a relation in the sancy.

The accessions, which are made to land, bordering upon rivers, follow the land, say the civilians, provided it be made by what they call alluvion, that is, insensibly and imperceptibly; which are circumstances, that assist the imagination in the conjunction.

Where there is any confiderable portion torn at once from one bank and added to another, it becomes not bis property, whose land it falls on, till it unite with the land, and till the trees and plants have spread their roots into both. Before that, the thought does not sufficiently join them.

In short, we must ever distinguish between the necessity of a separation and constancy in men's possession, and the rules, which assign particular objects to particular persons. The first necessity is obvious, strong and invincible: The latter may depend on a public utility more light and frivolous, on the sentiment of private humanity and aversion to private hardship, on positive laws, on precedents, analogies, and very fine connexions and turns of the imagination.

Ė

is mine, and ought to remain perpetually in my posses, fion: I lay my account with the secure enjoyment of it: By depriving me of it, you disappoint my expectations, and doubly displease me, and offend every bystander. 'Tis a public wrong, fo far as the rules of equity are violated: 'Tis a private harm, fo far as an individual is injured. And the' the fecond confideration could have no place. were not the former antecedently established: For otherwife the distinction of mine and thine would be unknown in fociety: Yet there is no question, but the regard to general good is much enforced by the respect to particu-What injures the community, without hurting any individual, is often more lightly thought of. But where the greatest public wrong is also conjoined with a considerable private one, no wonder the highest disapprobation attends so iniquitous a behaviour,

APPENDIX

Of SOME VERBAL DISPUTES.

TOTHING is more usual than for philosophers to encroach upon the province of grammarians; and to engage in disputes of words, while they imagine, that they are handling controversies of the deepest importance and concern. It was in order to avoid altercations, fo frivolous and endless, that I endeavoured to state with the utmost caution the object of our present enquiry; and proposed simply to collect, on the one hand, a lift of those mental qualities, which are the object of love or esteem, and form a part of personal merit, and on the other hand, a catalogue of those qualities, which are the object of censure or reproach, and which detract from the character of the person, possessed of them; subjoining some reflections concerning the origin of these sentiments of praise or blame. On all occasions, where there might arise the least hesitation, I avoided the terms, virtue and vice; because some of those qualities, which I classed among the objects of praise, receive, in the English language, the appellation of talents, rather than of virtues; as fome of the blameable or censurable qualities are often called defects rather than vices. It may now, perhaps, be expected, that, before we conclude this moral enquiry, we should separate exactly the one from the other; should mark the precise boundaries of virtues and talents, vices and defects; and should explain the reason

3

reason and origin of that distinction. But in order to excuse myself from this undertaking, which would, at last, only prove a grammatical enquiry, I shall subjoin the four following reslections, which shall contain all that I intend to say on the present subject.

First. I do not find, that in the English, or any other modern tongue, the boundaries are exactly fixed between. virtues and talents, vices and defects, or that a precise definition can be given of the one as contradiffinguished. from the other. Were we to fay, for instance, that the esteemable qualities alone, which are voluntary, are entitled to the appellation of virtues; we should soon recollect the qualities of courage, equanimity, patience, felf-command; with many others, which almost every language classes under this appellation, though they depend little or not at all on our choice. affirm, that the qualities alone, which prompt us to act our part in fociety, are entitled to that honourable distinction; it must immediately occur, that these are indeed the most valuable qualities, and are commonly denominated the focial virtues; but that this very epithet supposes, that there are also virtues of another spe-Should we lay hold of the distinction between intellectual and moral endowments, and affirm the last alone to be the real and genuine virtues, because they alone lead to action; we should find, that many of those qualities, usually called intellectual virtues, such as prudence, penetration, discernment, discretion, had also a considerable influence on conduct. The distinction between the heart and the head may also be adopted: The qualities of the first may be defined such as in their immediate exertion are accompanied with a feeling or fentiment; and these alone may be called the genuine virtues: But industry, frugality, temperance, secrecy, perseverance, and many other laudable powers or habits, generally

generally styled virtues, are exerted without any immediate sentiment in the person possessed of them; and are only known to him by their effects. It is fortunate, amidst all this feeming perplexity, that the question, being merely verbal, cannot possibly be of any importance. A moral, philosophical discourse needs not enter into all these caprices of language, which are so variable in different dialects, and in different ages of the same dialect. But on the whole, it feems to me, that, the it is always allowed, that there are virtues of many different kinds, yet when a man is called virtuous, or is denominated a man of virtue, we chiefly regard his focial qualities, which are, indeed, the most valuable. It is, at the same time, certain, that any remarkable defect in courage, temperance, œconomy, industry, understanding, dignity of mind, would bereave even a very good natured, honest man of this honourable appellation. Who did ever fay, except by way of irony, that fuch a one was a man of great virtue, but an egregious blockhead?

But, fecondly, it is no wonder, that languages should not be very precise in marking the boundaries between virtue and talents, vices and defects; since there is so little distinction made in our internal estimation of them. It seems certain, that the fentiment of conscious worth, the self-satisfaction proceeding from a review of a man's own conduct and character; it seems certain, I say, that this sentiment, which, though the most common of all others, has no proper name in our language *, arises from

^{*} The term, pride, is commonly taken in a bad sense; but this sentiment seems indifferent, and may be either good or bad, according as it is well or ill sounded, and according to the other circumstances which accompany it. The French express this sentiment by the term, amour propre, but as they also express self-love as well as vanity, by the same term, there arises thence a great consusion in Rochefoucault, and many of their moral writers.

the endowments of courage and capacity, industry and ingenuity, as well as from any other mental excellencies. Who, on the other hand, is not deeply mortified with reflecting on his own folly and dissoluteness, and feels not a secret sting or compunction, whenever his memory presents any past occurrence, where he behaved with stupidity or ill-manners? No time can essace the cruel ideas of a man's own soolish conduct, or of affronts, which cowardice or impudence has brought upon him. They still haunt his solitary hours, damp his most aspiring thoughts, and show him, even to himself; in the most contemptible and most odious colours imaginable.

What is there too we are more anxious to conceal from others than fuch blunders, infirmities, and meannesses, or more dread to have exposed by faillery and fatire? And is not the chief object of vanity, our bravery or learning, our wit or breeding, our eloquence or addrefs, our taste or ability? These we display with care. if not with oftentation; and we commonly show more ambition of excelling in them, than even in the focial virtues themselves, which are, in reality, of such supe-Good-nature and honesty, especially rior excellence. the latter, are so indispensibly required, that, though the greatest censure attends any violation of these duties, no eminent praise follows such common instances of them. as feem effential to the support of human society. And hence the reason, in my opinion, why, though men often extol fo liberally the qualities of their heart, they are flip of commending the endowments of their head: Because the latter virtues being supposed more rare and extraordinary, are observed to be the more usual objects of pride and felf-conceit; and when boafted of, beget a ftrong fuspicion of these sentiments.

'Tis hard to tell, whether you hurt a man's character most by calling him a knave or a coward, and whether

a beaftly glutton or drunkard be not as odious and contemptible, as a felfish, ungenerous miser. Give me my choice; and I would rather, for my own happiness and felf-enjoyment, have a friendly, humane heart, than poffess all the other virtues of DEMOSTHENES and PHILIP united: But I would rather pass with the world for one endowed with extensive genius and intrepid courage, and should thence expect stronger instances of general applause and admiration. The figure which a man makes in life, the reception which he meets with in company, the esteem paid him by his acquaintance; all these advantages depend as much upon his good sense and judgment, as upon any other part of his character. Had a man the best intentions in the world, and were the farthest removed from all injustice and violence, he would never be able to make himself be much regarded, without a moderate share, at least, of parts and underflanding.

What is it then we can here dispute about? If sense and courage, temperance and industry, wisdom and knowlege confessedly form a considerable part of perfonal merit: If a man possessed of these qualities is both better fatisfied with himself, and better entitled to the good-will, esteem, and services of others, than one entirely devoid of them; if, in short, the sentiments be similar, which arise from these endowments and from the social virtues; is there any reason for being so extremely scrupulous about a word, or disputing whether they are entitled to the denomination of virtue? It may, indeed, be pretended, that the fentiment of approbation, which those accomplishments produce, besides its being inferior, is also somewhat different from that, which attends the virtues of justice and humanity. But this feems not a fufficient reason for ranking them entirely under different classes and appellations. The character of CÆSAR Vol. II. Cc and

and that of CATO, as drawn by SALLUST, are both of them virtuous, in the strictest and most limited sense of the word; but in a different way: Nor are the fentiments entirely the same, which arise from them. The one produces love; the other, esteem: The one is amiable; the other awful: We could wish to meet the one character in a friend; the other we should be ambitious of in ourselves. In like manner the approbation, which attends temperance and industry or frugality, may be somewhat different from that which is paid to the focial virtues, without making them entirely of a different species. And indeed, we may observe, that these endowments, no more than the other virtues, produce not, all of them, the fame kind of approbation. Good sense and genius beget esteem and regard: Wit and humour excite love and affection*.

Most people, I believe, will naturally, without premeditation, affent to the definition of the elegant and judicious poet.

* Love and esteem are nearly the same passion, and arise from similar causes. The qualities, which produce both, are such as communicate pleafure. But where this pleasure is severe and serious; or where its object is great and makes a strong impression, or where it produces any degree of humility and awe: In all these cases, the passion, which arises from the pleasure, is more properly denominated esteem than love. Benevolence attends both: But is connected with love in a more eminent degree. There seems to be still a stronger mixture of pride in contempt Ann of humility in effectm; and the reason would not be difficult to one, who studied accurately the passions. All these various mixtures and compositions and appearances of fentiment form a very curious subject of speculation, but are wide of our present purpose. Throughout this enquiry, we always consider in general, what qualities are a subject of praise or of censure, without entering into all the minute differences of fentiment, which they excite. 'Tis evident, that whatever is contemned, is also disliked, as well as what is hated; and we here endeavour to take objects, according to their most simple views and appearances. These sciences are but too apt to appear abstract to common readers, even with all the precautions which we can take to clear them from superfluous speculations, and bring them down to every capacity. Virtue

Virtue (for mere good-nature is a fool)
Is fense and spirit with humanity *.

What pretentions has a man to our generous affiftance or good-offices, who has diffipated his wealth in profuse expences, idle vanities, chimerical projects, diffolute pleafures, or extravagant gaming? These vices (for we scruple not to call them such) bring misery unpitied, and contempt on every one addicted to them.

ACHÆUS, a wise and prudent prince, sell into a fatal snare, which cost him his crown and life, after having used every reasonable precaution to guard himself against it. On that account, says the historian, he is a just object of regard and compassion: His betrayers alone of hatred and contempt †.

The precipitate flight and improvident negligence of Pompey, at the beginning of the civil wars, appeared fuch notorious blunders to Cicero, as quite palled his friendship towards that great man. In the same manner, says he, as want of cleanliness, decency, or discretion in a mistress are found to alienate our affections. For so he expresses himself, where he talks, not in the character of a philosopher, but in that of a statesman and man of the world, to his friend Atticus.

But the same CICERO, in imitation of all the antient moralists, when he reasons as a philosopher, enlarges very much his ideas of virtue, and comprehends every laudable quality or endowment of the mind, under that honourable appellation. This leads to the third reflection, which we proposed to make, viz. that the antient moralists, the best models, made no material distinction among the different species of mental endowments and

The art of preserving health. Book 4.

[†] Polybius, lib. 8. cap. 2. ‡ Lib. 9. spiff. 10.

defects, but treated all alike under the appellation of virtues and vices, and made them indifcriminately the object of their moral reasonings. The prudence explained in Cicero's Offices*, is that sagacity, which leads to the discovery of truth, and preserves us from error and mistake. Magnanimity, temperance, decency, are there also at large discoursed of. And as that eloquent moralist followed the common received division of the four cardinal virtues, our social duties form but one head, in the general distribution of his subject +.

We need only peruse the titles of chapters in Aristotle's Ethics to be convinced, that he ranks courage, temperance, magnificence, magnanimity, modesty, prudence, and a manly freedom, among the virtues, as well as justice and friendship.

* Lib. 1. cap. 6.

† The following passage of CIEERO is worth quoting, as being the most clear and express to our purpose, that any thing can be imagined, and, in a dispute, which is chiefly verbal, must, on account of the author, carry an authority, from which there can be no appeal.

"Virtus autem, quæ est per se ipsa laudabilis, et sine qua nihil laudasi potest, tamen habet plures partes, quarum alia est alia ad laudationem aptior. Sunt enim aliæ virtutes, quæ videntur in moribus hominum, et quadam comitate ac benesicentia positæ: aliæ quæ in ingenii aliqua facultate, aut animi magnitudine ac robore. Nam clementia, justitia, benignitate, aut animi magnitudine ac robore. Nam clementia, justitia, benignitate, aut animi magnitudine ac robore. Nam clementia, justitia, benignitate, aut animi magnitudine ac robore. Nam clementia, justitia, benignitate, tas, sides, fortitudo in periculis communibus, jucunda est auditu in laudatitionibus. Omnes enim hæ virtutes non tam ipsis, qui eas in se habent, quam generi hominum fructuosæ putantur. Sapientia et magnitudo animi, qua omnes res humanæ, tenues et pro nihilo putantur; et in cogitando vis quædam ingenii, et ipsa eloquentia admirationis habet non minus, jucunditatis minus. Ipsos enim magis videtur, quos laudamus, quam illos, apud quos laudamus, ornare ac tueri: sed tamen in laudenda jungenda sunt etiam hæc genera virtutum. Ferunt enim aures hominum, cum illa quæ jucunda et grata, tum etiam illa, quæ mirabilia sunt in virtute, laudari." De sorat. lib. 2. cap. 89.

I suppose, if CICERO were now alive, it would be found difficult to setter his moral sentiments by narrow systems; or persuade him, that no qualities were to be admitted as wirtues, or acknowledged to be a part of personal merit, but what were recommended by The Whole Duty of Man.

To

To fustain and to abstain, that is, to be patient and continent, appeared to some of the antients, a summary comprehension of all morals.

EPICIETUS has scarce ever mentioned the sentiment of humanity and compassion, but in order to put his disciples on their guard against it. The virtue of the Stoics seems to consist chiesly in a firm temper and a sound understanding. With them, as with Solomon and the eastern moralists, folly and wisdom are equivalent to vice and virtue.

Men will praise thee, says DAVID*, when thou dost well unto thyself. I hate a wise man, says the GREEK poet, who is not wise to himself †.

PLUTARCH is no more cramped by systems in his philosophy than in his history. Where he compares the great men of Greece and Rome, he fairly sets in opposition all their blemishes and accomplishments of whatever kind, and omits nothing considerable, which can either depress or exalt their characters. His moral discourses contain the same free and natural censure of men and manners.

The character of HANNIBAL, as drawn by LIVY ‡, is esteemed partial, but allows him many eminent virtues. Never was there a genius, says the historian, more equally sitted for those opposite offices of command and obedience; and it were, therefore, difficult to determine whether he rendered himself dearer to the general or to the army. To none would HASDRUBAL entrust more willingly the conduct of any dangerous enterprize; under none, did the soldiers discover more courage and considence. Great boldness in facing danger; great prudence

^{*} Píalm 49th.

ή Μισω σοφιζην ος ις υκ αυτώ σοφ. Euripides.

¹ Lib. 21, cap. 4.

in the midst of it. No labour could fatigue his body or subdue his mind. • Cold and heat were indifferent to him: Meat and drink he sought as supplies to the necessities of nature, not as gratifications of his voluptuous appetites: Waking or rest he used indiscriminately, by night or by day.——These great VIRTUES were ballanced by great VICES: Inhuman cruelty; persidy more than punic; no truth, no faith, no regard to oaths, promises or religion.

The character of ALEXANDER the fixth, to be found in Guicciardin*, is pretty fimilar, but juster; and is a proof, that even the moderns, where they speak naturally, hold the same language with the antients. In this pope, says he, there was a singular capacity and judgment: Admirable prudence; a wonderful talent of persuasion; and in all momentous enterprizes, a diligence and dexterity incredible. But these virtues were infinitely overballanced by his vices; no faith, no religion, insatiable avarice, exorbitant ambition, and a more than barbarous cruelty.

POLYBIUS †, reprehending TIMEUS for his partiality against AGATHOCLES, whom he himself allows to be the most cruel and impious of all tyrants, says: If he took refuge in Syracuse, as afferted by that historian, slying the dirt and smoke and toil of his former profession of a potter; and if proceeding from such slender beginnings, he became master, in a little time, of all Sicily; brought the Carthaginian state into the utmost danger; and at last died in old age, and in possession of kingly dignity: Must he not be allowed something prodigious and extraordinary, and to have possessified great talents and capacity for business and action? His historian, therefore, ought not to have alone related what

tended to his reproach and infamy; but also what might redound to his PRAISE and HONOUR.

In general, we may observe, that the distinction of voluntary or involuntary was little regarded by the antients in their moral reasonings; where they frequently treated the question as very doubtful, whether virtue could be taught or not *? They justly considered, that cowardice, meanness, levity, anxiety, impatience, folly, and many other qualities of the mind, might appear ridiculous and deformed, contemptible and odious, though independent of the will. Nor could it be supposed, at all times, in every man's power to attain every kind of mental, more than of exterior beauty.

And here there occurs the fourth reflection which I proposed to make, in suggesting the reason, why modern philosophers have often followed a course, in their moral enquiries, so different from that of the antient. In latter times, philosophy of all kinds, especially ethics, have been more closely united with theology than ever they were observed among the Heathens; and as this latter science admits of no terms of composition, but bends every branch of knowledge to its own purpose, without much regard to the phænomena of nature, or to the unbiaffed fentiments of the mind, hence reasoning, and even language, have been warped from their natural courfe, and diffinctions have been endeavoured to be established, where the difference of the objects was, in a manner, imperceptible. Philosophers, or rather divines under that difguife, treating all morals, as on a like footing with civil laws, guarded by the fanctions of reward and punishment, were necessarily led to render this circumstance, of voluntary or involuntary, the foundation

^{*} Vid. Plato in Menone, Seneca de otio sap. cap. 31. So also Horace, Virtutem doctrina paret, naturane donet. Epist. lib. 1, ep. 18. Æs. Chines Socraticus. Dial. 1.

of their whole theory. Every one may employ terms in what fense he pleases: But this, in the mean time, must be allowed, that fentiments are every day experienced of blame and praise, which have objects beyond the dominion of the will or choice, and of which it behoves us, if not as moralists, as speculative philosophers at least, to give some satisfactory theory and explication.

A blemish, a fault, a vice, a crime; these expressions feem to denote different degrees of censure and disapprobation; which are, however, all of them, at the bottom, pretty nearly of the same kind or species. explication of one will lead us eafily into a just conception of the others; and it is of greater consequence to attend to things than to verbal denominations. That we owe a duty to ourselves is confessed even in the most vulgar fystem of morals; and it must be of consequence to examine that duty, in order to see, whether it bears any analogy to that which we owe to fociety. It is probable. that the approbation, attending the observance of both, is of a fimilar nature, and arises from similar principles; whatever appellation we may give to either of these excellencies.

Α

DIALOGUE.

Y friend PALAMEDES, who is as great a rambler in his principles as in his person, and who has run over, by study and travel, almost every region of the intellectual and material world, surprized me lately with an account of a nation, with whom, he told me, he had passed a considerable part of his life, and whom he found, in the main, a people extremely civilized and intelligent.

There is a state, said he, in the world, called Fourli, no matter for its longitude or latitude, whose ways of thinking, in many things, particularly in morals, are diametrically opposite to ours. When I came among them, I found that I must submit to double pains; first to learn the meaning of the terms in their language, and then to know the import of those terms, and the praise or blame attached to them. After a word had been explained to me, and the character, which it expressed, had been described, I concluded, that such an epithet must necessarily be the greatest reproach in the world; and was extremely furprized to find one in a public company, apply it to a person, with whom he lived in the strictest intimacy and friendship. You fancy, said I, one day, to an acquaintance, that CHANGUIS is your mortal enemy: I love to extinguish quarrels; and I must, therefore, tell you, that I heard him talk of you in the most advantageous manner. But to my great astonishment, when I repeated Changuis's words, though I had both remembered and understood them perfectly, I found, that they were taken for the most mortal affront, and that I had very innocently rendered the breach between these perfons altogether irreparable.

As it was my fortune to come among this people on a very advantageous footing, I was immediately introduced to the best company; and being desired to live with Alcheic, I readily accepted his invitation; as I found him universally esteemed for his personal merit, and indeed regarded by every one in Fourli, as a perfect character.

him company in a ferenade, which he intended to give Guest, with whom, he told me, he was extremely end oured; and I foon found his tafte was not fingular: For we met many of his rivals, who had come on the errand. I very naturally concluded, that this miftress of his must be one of the finest women in town; and I already felt a secret inclination to see her, and be acquainted with her. But as the moon began to rise, I was much surprized to find, that we were in the midst of the university, where Guest studied: And I was somewhat ashamed for having attended my friend, on such an errand.

I was told afterwards, that Alcheic's choice of Gulki was very much approved of by all the good company in town; and that it was expected, while he gratified his own paffion, he would perform to that young man the fame good office, which he had himself owed to Elcouf. It seems Alcheic had been very handsome in his youth, had been courted by many lovers; but had bestowed his favours chiefly on the sage Elcouf; to whom

whom he was supposed to owe, in a great measure, the astonishing progress which he had made in philosophy and virtue.

It gave me some surprize, that ALCHEIC's wife (who by-the-bye happened also to be his sister) was no wife scandalized at this species of insidelity.

Much about the same time I discovered (for it was not attempted to be kept a secret from me or any body) that Alcheic was a murderer and a parricide, and had put to death an innocent person, the most nearly connected with him, and whom he was obliged to protect and defend by all the ties of nature and humanity. When I asked, with all the caution and deference imaginable, what was his motive for this action; he replied coolly, that he was not then so much at his ease in his circumstances as he is at present, and that he had acted, in that particular, by the advice of all his friends.

Having heard Alcheic's virtue fo extremely celebrated, I pretended to join in the general voice of acclamation, and only asked, by way of curiosity, as a stranger, which of all his noble actions was most highly applauded; and I foon found, that all fentiments were united in giving the preference to the affaffination of USBEK. This USBEK had been to the last moment ALCHEIC's intimate friend, had laid many high obligations upon him, had even faved his life on a certain occasion, and had, by his will, which was found after the murder, made him heir to a considerable part of his fortune. ALCHEIC, it feems, conspired with about twenty or thirty more, most of them also USBEK's friends; and falling all together, on that unhappy man, when he was not aware, they had torne him with a hundred wounds; and given him that reward for all his past favours and obligations. USBEK, faid the general voice of the people, had many great and good qualities: His very vices were shining, magnificent, and generous: But this action of Alcheic sets him far above Usbek in the eyes of all judges of merit; and is one of the noblest that ever perhaps the sun shone upon.

Another part of Alcheic's conduct, which I also found highly applauded, was his behaviour towards Calish, with whom he was joined in a project or undertaking of some importance. Calish, being a passionate man, gave Alcheic, one day, a sound drubbing; which he took very patiently, waited the return of Calish's good humour, kept still a fair correspondence with him; and by that means brought the affair, in which they were joined, to a happy issue, and gained himself immortal honour by his remarkable temper and moderation.

I have lately received a letter from a correspondent in Fourly, by which I learn, that since my departure, Alcheic, falling into a bad state of health, has fairly hanged himself; and has died universally regretted and applauded in that country. So virtuous and noble a life, says each Fourlian, could not be better crowned than by so noble an end; and Alcheic has proved by this, as well as by all his other actions, what was his constant principle during his life, and what he boasted of near his last moments, that a wise man is scarce inferior to the great god, VITZLI. This is the name of the supreme deity among the Fourlians.

The notions of this people, continued PALAMEDES, are as extraordinary with regard to good-manners and fociableness, as with regard to morals. My friend ALCHEIC formed once a party for my entertainment, composed of all the prime wits and philosophers of FOURLI; and each of us brought his mess along with him to the place

place where we affembled. I observed one of them to be worse provided than the rest, and offered him a share of my mess, which happened to be a roasted pullet: And I could not but remark, that he and all the rest of the company smiled at my simplicity. I was told, that Alcheic had once so much interest with his club as to prevail with them to eat in common, and that he had made use of an artistice to that purpose. He persuaded those, whom he observed to be worst provided, to offer their mess to the company; after which, the others, who had brought more delicate fare, were assumed not to make the same offer. This is regarded as so extraordinary an event; that it has since, as I learn, been recorded in the history of Alcheic's life, composed by one of the greatest geniuses of Fourly.

Pray, fays I, PALAMEDES, when you was at Four-LI, did you also learn the art of turning your friends into ridicule, by telling them strange stories, and then laughing at them, if they believed you. I affure you, replied he, had I been disposed to learn such a lesson, there was no place in the world more proper. My friend, so often mentioned, did nothing, from morning to night, but fneer, and banter, and rally; and you could scarce ever distinguish, whether he was in jest or earnest. But you think then, that my story is improbable; and that I have used, or rather abused the privilege of a traveller. To be fure, fays I, you was but in jest. Such barbarous and favage manners are not only incompatible with a civilized, intelligent people, fuch as you faid these were; but are scarce compatible with human nature. exceed all we ever read of, among the MINGRELIANS, and Topinameoues.

Have a care, cried he, have a care! You are not aware that you are speaking blasphemy, and are abusing your favourites, the GREEKS, especially the ATHE-

NIANS,

NIANS, whom I have couched, all along, under these bizarre names I employed. If you consider aright, there is not one stroke of the foregoing character, which might not be found in the man of highest merit at ATHENS, without diminishing, in the least; from the brightness of his character. The GREEK love, their marriages*, and the exposing of their children cannot but strike you immediately. The death of USBEK is an exact counter-part to that of CESAR.

All to a trifle, faid I, interrupting him: You did not mention that USBEK was an usurper.

I did not, replied he; lest you should discover the parallel I aimed at. But even adding this circumstance, we should make no scruple, according to our fentiments of morals, to denominate BRUTUS, and CASSIUS, ungrateful traitors and affaffins: Though you know, that they are, perhaps, the highest characters of all antiquity; and the ATHENIANS erected statues to them; which they placed near those of HARMODIUS and ARISTOGI-TON, their own deliverers. And if you think this circumstance, which you mention, so material to absolve these patriots, I shall compensate it by another, not mentioned, which will equally aggravate their crime. few days before the execution of their fatal purpose, they all swore fealty to CÆSAR; and protesting to hold his person ever sacred, they touched the altar with those hands, which they had already armed for his destruction +.

I need not put you in mind of the famous and applauded story of THEMISTOCLES, and of his patience

^{*} The laws of Athens allowed a man to marry his fifter by the father. Solon's law forbid pæderasty to slaves, as being an act of too great dignity for such mean persons.

[†] Appian. Bell. Civ. lib. 3. Suetonius in vita Cæsaris.

towards EURYBIADES, the SPARTAN, his commanding officer, who, heated by a debate, lifted his cane to him in a council of war, (the fame thing as if he had cudgelled him) Strike! cries the ATHENIAN, Strike! but hear me.

You are too good a scholar not to discover the ironical Socrates and his Athenian club in my last story; and you would certainly observe, that it is exactly copied from XENOPHON, with a variation only of the names *. And I think I have fairly made it appear, that an ATHE-NIAN man of merit might be such a one as with us would pass for incestuous, a parricide, an assassin, an ungrateful, periured traitor, and something else too abominable to be named; not to mention his rusticity and ill-manners. And having lived in this manner, his death might be entirely suitable: He might conclude the scene by a desperate act of self-murder, and die with the most abfurd blasphemies in his mouth. And notwithstanding all this, he shall have statues, if not altars, erected to his memory; poems and orations shall be composed in his praise; great sects shall be proud of calling themfelves by his name; and the most distant posterity shall blindly continue their admiration: Though were such a one to arise among themselves, they would justly regard him with horror and execuation.

I might have been aware, replied I, of your artifice. You feem to take pleasure in this topic; and are indeed the only man I ever knew, who was well acquainted with the antients, and did not extremely admire them. But instead of attacking their philosophy, their eloquence, or poetry, the usual subjects of controversy between us, you now feem to impeach their morals, and accuse them of ignorance in a science, which is the only

^{*} Mem. Soc. lib. 3. fub fine.

one, in my opinion, in which they are not surpassed by the moderns. Geometry, physics, astronomy, anatomy, botany, geography, navigation; in these we justly claim the superiority: But what have we to oppose to their moralists? Your representation of things is fallacious. You have no indulgence for the manners and customs of different ages. Would you try a GREEK or ROMAN by the common-law of ENGLAND? Hear him desend himself by his own maxims; and then pronounce.

There are no manners so innocent or reasonable. which may not be rendered odious or ridiculous, if meafured by a standard, unknown to the persons; especially, if you employ a little art or eloquence, in aggravating fome circumstances, and extenuating others, as best fuits the purpose of your discourse. All these artifices may eafily be retorted on you. Could I inform the ATHENIANS, for instance, that there was a nation, in which adultery, both active and passive, fo to speak, was in the highest vogue and esteem: In which every man of education chose for his mistress a married woman, the wife, perhaps, of his friend and companion; and valued himself upon these infamous conquests, as much as if he had been feveral times a conqueror in boxing or wrestling at the Olympic games: In which every man, alfo, took a pride in his tameness and facility with regard to his own wife, and was glad to make friends or gain interest by allowing her to prostitute her charms; and even, without any fuch motive, give her full liberty and indulgence: I ask, what fentiments the ATHENIANS would entertain of fuch a people; they who never mentioned the crime of adultery but in conjunction with robbery and poisoning? Which would they admire most, the villany or the meanness of such a conduct?

Should I add, that the same people were as proud of their slavery and dependance as the Athenians of their liberty; and though a man among them were oppressed, disgraced, impoverished, insulted, or imprisoned by the tyrant, he would still regard it as the highest merit to love, serve, and obey him; and even to die sor his smallest glory or satisfaction: These noble Greeks would probably ask me, whether I spoke of a human society, or of some inferior, servile species.

It was then I might inform my ATHENIAN audience, that these people, however, wanted not spirit and bravery. If a man, says I, though their intimate friend, should throw out, in a private company, a raillery against them, nearly approaching any of those, with which your generals and demagogues every day regale each other, in the sace of the whole city, they never can forgive him; but in order to revenge themselves, they oblige him immediately to run them thro' the body, or be himself murdered. And if a man, who is an absolute stranger to them, should desire them, at the peril of their own life, to cut the throat of their bosom-companion, they immediately obey, and think themselves highly obliged and honoured by the commission. These are their maxims of honour: This is their favourite morality.

But though so ready to draw their sword against their friends and countrymen; no disgrace, no infamy, no pain, no poverty will ever engage these people to turn the point of it against their own breast. A man of rank would row in the gallies, would beg his bread, would languish in prison, would suffer any tortures; and still preserve his wretched life. Rather than escape his enemies by a generous contempt of death, he would infamously receive the same death from his enemies, aggravated by their triumphant insults, and by the most exquisite sufferings.

Vol. II.

'Tis very usual too, continue I, among this people to erect jails, where every art of plaguing and tormenting the unhappy prisoners is carefully studied and practised: And in these jails it is usual for a parent voluntarily to shut up several of his children; in order, that another child, whom he owns to have no greater or rather less merit than the rest, may enjoy his whole fortune, and wallow in every kind of voluptuousness and pleasure. Nothing so virtuous in their opinion as this barbarous partiality.

But what is more fingular in this whimfical nation, fay I to the ATHENIANS, is, that a frolic of yours during the SA-TURNALIA*, when the flaves are served by their masters, is feriously continued by them through the whole year, and thro' the whole course of their lives; accompanied too with fome circumstances, which still farther augment the absurdity and ridicule. Your fport only elevates for a few days those whom fortune has thrown down, and whom she too, in sport, may really elevate for ever above you: But this nation gravely exalts those, whom nature has subjected to them, and whose inferiority and infirmities are absolutely The women, though without virtue, are their masters and sovereigns: These they reverence, praise and magnify: To these, they pay the highest deference and respect: And in all places and at all times, the fuperiority of the females is readily acknowledged and submitted to by every one, who has the least pretenfions to education and politeness. Scarce any crime would be so univerfally detested as an infraction of this rule.

You need go no further, replied PALAMEDES; I can easily conjecture the people whom you aim at. The strokes, with which you have painted them, are pretty

^{*} The Greeks kept the feaft of Saturn or Chronus, as well as the Ramans. See Lucian. Epift. Saturn.

just; and yet you must acknowledge, that scarce any people are to be found, either in antient or modern times, whose national character is, upon the whole, less liable to exception. But I give you thanks for helping me out with my argument. I had no intention of exalting the moderns at the expence of the antients. only meant to represent the uncertainty of all these judgments concerning characters; and to convince you, that fashion, vogue, custom and law, were the chief foundation of all moral determinations. The ATHENIANS furely, were a civilized, intelligent people, if ever there was one; and yet their man of merit might, in this age, be held in horror and execration. The FRENCH are alfo, without doubt, a very civilized, intelligent people; and yet their man of merit might, with the ATHENIANS, be an object of the highest contempt and ridicule, and And what renders the matter more extraeven hatred. ordinary: These two people are supposed to be the most fimilar in their national character of any in antient and modern times; and while the English flatter themfelves that they refemble the ROMANS, their neighbours on the continent draw the parallel between themselves and those polite GREEKS. What wide difference, therefore, in the fentiments of morals, must be found between civilized nations and Barbarians, or between nations whose characters have little in common? How shall we pretend to fix a standard for judgments of this nature?

By tracing matters, replied I, a little higher, and examining the first principles, which each nation establishes, of blame or censure. The Rhine flows north, the Rhone south; yet both spring from the same mountain, and are also actuated, in their opposite directions, by the same principle of gravity. The different inclinations of the ground, on which they run, cause all the difference of their courses.

In how many circumstances would an ATHENIAN and a FRENCH man of merit certainly resemble each other? Good sense, knowledge, wit, eloquence, humanity, sidelity, truth, justice, courage, temperance, constancy, dignity of mind. These you have all omitted; in order to insist only on the points, in which they may, by accident, differ. Very well: I am willing to comply with you; and shall endeavour to account for these differences from the most universal, established principles of morals.

The Greek loves, I care not to examine more particularly. I shall only observe, that, however blameable, they arose from a very innocent cause, the frequency of the gymnastic exercises among that people; and were recommended, though absurdly, as the source of friendship, sympathy, mutual attachment, and sidelity *; qualities esteemed in all nations and all ages.

The marriage of half-brothers and fifters feems no great difficulty. Love between the nearer relations is contrary to reason and public utility; but the precise point, where we are to stop, can scarcely be determined by natural reason; and is therefore a very proper subject of municipal law or custom. If the ATHENIANS went a little too far on the one side, the canon law has surely pushed matters a great way into the other extreme †.

Had you asked a parent at ATHENS, why he bereaved his child of that life, which he had so lately given it. 'Tis because I love it, he would reply; and regard the poverty which it must inherit from me, as a greater evil than death, which it is not capable of dreading, feeling, or resenting ‡.

A.

^{*} PLAT. fymp. p. 182. Ex edit. SERR.

⁺ See Enquiry, Sect. IV.

T Prut. de amore prolis, sub fine.

How is public liberty, the most valuable of all bleffings, to be recovered from the hands of an usurper or tyrant, if his power shields him from public rebellion, and our scruples from private vengeance? That his crime is capital by law, you acknowledge: And must the highest aggravation of his crime, the putting himself above law, form his full security? You can reply nothing, but by showing the great inconveniencies of assaffination; which could any one have proved clearly to the antients, he had reformed their sentiments in this particular.

Again, to cast your eye on the picture which I have drawn of modern manners; there is almost as great difficulty, I acknowledge, to justify French as Greek gallantry; except only, that the former is much more natural and agreeable than the latter. But our neighbours, it seems, have resolved to facrifice some of the domestic to the sociable pleasures; and to prefer ease, freedom, and an open commerce to a strict fidelity and constancy. These ends are both good, and are somewhat difficult to reconcile; nor need we be surprized, if the customs of nations incline too much, sometimes to the one side, sometimes to the other.

The most inviolable attachment to the laws of our country is every-where acknowledged a capital virtue; and where the people are not so happy, as to have any other legislature but a single person, the strictest loyalty is, in that case, the truest patriotism.

Nothing furely can be more abfurd and barbarous than the practice of duelling; but those, who justify it, say, that it begets civility and good manners. And a duelist, you may observe, always values himself upon his courage, his sense of honour, his sidelity and friendship; qualities, which are here indeed very oddly directed, but which have been esteemed universally, since the soundation of the world.

Dd3

Have the gods forbid felf-murder? An ATHENIAN allows, that it ought to be forborn. Has the Deity permitted it? A FRENCHMAN allows, that death is preferable to pain and infamy.

You see then, continued I, that the principles, upon which men reason in morals are always the same; though the conclusions which they draw are often very different. That they all reason aright with regard to this subject, more than with regard to any other, it is not incumbent on any moralist to show. 'Tis fufficient, that the original principles of censure or blame are uniform, and that erroneous conclusions can be corrected by sounder reafoning and larger experience. Though many ages have elapsed since the fall of GREECE and ROME; though many changes have arrived in religion, language, laws, and customs; none of these revolutions has ever produced any confiderable innovation in the primary fentiments of morals, more than in those of external beauty. Some minute differences, perhaps, may be observed in both, HORACE * celebrates a low forehead, and ANA-CREON joined eye-brows +: But the Apollo and the VENUS of antiquity are still our models for male and female beauty; in like manner as the character of Sci-PIO continues our standard for the glory of heroes, and that of CORNELIA for the honour of matrons.

It appears, that there never was any quality, recommended by any one, as a virtue or moral excellence, but on account of its being ufeful, or agreeable, to a man bimfelf, or to others. For what other reason can there ever be affigned for praise or approbation? Or where would be the sense of extolling a good character or action, which, at the same time, is allowed to be good for

^{*} Epist. lib, r. epist. 7. Also lib. 1. ode 3.

[†] Ode 28. PETRONIUS (cap. 86.) joins both these circumstanc's as beauties.

nothing? All the differences, therefore, in morals may be reduced to this one general foundation, and may be accounted for by the different views, which people take of these circumstances.

Sometimes men differ in their judgment about the usefulness of any habit or action: Sometimes also the peculiar circumstances of things render one moral quality more useful than others, and give it a peculiar preference.

'Tist not furprifing, that, during a period of war and diforder, the military virtues should be more celebrated than the pacific, and attract more the admiration and attention of mankind. "How usual is it," fays Tully *, " to find CIMBRIANS, CELTIBERIANS, and other Bar-66 barians, who bear, with inflexible conflancy, all the fatigues and dangers of the field; but are immediately " dispirited under the pain and hazard of a languishing "distemper: while, on the other hand, the GREEKS of patiently endure the flow approaches of death, when se armed with fickness and disease; but timorously fly his or prefence, when he attacks them violently with fwords " and falchions!" So opposite is even the same virtue of courage among warlike or peaceful nations! And indeed, we may observe, that as the difference between war and peace is the greatest which arises among nations and public focieties, it produces also the greatest variations in moral fentiment, and diverlifies the most our idea of virtue and personal merit.

Sometimes too, magnanimity, greatness of mind disdain of slavery, inflexible rigour and integrity, may suit better the circumstances of one age than those of another, and have a more kindly influence, both on public affairs, and on a man's own safety and advancement. Our idea of merit, therefore, will also vary a little with these variations; and LABEO, perhaps, be censured for

^{*} Tufc. Quæft. lib. 2.

408. A DIALOGUE.

the same qualities, which procured CATO the highest approbation.

A degree of luxury may be ruinous and pernicious in a native of SWITZERLAND, which only fosters the arts, and encourages industry in a FRENCHMAN or ENGLISHMAN. We are not, therefore, to expect, either the same sentiments, or the same laws in Berne, which prevail in London or Paris.

Different customs have also some influence as well as different utilities; and by giving an early bias to the mind, may produce a superior propensity, either to the useful or the agreeable qualities; to those which regard felf, or those which extend to society. These sour sources of moral sentiment still subsist; but particular accidents may, at one time, make any one of them slow with greater abundance than at another.

The customs of some nations shut up the women from all social commerce: Those of others make them so effential a part of society and conversation, that, except where business is transacted, the male-sex alone are supposed almost wholly incapable of mutual discourse and entertainment. As this difference is the most material which can happen in private life, it must also produce the greatest variation in our moral sentiments.

Of all nations in the world, where polygamy was not allowed, the GREEKS feem to have been the most referved in their commerce with the fair-fex, and to have imposed on them the strictest laws of modesty and decency. We have a strong instance of this in an oration of Lysias+. A widow injured, ruined, undone, calls a meeting of a sew of her nearest friends and relations; and though never before accustomed, says the orator, to speak in the presence of men, the distress of her circum-

stances constrained her to lay the case before them. The very opening her mouth in such company required, it seems, an apology.

When Demosthenes profecuted his tutors, to make them refund his patrimony, it became necessary for him, in the course of the law-suit, to prove that the marriage of Aphobus's sister with Oneter was entirely fraudulent, and that, notwithstanding her sham marriage, she had lived with her brother at Athens for two years last past, ever since her divorce from her former husband. And 'tis remarkable, that though these were people of the first fortune and distinction in the city, the orator could prove this sact no way, but by calling for her semale slaves to be put to the question, and by the evidence of one physician, who had seen her in her brother's house during her illness †. So reserved were Greek manners.

We may be affured, that an extreme purity was the consequence of this reserve. Accordingly we find, that, except the fabulous stories of an Helen and a Clytemnestra, there scarce is an instance of any event in the Greek history, which proceeded from the intrigues of women. On the other hand, in modern times, particularly in a neighbouring nation, the semales enter into all transactions and all management of church and state: And no man can expect success, who takes not care to obtain their good graces. Harry the third, by incurring the displeasure of the sair, endangered his crown and lost his life, as much as by his indulgence to herefy.

'Tis needless to diffemble: The consequence of a very free commerce between the sexes, and of their living much together, will often terminate in intrigues and gallantry. We must sacrifice somewhat of the useful, if we be very anxious to obtain all the agreeable qualities; and

cannot pretend to reach alike every kind of advantage. Inflances of licence, daily multiplying, will weaken the fcandal with the one fex, and teach the other, by degrees, to adopt the famous maxim of LA FONTAINE, with regard to female infidelity, that if one knows it, it is but a small matter; if one knows it not, it is nothing *.

Some people are inclined to think, that the best way of adjusting all differences, and of keeping the proper medium between the agreeable and the useful qualities of the sex, is to live with them after the manner of the Romans and the English (for the customs of these two nations seem similar in this respect †) that is, without gallantry ‡ and without jealousy. By a parity of reason, the customs of the Spaniards and of the Italians of an age ago (for the present are very different) must be the worst of any; because they savour both gallantry and jealousy.

Nor will these different customs of nations affect only the one sex: Their idea of personal merit in the males must also be somewhat different with regard, at least, to conversation, address, and humour. The one nation, where the men live much apart, will naturally more approve of prudence; the other, of gaiety. With the one simplicity of manners will be in the highest esteem; with the other, politeness. The one will distinguish

- Quand on le fgait, c'est peu de chose :
 Quand on ne le sçait pas, ce n'est rien.
- † During the time of the emperors, the Romans seem to have been more given to intrigues and gallantry than the English are at present: And the women of condition, in order to retain their lovers, endeavoured to fix a name of reproach on those who were addicted to wenching and low amours. They were called Ancillarioli. See Seneca de beneficiis. Lib. 1. cap. 9. See also Martial lib. 12. epig. 58.
- † The gallantry here meant is that of amours and attachments, not that of complaifance, which is as much paid to the fair-fex in ENGLAND as in any other country.

themselves by good-sense and judgment; the other, by taste and delicacy. The eloquence of the former will shine most in the senate; that of the other, on the theatre.

These, I say, are the natural effects of such customs. For it must be confessed, that chance has a great influence on national manners; and many events happen in society, which are not to be accounted for by general rules. Who could imagine, for instance, that the Romans, who lived freely with their women, should be very indifferent about music, and esteem dancing infamous: While the Greeks, who never almost saw a woman but in their own houses, were continually piping, singing, and dancing?

The differences of moral fentiment, which naturally arise from a republican or monarchical government, are also very obvious; as well as those, which proceed from general riches or poverty, union or faction, ignorance or learning. I shall conclude this long discourse with obferving, that different customs and situations vary not the original ideas of merit (however they may, some confequences) in any very effential point, and prevail chiefly with regard to young men, who can aspire to the agreeable qualities, and may attempt to pleafe. The MANNER, the ORNAMENTS, the GRACES, which succeed in this shape, are more arbitrary and cafual: But the merit of riper years is almost every-where the fame; and confifts chiefly in integrity, humanity, ability, knowledge and the other more folid and ufeful qualities of the human mind.

What you infift on, replied PALAMEDES, may have fome foundation, when you adhere to the maxims of common life and ordinary conduct. Experience and the practice of the world readily correct any great extravagance on either fide. But what fay you to artificial lives

lives and manners? How do you reconcile the maxims on which, in different ages and nations, these are founded?

What do you understand by artificial lives and manners? faid I. I explain myself, replied he. You know. that religion had, in antient times, very little influence on common life, and that, after men had performed their duty in facrifices and prayers at the temple, they thought, that the gods left the rest of their conduct to themselves, and were little pleased or offended with those virtues or vices, which only affected the peace and happiness of human society. In those ages, it was the business of philosophy alone to regulate men's ordinary behaviour and deportment; and accordingly, we may obferve, that this being the fole principle, by which a man could elevate himself above his fellows, it acquired a mighty afcendant over many, and produced great fingularities of maxims and of conduct. At present, that philosophy has loft the allurement of novelty, it has no fuch extensive influence; but seems to confine itself mostly to speculations in the closet; in the same manner, as the antient religion was limited to facrifices in the temple. Its place is now supplied by the modern religion, which inspects our whole conduct, and prescribes an universal rule to our actions, to our words, to our very thoughts and inclinations; a rule so much the more austere, that it is guarded by infinite, though distant, rewards and punishments; and no infraction of it can ever be concealed or difguifed.

DIOGENES is the most celebrated model of extravagant philosophy. Let us seek a parallel to him in modern times. We shall not disgrace any philosophic name by a comparison with the Dominics or Loyolas, or any canonized monk or friar. Let us compare him to Pascal, a man of parts and genius as well as Diogenes himself; and perhaps too, a man of virtue, had

he allowed his virtuous inclinations to have exerted and displayed themselves.

The foundation of Diogenes's conduct was an endeavour to render himself an independent being as much as possible, and to confine all his wants and desires and pleasures within himself and his own mind: The aim of PASCAL was to keep a perpetual sense of his dependence before his eyes, and never to forget his numberless wants and necessities. The antient supported himself by magnanimity, oftentation, pride, and the idea of his own Superiority above his fellow-creatures. The modern made constant profession of humility and abasement, of the contempt and hatred of himself; and endeavoured to attain these supposed virtues, as far as they are attainable. The austerities of the GREEK were in order to inure himself to hardships, and prevent his ever suffering: Those of the FRENCHMAN were embraced merely for their own fake, and in order to fuffer as much as poffible. The philosopher indulged himself in the most beaftly pleasures, even in public: The faint refused himself the most innocent, even in private. The former thought it his duty to love his friends, and to rail at them, and reprove them, and fcold them: The latter endeavoured to be absolutely indifferent towards his nearest relations, and to love and speak well of his enemies. The great object of Diogenes's wit was every kind of fuperstition, that is, every kind of religion known in his time. The mortality of the foul was his standard principle; and even his fentiments of a divine providence feem to have been very licentious. The most ridiculous superstitions directed PASCAL's faith and practice: and an extreme contempt of this life, in comparifon of the future, was the chief foundation of his conduct.

In such a remarkable contrast do these two men stand. Yet both of them have met with general admiration in their different ages, and have been proposed as models of imitation. Where then is the universal standard of motals, which you talk of? And what rule shall we establish for the many different, nay contrary sentiments of mankind?

An experiment, said I, which succeeds in the air, will not always succeed in a vacuum. When men depart from the maxims of common reason, and affect these artisticial lives, as you call them, no-one can answer for what will please or displease them. They are in a different element from the rest of mankind; and the natural principles of their mind play not with the same regularity, as if lest to themselves, free from the illusions of religious superstition or philosophical enthusiasm.

THE

NATURAL HISTORY

O F

RELIGION.

INTRODUCTION.

S every enquiry which regards religion is of the utmost importance, there are two questions in particular, which challenge our principal attention, to wit. that concerning its foundation in reason, and that concerning its origin in human nature. Happily, the first question, which is the most important, admits of the most obvious, at least, the clearest solution. The whole frame of nature befpeaks an intelligent author; and no rational enquirer can, after ferious reflection, suspend his belief a moment with regard to the primary principles of genuine Theism and Religion. But the other question. concerning the origin of religion in human nature, is exposed to some more difficulty. The belief of invisible, intelligent power has been very generally diffused over the human race, in all places and in all ages; but it has neither perhaps been so universal as to admit of no exceptions.

416 The NATURAL HISTORY of RELIGION.

ceptions, nor has it been, in any degree, uniform in the ideas, which it has fuggested. Some nations have been discovered, who entertained no fentiments of Religion, if travellers and historians may be credited; and no two nations, and scarce any two men, have ever agreed precifely in the fame fentiments. It would appear, therefore, that this preconception springs not from an original inflinct or primary impression of nature, such as gives rise to self-love, affection between the sexes, love of progeny, gratitude, refentment; fince every instinct of this kind has been found absolutely universal in all nations and ages, and has always a precife determinate object, which it inflexibly pursues. The first religious principles must be secondary; such as may easily be perverted by various accidents and causes, and whose operation too, in some cases, may, by an extraordinary concurrence of circumstances, be altogether prevented. What those principles are, which give rise to the original belief, and what those accidents and causes are, which direct its operation, is the subject of our present enquiry.

SECT. I. That Polytheism was the primary Religion of Men.

It appears to me, that if we consider the improvement of human society, from rude beginnings to a state of greater persection, polytheism or idolatry was, and necessarily must have been, the first and most antient religion of mankind. This opinion I shall endeavour to consirm by the following arguments.

'Tis a matter of fact incontestable, that about 1700 years ago all mankind were idolaters. The doubtful and sceptical principles of a few philosophers, or the theism, and that too not entirely pure, of one or two nations, form no objection worth regarding. Behold

then the clear testimony of history. The farther we mount up into antiquity, the more do we find mankind plunged into idolatry. No marks, no fymptoms of any more perfect religion. The most ancient records of human race still present us with polytheism as the popular and established system. The north, the south, the east, the west, give their unanimous testimony to the same fact. What can be opposed to so full an evidence?

As far as writing or history reaches, mankind, in antient times, appear univerfally to have been polytheists. Shall we affert, that, in more antient times, before the knowlege of letters, or the discovery of any art or fcience, men entertained the principles of pure theifm? That is, while they were ignorant and barbarous, they difcovered truth: But fell into error, as foon as they acquired learning and politeness.

But in this affertion you not only contradict all appearance of probability, but also our present experience concerning the principles and opinions of barbarous nations. The favage tribes of AMERICA, AFRICA, and ASIA are all idolaters. Not a fingle exception to this Infomuch, that, were a traveller to transport himfelf into any unknown region; if he found inhabitants cultivated with arts and sciences, though even upon that fupposition there are odds against their being theists, yet could he not fafely, till farther inquiry, pronounce any thing on that head: But if he found them ignorant and barbarous, he might beforehand declare them idolaters; and there scarce is a possibility of his being mistaken.

It feems certain, that, according to the natural progress of human thought, the ignorant multitude must first entertain some groveling and familiar notion of superior powers, before they stretch their conception to that perfeet Being, who bestowed order on the whole frame of nature. We may as reasonably imagine, that men inhabited palaces before huts and cottages, or fludied geometry before agriculture; as affert that the Deity appeared to them a pure spirit, omniscient, omnipotent, and omnipresent, before he was apprehended to be a powerful, though limited being, with human passions and appetites, limbs and organs. The mind rifes gradually, from inferior to superior: By abstracting from what is imperfect, it forms an idea of perfection: And flowly diffinguishing the nobler parts of its own frame from the groffer, it learns to transfer only the former, much elevated and refined, to its divinity. Nothing could difturb this natural progress of thought, but some obvious and invincible argument, which might immediately lead the mind into the pure principles of theifm, and make it overleap, at one bound, the vast interval which is interposed between the human and the divine nature. But though I allow, that the order and frame of the universe, when accurately examined, affords fuch an argument; yet I can never think that this confideration could have an influence on mankind when they formed their first rude notions of religion.

The causes of such objects, as are quite familiar to us, never strike our attention or curiosity; and however extraordinary or surprising these objects may be in themselves, they are passed over, by the raw and ignorant multitude, without much examination or enquiry. Adam, rising at once, in paradise, and in the full perfection of his faculties, would naturally, as represented by Milton, be assonished at the glorious appearances of nature, the heavens, the air, the earth, his own organs and members; and would be led to ask, whence this wonderful scene arose. But a barbarous, necessitous animal (such as man is on the first origin of society) pressed by such numerous wants and passions, has no leisure to admire

admire the regular face of nature, or make enquiries concerning the cause of objects, to which, from his infancy, he has been gradually accustomed. On the contrary, the more regular and uniform, that is, the more perfect nature appears, the more is he familiarized to it, and the less inclined to scrutinize and examine it. A monstrous birth excites his curiofity, and is deemed a prodigy. It alarms him from its novelty; and immediately fets him a trembling, and facrificing, and praying. But an animal compleat in all its limbs and organs, is to him an ordinary spectacle, and produces no religious opinion or affection. Ask him, whence that animal arose; he will tell you, from the copulation of its parents. And these, whence? From the copulation of theirs. few removes fatisfy his curiofity, and fet the objects at fuch a distance, that he entirely loses fight of them. Imagine not, that he will so much as frart the question, whence the first animal; much less, whence the whole fustem or united fabric of the universe arose. Or, if you fart such a question to him, expect not, that he will employ his mind with any anxiety about a subject. fo remote, fo uninteresting, and which so much exceeds the bounds of his capacity.

But farther, if men were at first led into the belief of one supreme Being, by reasoning from the frame of nature, they could never possibly leave that belief, in order to embrace idolatry; but the same principles of reasoning, which at first produced and diffused over mankind, so magnificent an opinion, must be able, with greater facility, to preserve it. The first invention and proof of any doctrine is infinitely more difficult than the supporting and retaining it.

There is a great difference between historical facts and fpeculative opinions; nor is the knowlege of the one E e 2 pro-

٤.,.

propagated in the same manner with that of the other. An historical fact, while it passes by oral tradition from eve-witnesses and contemporaries, is disguised in every fuccessive narration, and may at last retain but very small, if any, resemblance of the original truth, on which it was founded. The frail memories of men, their love of exaggeration, their supine carelessiness; these principles, if not corrected by books and writing, foon pervert the account of historical events, where argument or reasoning has little or no place; nor can ever recal the truth, which has once escaped those narrations. 'Tis thus the fables of HERCULES, THESEUS, BACCHUS are supposed to have been originally derived from true history, corrupted by tradition. But with regard to speculative opinions, the case is far otherwise. If these opinions be founded in arguments fo clear and obvious as to carry conviction with the generality of mankind, the same arguments, which at first diffused the opinions, will still preserve them in their original purity. If the arguments be more abstruce, and more remote from vulgar apprehensions, the opinions will always be confined to a few persons; and as soon as men leave the contemplation of the arguments, the opinions will immediately be lost and be buried in oblivion. Which ever lide of this dilemma we take, it must appear impossible, that theism could, from reasoning, have been the primary religion of human race, and have afterwards, by its corruption, given birth to idolatry and to all the various superstitions of the hea-Reason, when very obvious, prevents then world. these corruptions: When abstruse, it keeps the principles entirely from the knowlege of the vulgar, who are alone liable to corrupt any principles or opinions.

SECT. II. Origin of Polytheism.

. If we would, therefore, indulge our curiofity, and enquire concerning the origin of religion, we must turn our thoughts towards idolatry or polytheism, the primitive Religion of uninstructed mankind.

Were men led into the apprehension of invisible, intelligent power by a contemplation of the works of nature, they could never possibly entertain any conception but of one fingle being, who bestowed existence and order on this vast machine, and adjusted all its parts. according to one regular plan or connected fystem. For though, to persons of a certain turn of mind, it may not appear altogether abfurd, that feveral independent beings, endowed with superior wisdom, might conspire in the contrivance and execution of one regular plan; yet is this a mere arbitrary supposition, which, even if allowed possible, must be confessed neither to be supported by probability nor necessity. All things in the universe are evidently of a piece. Every thing is adjusted to every thing. One defign prevails throughout the whole. And this uniformity leads the mind to acknowlege one author; because the conception of different authors, without any distinction of attributes or operations, serves only to give perplexity to the imagination, without bestowing any fatisfaction on the understanding *.

On the other hand, if, leaving the works of nature, we trace the footsteps of invisible power in the various

* The flatue of LAOCOON, as we learn from PLINY, was the work of three artists: But 'tis certain, that, were we not told fo, we should never have concluded, that a group of figures, cut from one stone, and united in one plan, was not the work and contrivance of one statuary. To ascribe any single effect to the combination of several causes, is not surely a natural and obvious supposition.

and contrary events of human life, we are necessarily ledinto polytheism and to the acknowlegment of several limited and imperfect deities. Storms and tempests ruin what is nourished by the sun. The sun destroys what is fostered by the moisture of dews and rains. War may be favourable to a nation, whom the inclemency of the feasons afflicts with famine. Sickness and pestilence may depopulate a kingdom, amidst the most profuse plenty. The fame nation is not, at the fame time, equally fuccessful by sea and land. And a nation, which now triumphs over its enemies, may anon submit to their more prosperous arms. In short, the conduct of events, or what we call the plan of a particular providence, is fo full of variety and uncertainty, that if we suppose it immediately ordered by any intelligent beings, we must acknowlege a contrariety in their defigns and intentions, a constant combat of opposite powers, and a repentance or change of intention in the fame power, from impotence or levity. Each nation has its tutelar deity. Each element is subjected to its invisible power or agent. The province of each god is separate from that of another. Nor are the operations of the same god always certain and invariable. To day he protects: To morrow he abandons us. Prayers and facrifices, rites and ceremonies, well or ill performed, are the fources of his favour or enmity, and produce all the good or ill fortune, which are to be found amongst mankind.

We may conclude, therefore, that in all nations, which have embraced polytheism or idolatry, the first ideas of religion arose not from a contemplation of the works of nature, but from a concern with regard to the events of life, and from the incessant hopes and fears, which actuate the human mind. Accordingly, we find, that all idolaters, having separated the provinces of their deities, have recourse to that invisible agent, to whose authority

authority they are immediately subjected, and whose province it is to superintend that course of actions, in which they are, at any time, engaged. Juno is invoked at marriages; Lucina at births. Neptune receives the prayers of seamen; and Mars of warriors. The husbandman cultivates his field under the protection of Cerres; and the merchant acknowleges the authority of Mercury. Each natural event is supposed to be governed by some intelligent agent; and nothing prosperous or adverse can happen in life, which may not be the subject of peculiar prayers or thanksgivings *.

It must necessarily, indeed, be allowed, that, in order to carry men's attention beyond the present course of things, or lead them into any inference concerning invisible intelligent power, they must be actuated by some passion, which prompts their thought and reslection; fome motive, which urges their first enquiry. But what passion shall we here have recourse to, for explaining an effect of fuch mighty consequence? Not speculative curiofity furely, or the pure love of truth. That motive is too refined for such gross apprehensions, and would lead men into enquiries concerning the frame of nature; a fubject too large and comprehensive for their narrow capacities. No passions, therefore, can be supposed to work upon such barbarians, but the ordinary affections of human life; the anxious concern for happiness, the dread of future misery, the terror of death, the thirst of revenge, the appetite for food and other necessaries.

Agitated

^{* &}quot;Fragilis & laboriosa mortalitas in partes ista digessit, infirmitatis sue submeror, ut portionibus quisquis coleret, quo maxime indigeret." PLIN. lib. ii. cap. 7. So early as HESIOD'S time there were 30,000 deities. Oper. & Dier. lib. i. ver. 250. But the task to be performed by these, seems still too great for their number. The provinces of the deities were so subdivided, that there was even a God of Sneezing. See ARIST. Problect. 33. cap. 7. The province of copulation, suitable to the importance and dignity of it, was divided among several deities.

424 The NATURAL HISTORY of RELIGION.

Agitated by hopes and fears of this nature, especially the latter, men scrutinize, with a trembling curiosity, the course of suture causes, and examine the various and contrary events of human life. And in this disordered scene, with eyes still more disordered and assonished, they see the first obscure traces of divinity.

SECT. III. The same subject continued.

We are placed in this world, as in a great theatre, where the true fprings and causes of every event, are entirely unknown to us; nor have we either fufficient wifdom to foresee, or power to prevent those ills, with which we are continually threatened. We hang in perpetual suspense between life and death, health and sicknefs, plenty and want; which are diffributed among the human species by secret and unknown causes, whose operation is oft unexpected, and always unaccountable. These unknown causes, then, become the constant object of our hope and fear; and while the passions are kept in perpetual alarm by an anxious expectation of the events, the imagination is equally employed in forming ideas of those powers, on which we have so entire a dependance. Could men anatomize nature, according to the most probable, at least the most intelligible philosophy, they would find, that these causes are nothing but the particular sabric and structure of the minute parts of their own bodies and of external objects; and that, by a regular and constant machinery, all the events are produced, about which they are so much concerned. But this philofophy exceeds the comprehension of the ignorant multitude, who can only conceive the unknown causes in a general and confused manner; though their imagination, perpetually employed on the same subject, must labour to form some particular and distinct idea of them. The more they consider these causes themselves, and the uncertainty of their operation, the less satisfaction do they meet with in their research; and, however unwilling, they must at last have abandoned so arduous an attempt, were it not for a propensity in human nature, which leads into a system, that gives them some seeming satisfaction.

There is an universal tendency among mankind to conceive all beings like themselves, and to transfer to every object, those qualities, with which they are familiarly acquainted, and of which they are intimately con-We find human faces in the moon, armies in the clouds; and by a natural propenfity, if not corrected by experience and reflection, ascribe malice and goodwill to every thing, that hurts or pleases us. Hence the frequency and beauty of the prosoporaia in poetry, where trees, mountains and streams are personified, and the inanimate parts of nature acquire fentiment and passion. And though these poetical figures and expressions gain not on the belief, they may ferve, at least, to prove a certain tendency in the imagination, without which they could neither be beautiful nor natural. Nor is a rivergod or hama-dryad always taken for a mere poetical or imaginary personage; but may sometimes enter into the real creed of the ignorant vulgar; while each grove or field is represented as possessed of a particular genius or invisible power, which inhabits or protects it. Nay, philosophers cannot entirely exempt themselves from this natural frailty; but have oft ascribed to inanimate matter the horror of a vacuum, sympathies, antipathies, and other affections of human nature. The absurdity is not less, while we cast our eyes upwards; and transferring, as is too usual, human passions and infirmities to the deity, represent him as jealous and revengeful, capricious and partial, and, in thort, a wicked and foolish

426 The NATURAL HISTORY of RELIGION.

man in every respect, but his superior power and authority. No wonder, then, that mankind, being placed in such an absolute ignorance of causes, and being at the same time so anxious concerning their suture fortunes, should immediately acknowlege a dependence on invisible powers, possessed of sentiment and intelligence. The unknown causes, which continually employ their thought, appearing always in the same aspect, are all apprehended to be of the same kind or species. Nor is it long before we ascribe to them thought, and reason, and passion, and sometimes even the limbs and sigures of men, in order to bring them nearer to a resemblance with ourselves.

In proportion as any man's course of life is governed by accident, we always find, that he encreases in superstition; as may particularly be observed of gamesters and failors, who, though of all mankind, the least capable of ferious meditation, abound most in frivolous and fuperstitious apprehensions. The gods, says Coriora-NUS in DIONYSIUS*, have an influence in every affair; but above all, in war; where the event is fo uncertain. All human life, especially before the institution of order and good government, being subject to fortuitous accidents; it is natural, that superstition should prevail every where in barbarous ages, and put men on the most earnest enquiry concerning those invisible powers, who dispose of their happiness or misery. Ignorant of astronomy and the anatomy of plants and animals, and too little curious to observe the admirable adjustment of final causes; they remain still unacquainted with a first and fupreme creator, and with that infinitely perfect spirit, who alone, by his almighty will, bestowed order on the whole frame of nature. Such a magnificent idea is too big for their narrow conceptions, which can neither ob-

YEAR A.S.

ferve the beauty of the work, nor comprehend the grandeur of its author. They suppose their deities, however potent and invisible, to be nothing but a species of human creatures, perhaps raised from among mankind, and retaining all human passions and appetites, together with corporeal limbs and organs. Such limited beings, tho masters of human sate, being, each of them, incapable of extending his influence every where, must be vastly multiplied, in order to answer that variety of events, which happen over the whole sace of nature. Thus every place is stored with a crowd of local deities; and thus idolatry has prevailed, and still prevails, among the greatest part of uninstructed mankind*.

Any of the human affections may lead us into the notion of invisible, intelligent power; hope as well as fear, gratitude as well as affliction: But if we examine our own hearts, or observe what passes around us, we shall find, that men are much oftner thrown on their knees by the melancholy than by the agreeable passions. Prosperity is easily received as our due, and few questions are asked concerning its cause or author. It begets cheerfulness and activity and alacrity and a lively enjoyment of every social and sensual pleasure: And during this state of mind, men have little leisure or inclination to think of the unknown invisible regions. On the other hand, every disastrous accident alarms us, and set us on

* The following lines of EURIPIDES are so much to the present purpose, that I cannot forbear quoting them:

Ουκ ες τν αδεν σεις ου, ατ ευδοξία, Ουτ' αν καλως σε ασσύθα μη σε αξέτν κακως. Φυρασι δ'αυθ'οι Φεοι σαλιν τε και σε οσω, Τα εαγμον εντιθενίες, ως αγνωσια Σεδωμεν αυθες.

HECUBA.

[&]quot;There is nothing secure in the world; no glory, no prosperity. The sounds goods to sall life into confusion; mix every thing with its reverse; that all of us, from our ignorance and uncertainty, may pay them the mose worship and reverence."

enquiries

enquiries concerning the principles whence it arose: Apprehensions spring up with regard to suturity: And the mind, sunk into dissidence, terror, and melancholy, has recourse to every method of appeasing those secret intelligent powers, on whom our fortune is supposed entirely to depend.

No topic is more usual with all popular divines than to display the advantages of affliction, in bringing men to a due sense of religion; by subduing their considence and sensuality, which in times of prosperity, make them forgetful of a divine providence. Nor is this topic confined merely to modern religions. The ancients have also employed it. Fortune has never liberally, without envy, says a Greek historian*, bestowed an unmixed happiness on mankind; but with all her gifts has ever conjoined same disastrous circumstance, in order to chastize men into a reverence for the gods, whom, in a continued course of prosperity, they are apt to neglect and forget.

What age or period of life is the most addicted to superstition? The weakest and most timid. What sex? The same answer must be given. The leaders and examples of every kind of superstition, says STRABO; are the women. These excite the men to devotion and supplications, and the observance of religious days. It is rare to meet with one that lives apart from the semales, and yet is addicted to such practices. And nothing can, for this reason, be more improbable, than the account given of an order of men among the Getes, who practifed celibacy, and were notwithstanding the most religious fanatics. A method of reasoning, which would lead us to entertain a bad idea of the devotion of monks; did we not know by an experience, not so common, perhaps, in Strabo's days, that one may practice celibacy, and profess chassity; and yet maintain the closest

^{*} Drop, Sic. lib. iii. + Lib. vii.

connexions and most entire sympathy with that timorous and pious sex.

SECT. IV. Deities not confidered as creators or formers of the world.

The only point of theology, in which we shall find a confent of mankind almost universal, is, that there is invisible, intelligent power in the world: But whether this power be supreme or subordinate, whether confined to one being, or diffributed among feveral, what attributes, qualities, connexions or principles of action ought to be ascribed to those beings; concerning all these points, there is the widest difference in the popular systems of theology. Our ancestors in EUROPE, before the revival of letters, believed, as we do at prefent, that there was one supreme God, the author of nature, whose power, though in itself uncontroulable, was yet often exerted by the interpolition of his angels and subordinate ministers, who executed his facred purposes. also believed, that all nature was full of other invisible powers; fairies, goblins, elves, fprights; beings, ftronger and mightier than men, but much inferior to the celestial natures, who furround the throne of God. Now, suppose, that any one in those ages, had denied the existence. of God and of his angels; would not his impiety juftly have deserved the appellation of atheism, even though he had still allowed, by some odd capricious reasoning, that the popular stories of elves and fairies were just and wellgrounded? The difference, on the one hand, between fuch a person and a genuine theist is infinitely greater than that, on the other, between him and one that abfolutely excludes all invisible intelligent power. And it is a fallacy, merely from the casual resemblance of names, interior without

without any conformity of meaning, to rank fuch oppofite opinions under the same denomination.

To any one, who considers justly of the matter, it will appear, that the gods of all polytheists or idolators are no better than the elves or fairies of our ancestors, and merit as little any pious worship or veneration. These pretended religionists are really a kind of superstitious atheists, and acknowlege no being, that corresponds to our idea of a deity. No first principle of mind or thought: No supreme government and administration: No divine contrivance or intention in the fabric of the world.

The CHINESE, when * their prayers are not answered, beat their idols. The deities of the LAPLANDERS are any large stone which they meet with of an extraordinary shape †. The EGYPTIAN mythologists, in order to account for animal worship, said, that the gods, pursued by the violence of earth-born men, who were their enemies, had formerly been obliged to disguise themselves under the semblance of beasts. The CAUNII, a nation in the Lesser Asia, resolving to admit no strange gods among them, regularly at certain seasons, assembled themselves compleatly armed, beat the air with their lances, and proceeded in that manner to their frontiers; in order, as they said, to expel the foreign deities | Not even the immortal gods, said some German nations to Cæsar, are a match for the Suevis.

Many ills, fays DIONE in HOMER to VENUS wounded by DIOMEDE, many ills, my daughter, have the gods inflicted on men: And many ills, in return, have men

^{*} Pere le Comte. † Regnard, Vosage de Laponie.

[†] Diod. Sic. lib.i. Lucian. de Sacrificiis. Ovid alludes to the fame tradition, Metam. lib. v. l. 321. So also Manilius, lib. iv.

Herodot. lib. i. § Caf. Comment. de bell. Gallico, lib. iv.

inflicted on the gods *. We need but open any classic author to meet with these gross representations of the deities; and LONGINUS + with reason observes, that fuch ideas of the divine nature, if literally taken, contain a true atheism.

Some writers I have been furprized, that the impietics of ARISTOPHANES should have been tolerated, nay publicly acted and applauded by the ATHENIANS; a people fo fuperstitious and fo jealous of the public religion, that, at that very time, they put Socrates to death for his imagined incredulity. But these writers consider not. that the ludicrous, familiar images, under which the gods are represented by that comic poet, instead of appearing impious, were the genuine lights, in which the ancients conceived their divinities. What conduct can be more criminal or mean, than that of JUPITER in the AMPHITRYON? Yet that play, which represented hisgallant exploits, was supposed so agreeable to him, that it was always acted in Rome by public authority, when the state was threatened with pestilence, famine, or any general calamity . The Romans supposed, that, like all old letchers, he would be highly pleased with the rehearfal of his former feats of vigour, and that no topic was fo proper, upon which to flatter his pride and vanity.

The LACEDEMONIANS, fays XENOPHON S, always, during war, put up their petitions very early in the morning, in order to be beforehand with their enemies, and by being the first sollicitors, pre-engage the gods in their favour. We may gather from SENECA +, that it was usual for the votaries in the temples, to make interest with the beadles or fextons, that they might have

^{*} Lib. ix. 382. + Cap. ix.

¹ Pere Brumoy, Theatre des Grecs; & Fontenelle, Histoire des Oracles. Arnob. lib. vii. & De Laced, Rep. 4 Epift. xli.

a feat near the image of the deity, in order to be the best heard in their prayers and applications to him. The TYRIANS, when besieged by ALEXANDER, threw chains on the statue of HERCULES, to prevent that deity from deferting to the enemy *. Augustus, having twice lost his fleet by storms, forbad NEPTUNE to be carried in procession along with the other gods; and fancied, that he had sufficiently revenged himself by that expedient †. After GERMANICUS's death, the people were so enraged at their gods, that they stoned them in their temples; and openly renounced all allegiance to them 1.

To ascribe the origin and fabric of the universe to these imperfect beings never enters into the imagination of any polytheist or idolater. HESIOD, whose writings, with those of Homer, contained the canonical system of ther heathens | ; HESIOD, I fay, supposes gods and men to have fprung equally from the unknown powers of nature &. And through the whole theogony of that author, PANDORA is the only instance of creation or a voluntary production; and she too was formed by the gods merely from despight to PROMETHEUS, who had furnished men with stolen fire from the celestial regions 4. The ancient mythologists, indeed, feem throughout to have rather embraced the idea of generation than that of creation or formation; and to have thence accounted for the origin of this universe.

Ovid, who lived in a learned age, and had been instructed by philosophers in the principles of a divine creation or formation of the world; finding, that fuch an

^{*} Quint. Curtius, lib. iv. cap. 3. Diod. Sic. lib. xvii.

⁺ Suet. in vita Aug. cap. 16. 1 Id. in vita Cal. cap. c.

Herodot. lib. ii. Lucian. Jupiter confutatus, de luctu Saturn. &c.

Ως ομοθεν γεγαασι θεοι δυνίοι τ' ανθρωποι. Hel. Opera & Dies, 1. 108.

¹ Theog. 1. 570.

idea would not agree with the popular mythology, which he delivers, leaves it, in a manner, loofe and detached from his system. Quisquis fuit ille Deorum *? Which-ever of the gods it was, says he, that dissipated the chaos, and introduced order into the universe. It could neither be SATURN, he knew, nor JUPITER, nor NEP-TUNE, nor any of the received deities of paganism. His theological fystem had taught him nothing upon that head, and he leaves the matter equally undetermined.

Diodorus Siculust, beginning his work with an enumeration of the most reasonable opinions concerning the origin of the world, makes no mention of a deity or intelligent mind; though it is evident from his history, that that author had a much greater proneness to fuperstition than to irreligion. And in another passage 1, talking of the ICHTHYOPHAGES, a nation in INDIA, he fays, that there being fo great difficulty in accounting for their descent, we must conclude them to be aborigines. without any beginning of their generation, propagating their race from all eternity; as some of the physiologers, in treating of the origin of nature, have justly observed. But in such subjects as these," adds the historian, which exceed all human capacity, it may well happen,

that those, who discourse the most, know the least;

reaching a specious appearance of truth in their rea-

fonings, while extremely wide of the real truth and

" matter of fact."

A strange sentiment in our eyes, to be embraced by a professed and zealous religionist | ! But it was merely

^{*} Metamorph, lib. i. 1. 32. + Lib. i. 1 Id. ibid.

The fame author, who can thus account for the origin of the world without a Deity, effeems it impious to explain from physical causes, the common accidents of life, earthquakes, inundations, and tempelts; and devoutly ascribes these to the anger of JUPITER or NEPTUNE. A plain proof, whence he derived his ideas of religion. See lib. xv. p. 364. edit. RHODOMANNI.

434 The NATURAL HISTORY of RELIGION.

by accident, that the question concerning the origin of the world did ever in antient times enter into religious systems, or was treated of by theologers. The philosophers alone made profession of delivering systems of this nature; and it was pretty late too before these bethought themselves of having recourse to a mind or supreme intelligence, as the first cause of all. So far was it from being esteemed profane in those days to account for the origin of things without a deity, that Thales, Anaximenes, Heraclitus, and others, who embraced that system of cosmogony, past unquestioned; while Anaxagoras, the first undoubted theist among the philosophers, was perhaps the first that ever was accused of atheism *.

We are told by SEXTUS EMPIRICUS+, that EPICU-RUS, when a boy, reading with his preceptor these verses of HESIOD,

Eldest of beings, chaos first arose; Next earth, wide-stretch'd, the feat of all.

the young scholar first betrayed his inquisitive genius, by asking, And chaos whence? But was told by his preceptor, that he must have recourse to the philosophers for a so-

^{*} It will be eafy to give a reason, why Thales, Anaximander, and those early philosophers, who really were atheists, might be very orthodox in the pagan creed; and why Anaxagoras and Socrates, though real theists, must naturally in antient times, be esteemed impious. The blind, anguided powers of nature, if they could produce men, might also produce such beings as Jupiter and Neptune, who being the most powerful, intelligent existences in the world, would be proper objects of worship. But where a supreme intelligence, the first cause of all is admitted, these capricious beings, if they exist at all, must appear very subordinate and dependent, and consequently be excluded from the rank of deities. Plato (de leg. lib.x.) assigns this reason of the imputation thrown on Anaxagoras, his denying the divinity of the stars, planets, and other created objects.

[†] Adversus MATHEM. lib. ix.

lution of fuch questions. And from this hint, Errcu-Rus left philology and all other studies, in order to betake himself to that science, whence alone he expected satisfaction with regard to these sublime subjects.

The common people were never likely to push their researches so far, or derive from reasoning their systems of religion; when philologers and mythologists, we see, scarce ever discovered so much penetration. Even the philosophers, who discoursed of such topics, readily assented to the grossest theory, and admitted the joint origin of gods and men from night and chaos; from fire, water, air; or whatever they established to be the ruling element.

Nor was it only on their first origin, that the gods were supposed dependent on the powers of nature. Through the whole period of their existence they were subjected to the dominion of fate or destiny. Think of the force of necessity, says Agrippa to the Roman people, that force, to which even the gods must submit +. And the Younger Plint; agreeable to this way of reasoning, tells us, that, amidst the darkness, horror and consusion which ensued upon the first eruption of Vesuvius, several concluded, that all nature was going to wrack, and that gods and men were perishing in one common ruin.

It is great complaifance, indeed, if we dignify with the name of religion such an imperfect system of theology, and put it on a level with latter systems, which are founded on principles more just and more sublime. For my part, I can scarce allow the principles even of MARCUS AURELIUS, PLUTARCH, and some other Stoics and Academics, though infinitely more refined than the pagan superstition, to be worthy of the honourable deno-

† DIONYS. HALIC. lib. vi. ‡ Epift.

436 The NATURAL HISTORY of Religion?

mination of theism. For if the mythology of the heathens refemble the antient European system of spiritual beings, excluding God and angels, and leaving only fairies and sprights; the creed of these philosophers may justly be said to exclude a deity, and to leave only angels and fairies.

SECT. V. Various Forms of Polytheism: Allegory, Hero-Worship.

But it is chiefly our present business to consider the gross polytheism and idolatry of the vulgar, and to trace all its various appearances, in the principles of human nature, whence they are derived.

Whoever learns, by argument, the existence of invifible intelligent power, must reason from the admirable contrivance of natural objects, and must suppose the world to be the workmanship of that divine being, the original cause of all things. But the vulgar polytheist, fo far from admitting that idea, deifies every part of the universe, and conceives all the conspicuous productions of nature, to be themselves so many real divinities. fun, moon, and stars, are all gods according to his fystem: Fountains are inhabited by nymphs, and trees by hamadryads: Even monkies, dogs, cats, and other animals often become facred in his eyes, and strike him with a religious veneration. And thus, however strong men's propenfity to believe invisible, intelligent power in nature, their propenfity is equally strong to rest their attention on fensible, visible objects; and in order to reconcile these opposite inclinations, they are led to unite the invisible power with some visible object.

The diffribution also of distinct provinces to the several deities is apt to cause some allegory, both physical

and moral, to enter into the vulgar fystems of polytheism. The god of war will naturally be represented as furious, cruel, and impetuous: The god of poetry as elegant, polite, and amiable: The god of merchandize, especially in early times, as thievish and deceitful. The allegories, supposed in Homer and other mythologists, I allow, have been often fo strained, that men of sense are apt entirely to reject them, and to confider them as the production merely of the fancy and conceit of critics and commentators. But that allegory really has place in the heathen mythology is undeniable even on the least re-CUPID the fon of VENUS; the Muses the daughters of Memory; PROMETHEUS, the wife brother, and EPIMETHEUS the foolish; HYGIEIA or the goddess of health descended from Æsculapius or the god of physic: Who sees not, in these, and in many other instances, the plain traces of allegory? When a god is supposed to preside over any passion, event, or system of ections, it is almost unavoidable to give him a genealogy, attributes, and adventures, fuitable to his supposed powers and influence; and to carry on that fimilitude and comparison, which is naturally so agreeable to the mind of man.

Allegories, indeed, entirely perfect, we ought not to expect as the products of ignorance and superstition; there being no work of genius, that requires a nicer hand, or has been more rarely executed with success. That Fear and Terror are the sons of MARS is just; but why by Venus*? That Harmony is the daughter of Venus is regular; but why by MARS †? That Sleep is the brother of Death is suitable; but why describe him as enamoured of one of the Graces‡? And since the antient mythologists fall into mistakes so gross and obvious,

^{*} HESIOD. Theog. 1. 935. † Id. ibid. & PLUT. in vita PELOP.

I ILIAD. xiv. 257.

we have no reason surely to expect such refined and longfpun allegories, as some have endeavoured to deduce from their fictions +.

The deities of the vulgar are so little superior to human creatures, that where men are affected with strong fentiments of veneration or gratitude for any hero or public benefactor, nothing can be more natural than to convert him into a god, and fill the heavens, after this manner, with continual recruits from among mankind. Most of the divinities of the antient world are supposed to have once been men, and to have been beholden for their apotheosis to the admiration and affection of the people. The real hiftory of their adventures, corrupted by tradition, and elevated by the marvellous, became a plentiful fource of fable; especially in passing through the hands of poets, allegorists, and priefts, who fuccesfively improved upon the wonder and aftonishment of the ignorant multitude.

Painters too and sculptors came in for their share of profit in the facred mysteries; and furnishing men with fensible representations of their divinities, whom they cloathed in human figures, gave great encrease to the public devotion, and determined its object. It was probably for want of these arts in rude and barbarous ages, that men deified plants, animals, and even brute, unorganized matter; and rather than be without a fenfible object of worship, affixed divinity to fuch ungainly forms. Could any statuary of Syria, in early times, have formed a just

figure

⁺ LUCRETIUS was plainly feduced by the strong appearance of allegory, which is observable in the pagan fictions. He first addresses himself to VENUS as to that generating power, which animates, renews and beautifies the universe: But is foon betrayed by the mythology into incoherencies, while he prays to that allegorical personage to appease the suries of her jover Mars: An idea not drawn from allegory, but from the popular religion, and which Lucretius, as an Epicurean, could not confishently admit of.

figure of APOLLO, the conic stone, HELIOGABALUS, had never become the object of such profound adoration, and been received as a representation of the solar deity +.

STILPO was banished by the council of AREOPAGUS, for affirming that the MINERVA in the citadel was no divinity; but the workmanship of PHIDIAS, the sculptor. What degree of reason might we expect in the religious belief of the vulgar among other nations; when ATHENIANS and AREOPAGITES could entertain such gross conceptions?

These then are the general principles of polytheism, founded in human nature, and little or nothing dependent on caprice and accident. As the causes, which bestow on us happiness or misery, are, in general, very little known and very uncertain, our anxious concern endeavours to attain a determinate idea of them; and finds no better expedient than to represent them as intelligent, voluntary agents, like ourselves; only somewhat superior in power and wisdom. The limited influence of these agents, and their great proximity to human weakness, introduce the various distribution and division of their authority; and thereby give rife to allegory. fame principles naturally deify mortals, superior in power, courage, or understanding, and produce hero-worship; together with fabulous history and mythological tradition, in all its wild and unaccountable forms. And as an invisible spiritual intelligence is an object too refined for vulgar apprehension, men naturally affix it to some senfible representation; fuch as either the more conspicuous

[†] HERODIAN. lib. v. JUPITER AMMON is represented by CURTIUS as a deity of the same kind, lib. iv. cap. 7. The ARABIANS and PESSIMUNTIANS adored also shapeless unformed stones as their deity. ARNOB. lib. vi. So much did their folly exceed that of the EGYPTIANS.

T Drog. LAERT. lib. ii.

440 The NATURAL HISTORY of RELIGION.

parts of nature, or the statues, images, and pictures, which a more refined age forms of its divinities.

Almost all idolaters, of whatever age or country, concur in these general principles and conceptions; and even the particular characters and provinces, which they assign to their deities, are not extremely different. The Greek and Roman travellers and conquerors, without much difficulty, found their own deities every where; and said, This is Mercury, that Venus; this Mars, that Neptune; by whatever titles the strange gods might be denominated. The goddess Hertha of our Saxon ancestors seems to be no other, according to Tacitus; than the Mater Tellus of the Romans; and his conjecture was evidently just.

SECT. VI. Origin of Theism from Polytheism.

The doctrine of one supreme deity, the author of nature, is very ancient, has spread itself over great and populous nations, and among them has been embraced by all ranks and conditions of persons: But whoever thinks that it has owed its success to the prevalent force of those invincible reasons, on which it is undoubtedly sounded, would shew himself little acquainted with the ignorance and stupidity of the people, and their incurable prejudices in savour of their particular superstitions. Even at this day, and in Europe, ask any of the vulgar, why he believes in an omnipotent creator of the world; he will never mention the beauty of final causes, of which he is wholly ignorant: He will not hold out his hand, and bid you contemplate the supplemess and variety of joints in his singers, their bending all one way, the

^{*} See CESAR of the religion of the GAULS, De bello Gallico, lib. vi-

counterpoise which they receive from the thumb, the softness and slessly parts of the inside of his hand, with all the other circumstances, which render that member sit for the use, to which it was destined. To these he has been long accustomed; and he beholds them with listlessiness and unconcern. He will tell you of the sudden and unexpected death of such a one: The fall and bruise of such another: The excessive drought of this season: The cold and rains of another. These he ascribes to the immediate operation of providence: And such events, as, with good reasoners, are the chief difficulties in admitting a supreme intelligence, are with him the sole arguments for it.

Many theifts, even the most zealous and refined, have denied a particular providence, and have afferted, that the Sovereign mind or first principle of all things having fixed general laws, by which nature is governed, gives free and uninterrupted course to these laws, and disturbs not, at every turn, the fettled order of events by particular volitions. From the beautiful connexion, fay they, and rigid observance of established rules, we draw the chief argument for theifm; and from the fame principles are enabled to answer the principal objections against it. But so little is this understood by the generality of mankind, that, wherever they observe any one to ascribe all events to natural causes, and to remove the particular interpolition of a deity, they are apt to suspect him of the groffest infidelity. A little philosophy, says lord BACON, makes men atheifts: A great deal reconciles them to religion. For men, being taught, by superffitious prejudices, to lay the stress on a wrong place; when that fails them, and they discover, by a little reflection, that the course of nature is regular and uniform, their whole faith totters, and falls to ruin. But being taught. by more reflection, that this very regularity and uniformity is the strongest proof of a design and of a supreme intelligence, they return to that belief, which they had deserted; and they are now able to establish it on a sirmer and more durable soundation.

Convultions in nature, diforders, prodigies, miracles, tho' the most opposite to the plan of a wife superintendent, impress mankind with the strongest sentiments of religion; the causes of events seeming then the most unknown and unaccountable. Madness, sur, rage, and an instanced imagination, tho' they sink men nearest the level of beasts, are, for a like reason, often supposed to be the only dispositions, in which we can have any immediate communication with the Deity.

We may conclude, therefore, upon the whole, that fince the vulgar, in nations, which have embraced the doctrine of theifm, still build it upon irrational and superstitious opinions, they are never led into that opinion by any process of argument, but by a certain train of thinking, more suitable to their genius and capacity.

It may readily happen, in an idolatrous nation, that, tho' men admit the existence of several limited deities, yet may there be some one God, whom, in a particular manner, they make the object of their worship and adoration. They may either suppose, that, in the distribution of power and territory among the gods, their nation was subjected to the jurisdiction of that particular deity; or reducing heavenly objects to the model of things below, they may represent one god as the prince or supreme magistrate of the rest, who, tho' of the same nature, rules them with an authority, like that which an earthly fovereign exercises over his subjects and vassals. Whether this god, therefore, be confidered as their peculiar patron, or as the general fovereign of heaven, his votaries will endeayour, by every art, to infinuate themselves into his fayour; and supposing him to be pleased, like themselves, with praise and flattery, there is no eulogy or exaggera-

tion, which will be spared in their addresses to him. In proportion as men's fears or distresses become more urgent, they still invent new strains of adulation; and even he who out-does his predecessors in swelling up the titles of his divinity, is fure to be out-done by his fuccessors in newer and more pompous epithets of praise. Thus they proceed; till at last they arrive at infinity itfelf, beyond which there is no farther progress: And it is well, if, in striving to get farther, and to represent a magnificent fimplicity, they run not into inexplicable mystery, and destroy the intelligent nature of their deity, on which alone any rational worship or adoration can be founded. While they confine themselves to the notion of a perfect being, the creator of the world, they coincide, by chance, with the principles of reason and true philosophy; tho' they are guided to that notion, not by reason, of which they are in a great measure incapable but by the adulation and fears of the most vulgar superflition.

We often find, among barbarous nations, and even fometimes among civilized, that, when every strain of slattery has been exhausted towards arbitrary princes, when every human quality has been applauded to the utmost; their service courtiers represent them, at last, as real divinities, and point them out to the people as objects of adoration. How much more natural, therefore, is it, that a limited deity, who at first is supposed only the immediate author of the particular goods and ills in life, should in the end be represented as sovereign maker and modifier of the universe?

Even where this notion of a supreme deity is already established; tho it ought naturally to lessen every other worship, and abase every object of reverence, yet if a nation has entertained the opinion of a subordinate tute-far divinity, saint, or angel; their addresses to that being

gradually rife upon them, and encroach on the adoration due to their supreme deity. The Virgin Mary, ere checked by the reformation, had proceeded, from being merely a good woman, to usurp many attributes of the Almighty †: God and St. NICHOLAS go hand in hand, in all the prayers and petitions of the Muscovires.

Thus the deity, who, from love, converted himself into a bull, in order to carry off EUROPA; and who, from ambition, dethroned his father, SATURN, became the OPTIMUS MAXIMUS of the heathens. Thus, the God of ABRAHAM, ISAAC, and JACOB, became the fupreme deity or Jehovah of the Jews.

Rather than relinquish this propensity to adulation, religionists, in all ages, have involved themselves in the greatest absurdities and contradictions.

Homer, in one passage, calls Oceanus and Terrys the original parents of all things, conformable to the established mythology and tradition of the GREEKS: Yet, in other passages, he could not forbear complimenting JUPITER, the reigning deity, with that magnificent appellation; and accordingly denominates him the father of gods and men. He forgets, that every temple, every ffreet was full of the ancestors, uncles, brothers and fisters of this JUPITER; who was in reality nothing but an upftart parricide and usurper. A like contradiction is

The JACOBINS, who denied the immaculate conception, have ever been very unhappy in their doctrine, even tho' political reasons have kept the ROMISH church from condemning it. The CORDELIERS have run away with all the popularity. But in the fifteenth century, as we learn from Boulainvilliers, an Italian Cordelier maintained, that during the three days, when Christ was interred, the hypostatic union was diffolved, and that his human nature was not a proper object of adoration, during that period. Without the art of divination, one might foretel, that fo grofs and impious a blafphemy would not fail to be anathematized by the people. It was the occasion of great insults on the part of the JACOBINS; who now got some recompence for their misfortunes in the war about the immaculate conception. See Histoire abregée, pag. 499.

oh-

observable in Heston; and is so much the less excusable, as his professed intention was to deliver a true genealogy of the gods.

Were there a religion (and we may suspect Mahometanism of this inconsistence) which sometimes painted the Deity in the most sublime colours, as the creator of heaven and earth; sometimes degraded him nearly to a level with human creatures in his powers and faculties; while at the same time it ascribed to him suitable infirmities, passions, and partialities of the moral kind: That religion, after it was extinct, would also be cited as an instance of those contradictions, which arise from the gross, vulgar, natural conceptions of mankind, opposed to their continual propensity towards slattery and exaggeration. Nothing indeed would prove more strongly the divine origin of any religion, than to find (and happily this is the case with Christianity) that it is free from a contradiction, so incident to human nature.

SECT. VII. Confirmation of this Doctrine.

It appears certain, that, tho' the original notions of the vulgar represent the Divinity as a very limited being, and confider him only as the particular cause of health or fickness; plenty or want; prosperity or adversity; yet when more magnificent ideas are urged upon them, they esteem it dangerous to refuse their assent. Will you fay, that your deity is finite and bounded in his perfections; may be overcome by a greater force; is subject to human passions, pains, and infirmities; has a beginning, and may have an end? This they dare not affirm; but thinking it fafest to comply with the higher encomiums, they endeavour, by an affected ravishment and devotion, to ingratiate themselves with him. As a confirmation of this, 8

446 The NATURAL HISTORY of RELIGIONS

this, we may observe, that the affent of the vulgar is, in this case, merely verbal, and that they are incapable of conceiving those sublime qualities which they seemingly attribute to the Deity. Their real idea of him, notwithstanding their pompous language, is still as poor and frivolous as ever.

That original intelligence, fay the MAGIANS, who is the first principle of all things, discovers himself immediately to the mind and understanding alone; but has placed the fun as his image in the visible universe; and when that bright luminary diffuses its beams over the earth and the firmament, it is a faint copy of the glory. which refides in the higher heavens. If you would escape the displeasure of this divine being, you must be careful never to fet your bare foot upon the ground, nor fpit into a fire, nor throw any water upon it, even tho' it were confuming a whole city +. Who can express the perfections of the Almighty? fay the Mahometans. Even the noblest of his works, if compared to him, are but dust and rubbish. How much more must human conception fall short of his infinite perfections? His smile and favour renders men for ever happy; and to obtain it for your children, the best method is to cut off from them, while infants, a little bit of skin, about half the breadth of a farthing. Take two bits of cloth t, fav the Roman catholics, about an inch or an inch and an half square, join them by the corners with two strings or pieces of tape about fixteen inches long, throw this over your head, and make one of the bits of cloth lie upon your breaft, the other upon your back, keeping them next your skin, there is not a better secret for recommending yourfelf to that infinite Being, who exists from eternity to eternity.

[†] HYDE de Relig. veterum PERSARUM.

¹ Called the Scapulaire.

The Getes, commonly called immortal, from their fleady belief of the foul's immortality, were genuine theifts and unitarians. They affirmed Zamolkis, their deity, to be the only true god; and afferted the worship of all other nations to be addressed to mere fictions and chimeras. But were their religious principles any more refined, on account of these magnificent pretensions? Every fifth year they facrificed a human victim, whom they sent as a messenger to their deity, in order to inform him of their wants and necessities. And when it thundered, they were so provoked, that, in order to return the defiance, they let sly arrows at him, and declined not the combat as unequal. Such at least is the account, which Herodotus gives of the theism of the immortal Getes.

SECT. VIII. Flux and reflux of polytheism and theism.

It is remarkable, that the principles of religion have a kind of flux and reflux in the human mind, and that men have a natural tendency to rife from idolatry to theifm, and to fink again from theifm into idolatry. vulgar, that is, indeed, all mankind, a few excepted, being ignorant and uninftructed, never elevate their contemplation to the heavens, or penetrate by their difquifitions into the fecret structure of vegetable or animal bodies; so as to discover a supreme mind, or original providence, which bestowed order on every part of nature. They consider these admirable works in a more confined and felfish view; and finding their own happiness and misery to depend on the secret influence and unforeseen concurrence of external objects, they regard, with perpetual attention, the unknown causes, which govern all these natural events, and distribute pleasure and

pain, good and ill, by their powerful, but filent, ope-The unknown causes are still appealed to, on every emergence; and in this general appearance or confused image, are the perpetual objects of human hopes and fears, wishes and apprehensions. By degrees the active imagination of men, uneasy in this abstract conception of objects, about which it is incessantly employed, begins to render them more particular, and to clothe them in shapes more suitable to its natural comprehension. It represents them to be fensible, intelligent beings, like mankind; actuated by love and hatred, and flexible by gifts and entreaties, by prayers and facri-Hence the origin of religion: And hence the origin of idolatry or polytheism.

But the fame anxious concern for happiness, which begets the idea of these invisible, intelligent powers, allows not mankind to remain long in the first simple conception of them; as powerful, but limited beings; masters of human fate, but slaves to destiny and the course of nature. Men's exaggerated praises and compliments still swell their idea upon them; and elevating their deities to the utmost bounds of persection, at last beget the attributes of unity and infinity, fimplicity and spirituality. Such refined ideas, being somewhat disproportioned to vulgar comprehension, remain not long in the original purity; but require to be supported by the notion of inferior mediators or fubordinate agents, who interpofe between mankind and their supreme deity. These demigods or middle beings, partaking more of human nature, and being more familiar to us, become the chief objects of devotion, and gradually recall that idolatry which had been formerly banished by the ardent prayers and panegyrics of timorous and indigent mortals. But as these idolatrous religions fall every day into grosser and more vulgar conceptions, they at last destroy themselves,

and, by the vile representations, which they form of their deities, make the tide turn again towards theism. But so great is the propensity, in this alternate revolution of human fentiments, to return back to idolatry, that the utmost precaution is not able effectually to prevent it. And of this, some theists, particularly the Jews and MAHOMETANS, have been fensible; as appears by their banishing all the arts of statuary and painting, and not allowing the representations, even of human figures, to be taken by marble or colours; lest the common infirmity of mankind should thence produce idolatry. The feeble apprehensions of men cannot be satisfied with conceiving their deity as a pure spirit and perfect intelligence; and yet their natural terrors keep them from imputing to him the least shadow of limitation and imperfection. They fluctuate between these opposite sentiments. The same infirmity still drags them downwards, from an omnipotent and spiritual deity, to a limited and corporeal one, and from a corporeal and limited deity to a statue or vifible representation. The same endeavour at elevation fill pushes them upwards, from the statue or material image to the invisible power; and from the invisible power to an infinitely perfect deity, the creator and fovereign of the universe.

SECT. IX. Comparison of these Religions, with regard to Persecution and Toleration.

Polytheism or idolatrous worship, being sounded entirely in vulgar traditions, is liable to this great inconvenience, that any practice, or opinion, however barbarous or corrupted, may be authorized by it; and full scope is lest for knavery to impose on credulity, till morals and humanity be expelled from the religious systems of mankind. At the same time, idolatry is attended with

Vol. II. G g this

this evident advantage, that, by limiting the powers and functions of its deities, it naturally admits the gods of other fects and nations to a share of divinity, and renders all the various deities, as well as rites, ceremonies, or traditions, compatible with each other +. is opposite both in its advantages and disadvantages. As that fystem supposes one sole deity, the perfection of reafon and goodness, it should, if justly prosecuted, banish every thing frivolous, unreasonable, or inhuman from religious worship, and set before men the most illustrious example, as well as the most commanding motives of justice and benevolence. These mighty advantages are not indeed over-balanced, (for that is not possible) but fomewhat diminished, by inconveniencies, which arise from the vices and prejudices of mankind. While one fole object of devotion is acknowledged, the worldip of other deities is regarded as abfurd and impious. Nav. this unity of object feems naturally to require the unity of faith and ceremonies, and furnishes deligning men with a pretence for representing their adversaries as profane, and the objects of divine as well as human vengeance. For as each fect is positive that its own faith and worship are entirely acceptable to the deity, and as no one can conceive, that the fame being should be pleased with different and opposite rites and principles; the feveral fects fall naturally into animolity, and mutually discharge on each other, that sacred zeal and ran-

[†] Verrius Flaccus, tited by Pliny, lib. xxviii. cap. 2. affirmed, that it was usual for the Romans, before they laid siege to any town, to invocate the tutelary deity of the place, and by promising him equal or greater honours than those he at present enjoyed, bribe him to betray his old friends and votaries. The name of the tutelar deity of Rome was for this reason kept a most religious mystery; lest the enemies of the republic should be able, in the same manner, to draw him over to their fervice. For without the name, they thought, nothing of that kind could be practiced. Pliny says, that the common form of invocation was preserved to his time in the ritual of the pontifs. And Macrobius has transmitted a copy of it from the secret things of Sammonicus Serenus,

cour, the most furious and implacable of all human paf-

The tolerating spirit of idolaters both in antient and modern times, is very obvious to any one, who is the least conversant in the writings of historians or travellers. When the oracle of DELPHI was asked, what rites or worship was most acceptable to the gods? Those legally established in each city, replied the oracle *. priefts, in those ages, could, it seems, allow salvation to those of a different communion. The ROMANS commonly adopted the gods of the conquered people, and never disputed the attributes of those topical and national deities, in whose territories they resided. The religious wars and perfecutions of the EGYPTIAN idolaters are indeed an exception to this rule; but are accounted for by antient authors from reasons very fingular and remark-Different species of animals were the deities of the different sects among the EGYPTIANS; and the deities, being in continual war, engaged their votaries in the fame contention. The worshippers of dogs could not long remain in peace with the adorers of cats or wolves †. But where that reason took not place, the EGYPTIAN fuperstition was not so incompatible as is commonly imagined; fince we learn from HERODOTUS ‡, that very large contributions were given by AMASIS towards rebuilding the temple of DELPHI.

The intolerance of almost all religions, which have maintained the unity of God, is as remarkable as the contrary principle of Polytheists. The implacable, narrow spirit of the Jews is well known. Mahometanism set out with still more bloody principles; and even to this day, deals out damnation, though not fire and fag-

^{*} Xenoph. Memor. lib. ii. † Plutarch. de Isid. & Ofiride.

I Lib. ii. fub fine.

got, to all other fects. And if, amongst CHRISTIANS, the English and Dutch have embraced the principles of tolcration, this fingularity has proceeded from the fleady resolution of the civil magistrate, in opposition to the continued efforts of priests and bigots.

The disciples of Zeroaster shut the doors of heaven against all but the MAGIANS*. Nothing could more obstruct the progress of the Persian conquests, than the furious zeal of that nation against the temples and images of the GREEKS. And after the overthrow of that empire, we find ALEXANDER, as a polytheist, immediately re-establishing the worship of the BABYLONI-ANS, which their former princes, as monotheifts, had carefully abolished +. Even the blind and devoted attachment of that conqueror to the GREEK superstition hindered not but he himself facrificed according to the BABYLONISH rites and ceremonies 1.

So fociable is polytheifm, that the utmost fierceness and aversion, which it meets with in an opposite religion, is scarce able to disgust it, and keep it at a distance. Au-GUSTUS praised extremely the reserve of his grandson, CAIUS CÆSAR, when passing by JERUSALEM, he deigned not to facrifice according to the Jewish law. for what reason did Augustus so much approve of this conduct? Only because that religion was by the PA-GANS effeemed ignoble and barbarous §.

I may venture to affirm, that few corruptions of idolatry and polytheism are more pernicious to political society than this corruption of theifm |, when carried to the utmost height. The human facrifices of the CAR-

^{*} Hyde de Relig. vet. Persarum.

⁺ Arrian. de Exped. lib. iii. Id. lib. vii.

I Id. ibid. Sueton. in vita Aug. c. 93.

[¥] Corruptio optimi pessima.

THAGINIANS, MEXICANS, and many barbarous nations †, scarce exceed the inquisition and persecutions of Rome and Madrid. For besides, that the effusion of blood may not be so great in the former case as in the latter; besides this, I say, the human victims, being chosen by lot, or by some exterior signs, affect not, in so considerable a degree, the rest of the society. Whereas virtue, knowlege, love of liberty, are the qualities, which call down the fatal vengeance of inquisitors; and when expelled, leave the society in the most shameful ignorance, corruption, and bondage. The illegal murder of one man by a tyrant is more pernicious than the death of a thousand by pestilence, famine, or any undistinguishing calamity.

In the temple of DIANA at ARICIA near ROME, whoever murdered the prefent priest, was legally inticled to be installed his successor; A very singular institution: For, however barbarous and bloody the common superstitions often are to the laity, they usually turn to the advantage of the holy order.

SECT. X. With regard to courage or abasement.

From the comparison of theisin and idolatry, we may form some other observations, which will also confirm

I Strabo, lib. v. Sueton. in vita Cal.

the

[†] Most nations have fallen into this guilt; though perhaps, that impieus supersition has never prevailed very much in any civilized nation, unless we except the Carthaginians. For the Tyrians soon abolished it. A facrifice is conceived as a present; and any present is delivered to the deity by destroying it, and rendering it useless to men; by burning what is solid, pouring out the liquid, and killing the animate. For want of a better way of doing him service, we do ourselves an injury; and sancy that we thereby express, at least, the heartiness of our good-will and adoration. Thus our mercenary devotion deceives ourselves, and imagines it deceives the deity.

the vulgar observation, that the corruption of the best things gives rise to the worst.

Where the deity is represented as infinitely superior to mankind, this belief though altogether just, is apt, when joined with superstitious terrors, to fink the human mind into the lowest submission and abasement, and to represent the monkish virtues of mortification, penance, humility and passive suffering, as the only qualities which are acceptable to him. But where the gods are conceived to be only a little superior to mankind, and to have been, many of them, advanced from that inserior rank, we are more at our ease in our addresses to them, and may even, without profaneness, aspire sometimes to a rivalship and emulation of them. Hence activity, spirit, courage, magnanimity, love of liberty, and all the virtues, which aggrandize a people.

The heroes in paganism correspond exactly to the saints in popery and holy dervises in Mahometanism. The place of Hercules, Theseus, Hector, Romulus, is now supplied by Dominic, Francis, Anthony, and Benedict. And instead of the destruction of monsters, the subduing tyrants, the desence of our native country; celestial honours are obtained by whippings and fastings, by cowardice and humility, by abject submission and slavish obedience.

One great incitement to the pious Alexander in his warlike expeditions was his rivalfhip of Hercules and Bacchus, whom he justly pretended to have excelled †. Brasidas, that generous and noble Spartan, after falling in battle, had heroic honours paid him by the inhabitants of Amphipolis, whose defence he had embraced ‡. In general, all founders of states and colonies amongst the Greeks were raised to this inferior rank

of divinity, by those who reaped the benefit of their labours.

This gave rife to the observation of Machiavel †, that the doctrines of the Christian religion (meaning the catholic; for he knew no other) which recommend only passive courage and suffering, had subdued the spirit of mankind, and had sitted them for slavery and subjection. This observation would certainly be just, were there not many other circumstances in human society, which controul the genius and character of a religion.

BRASIDAS seized a mouse, and being bit by it, let it go. There is nothing so contemptible, says he, but what may be safe, if it has but courage to defend itself . Bellar-mine, patiently and humbly allowed the sleas and other odious vermin to prey upon him. We shall have heaven, says he, to reward us for our sufferings: But these poor creatures have nothing but the enjoyment of the present life §. Such difference is there between the maxims of a Greek hero and a Catholic saint.

SECT. XI. With regard to reason or absurdity.

Here is another observation to the same purpose, and a new proof that the corruption of the best things begets the worst. If we examine, without prejudice, the antient heathen mythology, as contained in the poets, we shall not discover in it any such monstrous absurdity, as we may be apt at first to apprehend. Where is the disficulty of conceiving, that the same powers or principles, whatever they were, which formed this visible world, men and animals, produced also a species of intelligent

⁺ Discorsi, lib. vi. ‡ Plut. Apopth.

[§] Bayle, Atticle BELLARMINE.

creatures, of more refined substance and greater authority than the rest? That these creatures may be capricious, revengeful, passionate, voluptuous, is easily conceived; nor is any circumstance more apt, among ourselves, to engender such vices, than the licence of absolute authority. And in short, the whole mythological system is so natural, that, in the vast variety of planets and worlds, contained in this universe, it seems more than probable, that somewhere or other, it is really carried into execution.

The chief objection to it with regard to this planet, is, that it is not ascertained by any just reason, or authority. The antient tradition, insisted on by the heathen priests and theologers, is but a weak foundation; and transmitted also such a number of contradictory reports, supported, all of them, by equal authority, that it became absolutely impossible to six a preference amongst them. A few volumes, therefore, must contain all the polemical writings of pagan priests. Their whole theology must consist more of traditional stories and superstitious practices than of philosophical argument and controversy.

But where theism forms the fundamental principle of any popular religion, that tenet is so conformable to sound reason, that philosophy is apt to incorporate itself with such a system of theology. And if the other dogmas of that system be contained in a sacred book, such as the Alcoran, or be determined by any visible authority, like that of the Roman pontif, speculative reasoners naturally carry on their assent, and embrace a theory, which has been instilled into them by their earliest education, and which also possesses forme degree of consistence and uniformity. But as these appearances are sure, all of them, to prove deceitful, philosophy will soon find her-

felf very unequally yoaked with her new affociate; and instead of regulating each principle, as they advance together, she is at every turn perverted to serve the purposes of superstition. For besides the unavoidable incoherences, which must be reconciled and adjusted; one may safely affirm, that all popular theology, especially the scholastic, has a kind of appetite for absurdity and contradiction. If that theology went not beyond reason and common sense, her doctrines would appear too easy and samiliar. Amazement must of necessity be raised: Mystery affected: Darkness and obscurity sought after: And a soundation of merit afforded the devout votaries, who desire an opportunity of subduing their rebellious reason, by the belief of the most unintelligible sophisms.

Ecclesiastical history sufficiently confirms these reflections. When a controversy is started, some people pretend always with certainty to foretell the issue. Which ever opinion, say they, is most contrary to plain sense is sure to prevail; even where the general interest of the system requires not that decision. Though the reproach of heresy may, for some time, be bandied about among the disputants, it always rests at last on the side of reason. Any one, it is pretended, that has but learning enough of this kind to know the definition of ARIAN, PELAGIAN, ERASTIAN, SOCINIAN, SABELLIAN, EUTYCHIAN, NESTORIAN, MONOTHELITE, &c. not to mention PROTESTANT, whose sate is yet uncertain, will be convinced of the truth of this observation. 'Tis thus a system becomes more absurd in the end, merely from its being reasonable and philosophical in the beginning.

To oppose the torrent of scholastic religion by such feeble maxims as these, that it is impossible for the same to be and not to be, that the whole is greater than a part, that two and three make five; is pretending to stop the occan with a bull-rush. Will you set up profane reason against sacred mystery? No punishment is great enough

enough for your impiety. And the same fires, which were kindled for heretics, will ferve also for the destruction of philosophers.

SECT. XII. With regard to Doubt or Conviction.

We meet every day with people fo sceptical with regard to history, that they affert it impossible for any nation ever to believe fuch abfurd principles as those of GREEK and EGYPTIAN paganism; and at the same time fo dogmatical with regard to religion, that they think the fame abfurdities are to be found in no other communions. CAMBYSES entertained like prejudices; and very impiously ridiculed, and even wounded, APIS, the great god of the EGYPTIANS, who appeared to his profane fenses nothing but a large spotted bull. HERODOTUS † judiciously ascribes this fally of passion to a real madness or disorder, of the brain: Otherwise, says the historian, he never would have openly affronted any established worship. For on that head, continues he, every nation are best satisfied with their own, and think they have the advantage over every other nation.

It must be allowed, that the ROMAN Catholics are a very learned fect; and that no one communion, but that of the Church of ENGLAND, can dispute their being the most learned of all the Christian churches: Yet AVER-ROES, the famous ARABIAN, who, no doubt had heard of the EGYPTIAN fuperstitions, declares, that of all religions, the most absurd and non-sensical is that, whose votaries eat, after having created their deity.

I believe, indeed, that there is no tenet in all paganism, which would give so fair a scope to ridicule as this of the real presence: For it is so absurd, that it eludes the force of almost all argument. There are even some pleasant stories of that kind, which, though somewhat prosane, are commonly told by the Catholics themselves. One day, a priest, it is said, gave inadvertently, instead of the sacrament, a counter, which had by accident sallen among the holy wasers. The communicant waited patiently for some time, expecting it would dissolve on his tongue: but sinding that it still remained entire, he took it off. I wish, cried he to the priest, you have not committed some missake: I wish you have not given me God the Father: He is so hard and tough there is no swallowing bim.

A famous general, at that time in the MUSCOVITE fervice, having come to PARIS for the recovery of his wounds, brought along with him a young Turk, whom he had taken prisoner. Some of the doctors of the Son-BONNE (who are altogether as politive as the derviles of Constantinople) thinking it a pity, that the poor TURK should be damned for want of instruction, solicited Mustapha very hard to turn Christian, and promifed him, for his encouragement, plenty of good wine in this world, and paradife in the next. These allurements were too powerful to be relifted; and therefore, having been well instructed and catechized, he at last agreed to receive the facrament of baptism and the Lord's fupper. The priest, however, to make every thing sure and folid, still continued his instructions; and began his catechism the next day with the usual question, How many Gods are there? None at all, replies BENEDICT; for that was his new name. How! None at all! cries the prieft. To be fure, faid the honest proselyte. You have told me all along that there is but one God: And yesterday I eat him.

Such are the doctrines of our brethren, the Catholics. But to these doctrines we are so accustomed, that we never wonder at them: Though, in a suture age, it will

probably become difficult to persuade some nations, that any human two-legged creature, could ever embrace such principles. And it is a thousand to one, but these nations themselves shall have something sull as absurd in their own creed, to which they will give a most implicite and most religious affent.

I lodged once at PARIS, in the same hotel with an embassador from Tunis, who, having passed some years at LONDON, was returning home that way. One day I observed his Moorish excellency diverting himself under the porch, with furveying the splendid equipages that drove along; when there chanced to pass that way some Capucin friars, who had never feen a TURK; as he, on his part, though accustomed to the European dreffes. had never feen the grotesque figure of a -Capucin : And there is no expressing the mutual admiration, with which they inspired each other. Had the chaplain of the embassy entered into a dispute with these FRANCISCANS, their reciprocal surprize had been of the same nature. And thus all mankind stand staring at one another; and there is no beating it out of their heads, that the turban of the African is not just as good or as bad a fashion as the cowl of the EUROPEAN. He is a very honest man, said the prince of SALLEE, speaking of de RUYTER, It is a pity he were a Christian.

How can you worship leeks and onions? we shall suppose a SORBONNIST to say to a priest of SAIS. If we worship them, replies the latter; at least, we do not, at the same time, eat them. But what strange objects of adoration are cats and monkies? says the learned doctor. They are at least as good as the relicts or rotten bones of martyrs, answers his no less learned antagonist. Are you not mad, insists the Catholic, to cut one another's throat about the preference of a cabbage or a cucumber? Yes, says the pagan; I allow it, if you will confess,

that all those are still madder, who fight about the preference among volumes of sophistry, ten thousand of which are not equal in value to one cabbage or cucumber +.

Every by-stander will easily judge (but unfortunately the by-standers are very few) that, if nothing were requisite to establish any popular system, but the exposing the absurdaties of other systems, every votary of every superstition could give a sufficient reason for his blind and bigotted attachment to the principles, in which he has been educated. But without so extensive a knowlege, on which to ground this assurance, (and perhaps, better without it) there is not wanting a sufficient stock of religious zeal and faith among mankind. DIODORUS SICULUS t gives a remarkable instance to this purpose, of which he was himself an eye-witness. While EGYPT lay under the greatest terror of the ROMAN name, a legionary soldier having inadvertently been guilty of the facrilegious impiety of killing a cat, the whole people

† It is ftrange that the EGYPTIAN religion though fo abfurd, should yet have borne fo great a resemblance to the TEWISH, that antient writers even of the greatest genius were not able to observe any difference betwixt them. For it is very remarkable that both TACITUS and SURTONIUS, when they mention that decree of the fenate, under TIBERIUS, by which the EGYPTIAN and JEWISH profelytes were banished from ROME, exprefly treat these religious as the same; and it appears, that even the decree itself was founded on that supposition. " Actum & de facris ÆGYPTIIS, " JUDAICISQUE pellendis; factumque patrum consultum, ut quatuor mil-" lia libertini generis ea superstitione infecta, qu'is idonea ætas, in insulam "Sardiniam veherentur, coercendis illic latrociniis; & fi ob gravitatem cœli " interiffent, wile damnum : Ceteri cederent ITALIA, nifi certam ante diem " profanos ritus exuissent." TACIT. ann. lib. ii. c. 85. " Externas cæ-" remonias, ÆGYPTIOS, JUDAICOSQUE ritus compescuit; coactus qui tt superstitione ea tenebantur, religiosas vestes cum instrumento omni com-"busere, &c." Sulton. Tiber. c. 36. These wise heathens, observing something in the general air, and genius, and spirit of the two religions to be the same, esteemed the differences of their dogmas too frivolous to deserve any attention.

rose upon him with the utmost sury; and all the efforts of the prince were not able to save him. The senate and people of Rome, I am persuaded, would not, then, have been so delicate with regard to their national deities. They very frankly, a little after that time, voted Augustus a place in the celestial mansions; and would have dethroned every god in heaven, for his sake, had he seemed to desire it. Presens divus habebitur Augustus, says Horace. That is a very important point: And in other nations and other ages, the same circumstance has not been esteemed altogether indifferent;

Notwithstanding the sanctity of our holy religion, says Tully 1, no crime is more common with us than facrilege: But was it ever heard of, that an ÆGYPTIAN violated the temple of a cat, an ibis, or a crocodile? There is no torture, an ÆGYPTIAN would not undergo, says the same author in another place ||, rather than injure an ibis, an aspic, a cat, a dog, or a crocodile. Thus it is strictly true, what DRYDEN observes,

- " Of whatfoe'er descent their godhead be,
- " Stock, stone, or other homely pedigree,
- 46 In his defence his servants are as bold,
- As if he had been born of beaten gold.

ABSALOM and ACHITOPHEL.

Nay, the baser the materials are, of which the divinity is composed, the greater devotion is he likely to excite in the breasts of his deluded votaries. They exult in

† When Louis the XIVth took on himself the protection of the Jesuits college of CLERMONT, the society ordered the king's arms to be put up over their gate, and took down the cross, in order to make way for it; Which gave occasion to the following epigram:

Sustulit hine Christi, posuitque infignio Regie a Impia gens, alium nescit habere Deum.

1 De nat, Deor, l. ic

Tufc. Quæft. lib. v.

their shame, and make a merit with their deity, in braving, for his sake, all the ridicule and contumely of his enemies. Ten thousand *Crusaders* inlist themselves under the holy banners, and even openly triumph in those parts of their religion, which their adversaries regard as the most reproachful.

There occurs, I own, a difficulty in the EGYPTIAN fystem of theology; as indeed, few fystems are entirely free from difficulties. It is evident, from their method of propagation, that a couple of cats, in fifty years, would stock a whole kingdom; and if that religious veneration were still paid them, it would in twenty more, not only be easier in EGYPT to find a god than a man, which Pr-TRONIUS fays was the case in some parts of ITALY; but the gods must at last entirely starve the men, and leave themselves neither priests nor votaries remaining. probable, therefore, that that wife nation, the most celebrated in antiquity for prudence and found policy, forefeeing fuch dangerous consequences, reserved all their worship for the full-grown divinities, and used the freedom to drown the holy spawn or little sucking gods, without any scruple or remorfe. Thus the practice of warping the tenets of religion, in order to ferve temporal interests, is not, by any means, to be regarded as an invention of these latter ages.

The learned, philosophical VARRO, discoursing of religion, pretends not to deliver any thing beyond probabilities and appearances: Such was his good sense and moderation! But the passionate, the zeasous Augustin, insults the noble ROMAN on his scepticism and referve, and professes the most thorough belief and assurance *. A heathen poet, however, contemporary with the saint, absurdly esteems the religious system of the lat-

^{*} De sivitate Dei, lib. iii. c. 17.

464 The NATURAL HISTORY of RELIGION.

ter so false, that even the credulity of children, he says, could not engage them to believe it *.

Is it strange, when mistakes are so common, to find every one positive and dogmatical? And that the zeal often rises in proportion to the error? Moverunt, says SPARTIAN, & ea tempestate, Judai bellum quod vetabantar mutilare genitalia +.

If ever there was a nation or a time, in which the public religion lost all authority over mankind, we might expect, that infidelity in Rome, during the Ciceronean age, would openly have erected its throne, and that Cicero himself, in every speech and action, would have been its most declared abettor. But it appears, that, whatever sceptical liberties that great man might use, in his writings or in philosophical conversation; he yet avoided, in the common conduct of life, the imputation of deism and profaneness. Even in his own family, and to his wife Terentia, whom he highly trusted, he was willing to appear a devout religionist; and there remains a letter, addressed to her, in which he seriously desires her to offer facrifice to Apollo and Æsculapius, in gratitude for the recovery of his health ‡.

Pompey's devotion was much more fincere: In all his conduct, during the civil wars, he paid a great regard to auguries, dreams, and prophefies ||. Augustus was tainted with superstition of every kind. As it is reported of Milton, that his poetical genius never flowed with ease and abundance in the spring; so Augustus observed, that his own genius for dreaming never was so perfect, during that season, nor was so much to be relied on, as during the rest of the year. That great and able emperor

^{*} Claudii Rutilii Numitiani iter, lib. i. l. 386.

[†] In vita Adriani. ‡ Lib. 14. epist. 7.

^{||} Cicero de divin. lib. ii. c. 24.

was also extremely uneasy when he happened to change his shoes, and put the right foot shoe on the lest foot. In short, it cannot be doubted, but the votaries of the established superstition of antiquity were as numerous in every state, as those of the modern religion are at present. Its influence was as universal; tho' it was not so great. As many people gave their assent to it; tho' that assent was not seemingly so strong, precise, and affirmative.

We may observe, that, notwithstanding the dogmatical, imperious ftyle of all fuperstition, the conviction of the religionists, in all ages, is more affected than real, and scarce ever approaches, in any degree, to that folid belief and perfuafion, which governs us in the common affairs of life. Men dare not avow, even to their own hearts, the doubts, which they entertain on fuch fubjects: They make a merit of implicit faith; and difguise to themselves their real insidelity, by the strongest affeverations and most positive bigotry. But nature is too hard for all their endeavours, and suffers not the obscure, glimmering light, afforded in those shadowy regions, to equal the strong impressions, made by common sense and by experience. The usual course of men's conduct belies their words, and shows, that the affent in these matters is some unaccountable operation of the mind between disbelief and conviction, but approaching much nearer the former than the latter.

Since, therefore, the mind of man appears of so loose and unsteady a contexture, that, even at present, when so many persons find an interest in continually employing on it the chiffel and the hammer, yet are they not able to engrave the ological tenets with any lasting impression; how much more must this have been the case in antient

† Sucton. Aug. cap. 90, 91, 92. Plin. iib. ii. cap. 7.

times, when the retainers to the holy function were formuch fewer in comparison? No wonder, that the appearances were then very inconsistent, and that men, on some occasions, might seem determined infidels, and enemies to the established religion, without being so in reality; or at least, without knowing their own minds in that particular.

Another cause, which rendered the antient religions much looser than the modern, is, that the sormer were traditional and the latter are scriptural; and the tradition in the former was complex, contradictory, and, on many occasions, doubtful; so that it could not possibly be reduced to any standard and canon, or afford any determinate articles of faith. The stories of the gods were numberless like the popish legends; and the every one, almost, believed a part of these stories, yet no one could believe or know the whole: While, at the fame time, all must have acknowleded, that no one part stood on a better foundation than the rest. The traditions of different cities and nations were also, on many occasions, directly opposite; and no reason could be assigned for preferring one to the other. And as there was an infinite number of stories with regard to which tradition was nowife positive; the gradation was insensible, from the most fundamental articles of saith, to those loose and precarious fictions. The pagan religion, therefore, feemed to vanish like a cloud, whenever one approached to it, and examined it piecemeal. It could never be afcertained by any fixed dogmas and principles. And tho' this did not convert the generality of mankind from so absurd a faith; for when will the people be reasonable? yet it made them faulter and hefitate more in main taining their principles, and was even apt to produce, in certain difpolitions of mind, fome practices and opinions, which had the appearance of determined infidelity.

To which we may add, that the fables of the pagan religion were, of themselves, light, easy, and familiar; without devils or seas of brimstone, or any objects, that could much terrify the imagination. Who could forbear smiling, when he thought of the loves of MARS and VENUS, or the amorous srolies of JUPITER and PAN? In this respect, it was a true peotical religion; if it had not rather too much levity for the graver kinds of poetry. We find that it has been adopted by modern bards; nor have these talked with greater freedom and irreverence of the gods, whom they regarded as sictions, than the antients did of the real objects of their devotion.

The inference is by no means just, that because a fystem of religion has made no deep impression on the minds of a people, it must therefore have been positively rejected by all men of common fense, and that opposite principles, in spite of the prejudices of education, were generally established by argument and reasoning. I know not, but a contrary inference may be more probable. The less importunate and assuming any species of superstition appears, the less will it provoke men's spleen and indignation, or engage them into enquiries concerning its foundation and origin. This in the mean time is obvious, that the empire of all religious faith over the understanding is wavering and uncertain, subject to all varieties of humour, and dependent on the present incidents, which strike the imagination. The difference is only in the degrees. An antient will place a stroke of impiety and one of superstition alternately, thro' a whole discourse +: A modern often thinks in the same way, tho' he may be more guarded in his expressions.

LUCIAN

[†] Witness this remarkable passage of TACITUS: "Præter multiplices rerum human-rum casus, colo terraque prodigia, & fulminum monitus, &

[&]quot; futurorum prælagia, læta, tristia, ambigua, manisesta. Nec enim unquam

[&]quot; atrocioribus populi Romani cladibus, magisque justis judiciis approbatum
H h 2

468 The NATURAL HISTORY of RELIGION.

LUCIAN tells us exptefly*, that whoever believed not the most ridiculous sables of paganism was esteemed by the people profane and impious. To what purpose, indeed, would that agreeable author have employed the whole force of his wit and satire against the national religion, had not the religion been generally believed by his countrymen and contemporaries?

Livy + acknowledges as frankly, as any divine would at present, the common incredulity of his age; but then he condemns it as severely. And who can imagine, that a national superstition, which could delude so great a man, would not also impose on the generality of the people!

The Stoics bestowed many magnificent and even impious epithets on their sage; that he alone was rich, free, a king, and equal to the immortal gods. They forgot to add, that he was not inferior in prudence and understanding to an old woman. For surely nothing can be more pitiful than the sentiments, which that sect entertained with regard to all popular superstitions; while they very seriously agree with the common augurs, that, when a raven croaks from the left, it is a good omen; but a bad one, when a rook makes a noise from the same quarter. Panætius was the only Stoic, amongst the Greeks, who so much as doubted with regard to auguries and divinations. Marcus Antoninus tells us, that he himself had received many admonitions from

[&]quot;eft, non esse cure Diis securitatem nostram, esse ultionem." Hist. lib. i. Augustus's quarrel with Neptune is an instance of the same kind. Had not the emperor believed Neptune to be a real being, and to have dominion over the sea, where had been the soundation of his anger? And if he believed it, what madness to provoke still farther that deity? The same observation may be made upon Quinctillan's exclamation, on account of the death of his children, lib. vi. Præf.

^{*} Philopseudes. † Lib. x, cap. 40.

¹ Cicero de Divin, lib. i, cap. 3. & 7. || Lib. i. § 17.

the gods in his sleep. It is true, EPICTETUS* forbids us to regard the language of rooks and ravens; but it is not, that they do not speak truth: It is only, because they can foretel nothing but the breaking of our neck or the forfeiture of our estate; which are circumstances, says he, that nowise concern us. Thus the Stoics join a philosophical enthusiasm to a religious superstition. The force of their mind, being all turned to the side of morals, unbent itself in that of religion f.

PLATO ‡ introduces Socrates affirming, that the accusation of impiety raised against him was owing entirely to his rejecting such fables, as those of Saturn's castrating his father, Uranus, and Jupiter's dethroning Saturn: Yet in a subsequent dialogue ||, Socrates confesses, that the doctrine of the mortality of the soul was the received opinion of the people. Is there here any contradiction? Yes, surely: But the contradiction is not in Plato; it is in the people, whose religious principles in general are always composed of the most discordant parts; especially in an age, when superstition sate so easy and light upon them §.

The

^{*} Ench. § 17.

[†] The Stoics, I own, were not quite orthodox in the established religion; but one may see, from these instances, that they went a great way; And the people undoubtedly went every length.

[‡] Eutyphro. Phædo.

S XENOPHON'S conduct, as related by himself, is, at once, an incontestable proof of the general credulity of mankind in those ages, and the incoherencies, in all ages, of men's opinions in religious matters. That great captain and philosopher, the disciple of Socrates, and one who has delivered some of the most refined sentiments with regard to a deity, gave all the following marks of vulgar, pagan superstition. By Socrates's advice, he consulted the oracle of Deteri, before he would engage in the expedition of Cyrus. De exped. lib. iii. p. 294. ex edit. Leuncl. Sees a dream the night after the generals were seized; which he pays great regard to, but thinks ambiguous. Id. p. 295. He and the whole army regard specified as a very lucky omen. Id. p. 300. Has another dream, when he

The fame CICERO, who affected, in his own family, to appear a devout religionist, makes no scruple, in a public court of judicature, of treating the doctrine of a future state as a most ridiculous stable, to which no body could give any attention*. SALLUST + represents CE-SAR as speaking the same language in the open senate ‡.

But

comes to the river CENTRITES, which his fellow general CHIROSOFHUS, also pays great regard to. Id. lib. iv. p. 323. The GREEKS suffering from a cold north wind, facrifice to it, and the historian observes, that it immediately abated. Id. p. 329. XENOPHON confults the facrifices in fecret, before he would form any resolution with himself about settling a colony. Lib. v. p. 3:9. He himfelf a very skilful augur. Id. p. 361. Is determined by the victims to refuse the fole command of the army, which was offered him. Lib. vi. p. 273. CLEANDER, the SPARTAN, though very defirous of it, refuses it for the same reason. Id. p. 392. XENOPHON mentions an old dream with the interpretation given him, when he first joined Cynus. p. 373. Mentions also the place of HERCULES's descent into hell as believing it, and fays the marks of it are fill remaining. P. 375. Had almost starved the army rather than lead to the field against the auspices. Id. p. 382, 383. His friend, EUCLIDES, the augur, would not believe that he had brought no money from the expedition; till he (EUCLIDES) facrificed, and then he faw the matter clearly in the Exta. Lib. vii. p. 425. The same philosopher, proposing a project of mines for the increase of the ATHENIAN revenues, advises them first to consult the oracle. De rat. red. p. 392. That all this devotion was not a farce, in order to ferve a political purpose, appears both from the facts themselves, and from the genius of that age, when little or nothing could be gained by hypoerify. Besides, XENOPHON, as appears from his Memorabilia, was a kind of heretie in those times, which no political devotee ever is. It is for the fame reason, I maintain, that NEWTON, LOCKE, CLARKE, &c. being Arians or Socinians, were very fincere in the creed they professed: And I always oppose this argument to some libertines, who will needs have it, that it was impossible but that these great philosophers must have been hypocrites.

* Pro Cluentio. cap. 61. † De bello Catilin.

† CICERO (Tusc. Quæst.) lib. 1. cap. 5, 6. and SENECA (Epist. 24.) as also Juvenal. (Satyr. 2.) maintain that there is no boy or old woman so ridiculous as to believe the poets in their accounts of a future state. Why shen does Lucretius so highly exalt his master for freeing us from these serrors? Perhaps the generality of mankind were then in the disposition of Cerhalus in Plato (de Rep. lib. i.) who while he was young and healthful

But that all these freedoms implied not a total and univerfal infidelity and fcepticism amongst the people, is too apparent to be denied. Though fome parts of the national religion hung loose upon the minds of men, other parts adhered more closely to them: And it was the great business of the sceptical philosophers to show, that there was no more foundation for one than for the This is the artifice of Cotta in the dialogues concerning the nature of the gods. He refutes the whole system of mythology by leading the orthodox, gradually from the more momentous stories, which were believed, to the more frivolous, which every one ridiculed: From the gods to the goddesses; from the goddesses to the nymphs; from the nymphs to the fawns and fatyrs. His mafter CARNEADES, had employed the fame method of reasoning *.

Upon the whole, the greatest and most observable differences between a traditional, mythological religion, and a systematical, scholastical one, are two: The former is often more reasonable, as consisting only of a multitude of stories, which, however groundless, imply no express abfurdity and demonstrative contradiction; and sits also so easy and light on men's minds, that though it may be as universally received, it makes no such deep impression on the affections and understanding.

SECT. XIII. Impious conceptions of the divine nature in most popular religions of both kinds.

The primary religion of mankind arises chiefly from an anxious fear of future events; and what ideas will

healthful could ridicule these stories; but as soon as he became old and infirm, began to entertain apprehensions of their truth. This, we may observe, not to be unusual even at present.

Hh 4

naturally

^{*} Sext. Empir. adverf. Mathem. lib. viii.,

naturally be entertained of invisible, unknown powers, while men lie under dismal apprehensions of any kind, may easily be conceived. Every image of vengeance, severity, cruelty, and malice must occur and must augment the affright and horror, which oppresses the amazed religionist. A panic having once seized the mind, the active fancy still farther multiplies the objects of terror; while that profound darkness, or, what is worse, that glimmering light, with which we are invironed, represents the spectres of divinity under the most dreadful appearances imaginable. And no idea of perverse wickedness can be framed, which those terrified devotees do not readily, without scruple, apply to their deity.

This appears the natural state of religion, when surveyed in one light. But if we consider, on the other hand, that spirit of praise and eulogy, which necessarily has place in all religions, and which is the consequence of these very terrors, we must expect a quite contrary system of theology to prevail. Every virtue, every excellence, must be ascribed to the divinity, and no exaggeration be deemed sufficient to reach those persections, with which he is endowed. Whatever strains of panegyric can be invented, are immediately embraced, without consulting any arguments or phænomena. And it is esteemed a sufficient confirmation of them, that they give us more magnificent ideas of the divine object of our worship and adoration.

Here therefore is a kind of contradiction between the different principles of human nature, which enter into religion. Our natural terrors present the notion of a devilish and malicious deity: Our propensity to praise leads us to acknowledge an excellent and divine. The influence of these opposite principles are various, according to the different situation of the human understanding.

In very barbarous and ignorant nations, such as the Africans and Indians, nay even the Japonese, who can form no extensive ideas of power and knowlege, worship may be paid to a being, whom they confess to be wicked and detestable; though they may be cautious, perhaps, of pronouncing this judgment of him in public, or in his temple, where he may be supposed to hear their reproaches.

Such rude, imperfect ideas of the Divinity adhere long to all idolaters; and it may fafely be affirmed, that the GREEKS themselves never got entirely rid of them. is remarked by XENOPHON*, in praise of SOCRATES. that that philosopher assented not to the vulgar opinion, which supposed the gods to know some things, and be ignorant of others: He maintained that they knew every thing; what was done, faid, or even thought. this was a strain of philosophy + much above the conception of his countrymen, we need not be furprized, if very frankly, in their books and converfation, they blamed the deities, whom they worshipped in their temples. It is observable, that HERODOTUS in particular scruples not, in many passages, to ascribe envy to the gods; a fentiment, of all others, the most suitable to a mean and devilish nature. The pagan hymns, however, fung in public worship, contained nothing but epithets of praise; even while the actions ascribed to the gods were the most barbarous and detestable. When Timo-THEUS, the poet, recited a hymn to DIANA, where he enumerated, with the greatest eulogies, all the actions and attributes of that cruel, capricious goddess: May

^{*} Mem. lib. i.

[†] It was considered among the antients, as a very extraordinary, philosophical paradox, that the presence of the gods was not confined to the heavens, but was extended every where; as we learn from Lucian. Hermostimus five De section.

your daughter, said one present, become fuch as the deity whom you celebrate *.

But as men farther exalt the idea of their divinity; it is often their notion of his power and knowlege only. not of his goodness, which is improved. On the contrary, in proportion to the supposed extent of his science and authority, their terrors naturally augment; while they believe, that no fecrecy can conceal them from his fcrutiny, and that even the inmost recesses of their breast lie open before him. They must then be careful not to form expressly any fentiment of blame and disapprobation. All must be applause, ravishment, extacy. And while their gloomy apprehensions make them ascribe to him measures of conduct, which, in human creatures, would be highly blamed, they must still affect to praise and admire that conduct in the object of their devotional addresses. Thus it may safely be affirmed, that most popular religions are really, in the conception of their more vulgar votaries, a species of dæmonism; and the higher the deity is exalted in power and knowlege, the lower of course is he frequently depressed in goodness and benevolence; whatever epithets of praise may be bestowed on him by his amazed adorers. Amongst idolaters, the words may be false, and belie the secret opinion: But amongst more exalted religionists, the opinion itself often contracts a kind of salsehood, and belies the inward fentiment. The heart fecretly detefts fuch measures of cruel and implacable vengeance; but the judgment dares not but pronounce them perfect and. adorable. And the additional misery of this inward, contest aggravates all the other terrors, by which these unhappy victims to superstition are for ever haunted.

LUCIAN + observes, that a young man, who reads the history of the gods in Homer or Heston, and finds their factions, wars, injustice, incest, adultery, and other im-

^{*} PLHTARCH. de Superflit.

moralities so highly celebrated, is much surprized afterwards, when he comes into the world, to observe, that punishments are by law inflicted on the same actions, which he had been taught to ascribe to superior beings. The contradiction is still perhaps stronger between the representations given us by some latter religions and our natural ideas of generosity, lenity, impartiality, and justice; and in proportion to the multiplied terrors of these religions, the barbarous conceptions of the divinity are multiplied upon us *. Nothing can preserve untainted the

* BACCHUS, a divine being, is represented by the heathen mythology as the inventor of dancing and the theatre. Plays were antiently, even a part of public worship on the most solemn occasions, and often employed in times of pestilence, to appeale the offended deities. But they have been zealously proscribed by the godly in latter ages; and the play-house, according to a learned divine, is the porch of hell.

But in order to show more evidently, that it is possible for a religion to represent the divinity in still a more immoral and unamiable light than the antients, we shall cite a long passage from an author of taste and imagination, who was furely no enemy to Christianity. It is the Chevalier RAM-SAY, a writer, who had fo laudable an inclination to be orthodox, that his reason never found any difficulty, even in the doctrines which free-thinkers scruple the most, the trinity, incarnation, and satisfaction: His humanity alone, of which he seems to have had a great stock, rebelled against the doctrines of eternal reprobation and predestination. He expresses himself thus: 'What strange ideas;' says he, 'would an Indian or Chinese philofopher have of our holy religion, if they judged by the schemes given of ic by our modern free-thinkers, and pharifaical doctors of all fects? According to the odious and too vulgar system of these incredulous scotters and cre. dulous scriblers, "The God of the Jews is a most cruel, unjust, partial, " and fantaffical being. He created, about 6000 years ago, a man and a woman, and placed them in a fine garden of Asia, of which there are " no remains. This garden was furnished with all forts of trees, fountains, " and flowers. He allowed them the use of all the fruits of this beautiful garden, except of one, that was planted in the midft thereof, and that had in it a fecret virtue of preferving them in continual health and vigour of 6 body and mind, of exalting their natural powers and making them wife. "The devil entered into the body of a serpent, and solicited the first woman to eat of this forbidden fruit; she engaged her husband to do the same. To punish this slight curiosity and natural defire of life and knowledge,

476 The NATURAL HISTORY of Religion.

the genuine principles of morals in our judgment of human conduct, but the absolute necessity of these principles

te

"God not only threw our first parents out of paradife, but he condemned 44 all their posterity to temporal misery, and the greatest part of them to eternal pains, though the fouls of these innocent children have no more re-" lation to that of ADAM than to those of NERO and MAHOMET; fince, « according to the scholastic drivellers, fabulists, and mythologists, all souls " are created pure, and infused immediately into mortal bodies, so soon as the fætus is formed. To accomplish the barbarous, partial decree of pre-" destination and reprobation, God abandoned all nations to darkness, idola-" try, and fuperstition, without any saving knowlege or salutary graces; " unless it was one particular nation, whom he chose as his peculiar people. This chosen nation was, however, the most stupid, ungrateful, rebellious, 44 and perfidious of all nations. After God had thus kept the far greater of part of all the human species, during near 4000 years, in a reprobate state, " he changed all of a fudden, and took a fancy for other nations, beside the " JEWS. Then he fent his only begotten Son to the world, under the human form, to appeale his wrath, fatisfy his vindictive justice, and die for " the pardon of fin. Very few nations, however, have heard of this gos-" pel; and all the rest, though left in invincible ignorance, are damned without exception, or any possibility of remission. The greatest part of those who have heard of it, have changed only some speculative notions " about God, and some external forms in worship: For, in other respects, " the bulk of Christians have continued as corrupt as the rest of mankind " in their morals; yea, so much the more perverse and criminal, that their " lights were greater. Unless it be a very small select number, all other 66 Christians, like the pagans, will be for ever damned; the great sacrifice offered up for them will become void and of no effect. God will take delight " for ever in their torments and blasphemies; and tho' he can, by one fiat, " change their hearts, yet they will remain for ever unconverted and unconvertible, because he will be for ever unappeasable and irreconcileable. " It is true that all this makes God odious, a hater of fouls, rather than a " lover of them; a cruel, vindictive tyrant, an impotent or a wrathful daof mon, rather than an all-powerful, beneficent Father of spirits: Yet all " this is a mystery. He has fecret reasons for his conduct, that are impene-" trable; and though he appears unjust and barbarous, yet we must believe "the contrary, because what is injustice, crime, cruelty, and the blackest " malice in us, is in him justice, mercy, and sovereign goodness." Thus the incredulous free-thinkers, the judaizing Christians, and the fatalistic doctors have disfigured and dishonoured the sublime mysteries of our holy faith; thus they have confounded the nature of good and evil; transformed the most monstrous passions into divine attributes, and surpassed the pagans in blasphemy, by ascribing to the eternal nature, as persections,

477

to the existence of society. If common conception can indulge princes in a system of ethics, somewhat different from that which should regulate private persons; how much more those superior beings, whose attributes, views, and nature are so totally unknown to us? Sunt superis sua jura*. The gods have maxims of justice peculiar to themselves.

SECT. XIV. Bad influence of most popular religions on morality.

Here I cannot forbear observing a fact, which may be worth the attention of those, who make human nature the object of their enquiry. It is certain, that, in every religion, however sublime the verbal definition which it gives of its divinity, many of the votaries, perhaps the greatest number, will still seek the divine savour, not by virtue and good morals, which alone can be acceptable to a perfect being, but either by frivolous observances, by intemperate zeal, by rapturous extasses, or by the belief of mysterious or absurd opinions. The least part of the Sadder, as well as of the Pentateuch, consists in precepts of morality; and we may be assured also, that that part was always the least observed and regarded. When the

what makes the most horrid crimes amongst men. The grosser pagans contented themselves with divinizing lust, incest, and adultery; but the predestinarian doctors have divinized cruelty, wrath, sury, vengeance, and all the blackest vices. See the Chevalier Ramsar's philosophical principles of natural and revealed religion, Part ii. p. 401.

The same author asserts, in other places, that the Arminian and Molinist schemes serve very little to mend the matter: And having thus thrown himself out of all received sects of Christianity, he is obliged to advance a system of his own, which is a kind of Origenism, and supposes the pre-existence of the souls both of men and beasts, and the eternal salvation and conversion of all men, beasts, and devils. But this notion, being quite peculiar to himself, we need not treat of. I thought the opinions of this ingenious author very curious; but I pretend not to warrant the justness of them.

^{*} QvID. Metam. lib. ix. 501.

old Romans were attacked with a pestilence, they never ascribed their sufferings to their vices, or dreamed of repentance and amendment. They never thought that they were the general robbers of the world, whose ambition and avarice made desolate the earth, and reduced opulent nations to want and beggary. They only created a dictator*, in order to drive a nail into a door; and by that means, they thought that they had sufficiently appealed their incenfed deity.

In EGINA, one faction entering into a conspiracy, barbaroufly and treacheroufly affaffinated feven hundred of their fellow-citizens; and carried their fury fo far, that, one miserable fugitive having fled to the temple, they cut off his hands, by which he clung to the gates, and carrying him out of holy ground, immediately murdered By this impiety, fays HERODOTUS +, (not by the other many cruel affaffinations) they offended the gods, and contracted an inexpiable guilt.

Nay, if we should suppose, what seldom happens, that a popular religion were found, in which it was exprefly declared, that nothing but morality could gain the divine favour; if an order of priests were instituted to inculcate this opinion, in daily fermons, and with all the arts of persuasion; yet so inveterate are the people's prejudices, that for want of some other superstition, they would make the very attendance on these sermons the esfentials of religion, rather than place them in virtue and good morals. The fublime prologue of ZALEUCUS'S laws t inspired not the LOCRIANS, so far as we can learn, with any founder notions of the measures of acceptance with the deity, than were familiar to the other GREEKS.

^{*} Called Dictator clavis figendæ causa. T. Livii, l. vii. c. 3, 1 To be found in Drop. Sic. lib. xii: † Lib. vi.

This observation, then, holds universally: But still one may be at some loss to account for it. It is not sufficient to observe, that the people, every where, degrade their deities into a similitude with themselves, and consider them merely as a species of human creatures, somewhat more potent and intelligent. This will not remove the difficulty. For there is no man so stupid, as that, judging by his natural reason, he would not esteem virtue and honesty the most valuable qualities, which any person could posses. Why not ascribe the same sentiment to his deity? Why not make all religion, or the chief part of it, to consist in these attainments?

Nor is it fatisfactory to fay, that the practice of morality is more difficult than that of fuperstition; and is therefore rejected. For, not to mention the excessive pennances of the Brachmans and Talapoins; it is certain, that the Rhamadan of the TURKS, during which the poor wretches, for many days, often in the hottest months of the year, and in some of the hottest climates of the world, remain without eating or drinking from the rifing to the fetting of the fun; this Rhamadan, I fay, must be more severe than the practice of any moral duty, even to the most vicious and depraved of mankind. The four lents of the Muscovites, and the austerities of some Roman Catholics, appear more difagreeable than meekness and benevolence. In short, all virtue, when men are reconciled to it by ever so little practice, is agreeable: All fuperstition is for ever odious and burthensome.

Perhaps, the following account may be received as a true folution of the difficulty. The duties which a man performs as a friend or parent, seem merely owing to his benefactor or children; nor can he be wanting to these duties, without breaking through all the ties of nature and morality. A strong inclination may prompt him to the performance: A sentiment of order and moral beauty

joins

480 The NATURAL HISTORY of RELIGION.

joins its force to these natural ties: And the whole man,

if truly virtuous, is drawn to his duty, without any effort or endeavour. Even with regard to the virtues, which are more auftere, and more founded on reflections fuch as public spirit, filial duty, temperance, or integrity; the moral obligation, in our apprehension, removes all pretence to religious merit; and the virtuous conduct is effeemed no more than what we owe to fociety and to ourselves. In all this, a superstitious man finds nothing, which he has properly performed for the fake of his deity, or which can peculiarly recommend him to the divine favour and protection. He considers not, that the most genuine method of serving the divinity is by promoting the happiness of his creatures. He still looks out for some more immediate service of the fupreme Being, in order to allay those terrors, with which he is haunted. And any practice, recommended to him, which either ferves to no purpose in life, or offers the strongest violence to his natural inclinations; that practice he will the more readily embrace, on account of those very circumstances, which should make him absolutely reject it. It feems the more purely religious, because it proceeds from no mixture of any other motive or confideration. And if, for its fake, he facrifices much of his ease and quiet, his claim of merit appears still to rise upon him, in proportion to the zeal and devotion which he discovers. In restoring a loan, or paying a debt, his divinity is nowife beholden to him; because these acts of justice are what he was bound to perform, and what many would have performed, were there no god in the universe. But if he fast a day, or give himself a sound whipping; this has a direct reference, in his opinion, to the service of God. No other motive could engage him to fuch aufterities. By these distinguished marks of devotion, he has now acquired the divine favour; and may expect. 6

expect, in recompence, protection and fafety in this world, and eternal happiness in the next.

Hence the greatest crimes have been found, in many inflances, compatible with a superstitious piety and devotion: Hence it is justly regarded as unsafe to draw any certain inference in favour of a man's morals from the fervour or strictness of his religious exercises, even tho he himself believe them sincere. Nay, it has been obferved, that enormities of the blackest die, have been rather apt to produce superstitious terrors, and encrease the religious passion. Bomilcar, having formed a conspiracy for assassing at once the whole senate of CAR-THAGE, and invading the liberties of his country, loft the opportunity, from a continual regard to omens and prophesies. Those who undertake the most criminal and most dangerous enterprizes are commonly the most superstitious; as an antient historian * remarks on this occasion. devotion and spiritual faith rise with their fears. CA-TILINE was not contented with the established deities, and received rites of the national religion: His anxious terrors made him feek new inventions of this kind +: which he never probably had dreamed of, had he remained a good citizen, and obedient to the laws of his country.

To which we may add, that, even after the commission of crimes, there arise remorfes and secret horrors, which give no rest to the mind, but make it have recourse to religious rites and ceremonies, as expiations of its offences. Whatever weakens or disorders the internal frame promotes the interests of superstition: and nothing is more destructive to them than a manly, steady virtue, which either preserves us from disastrous melancholy accidents, or teaches us to bear them. During

^{*} DIOD. Sic. lib. xv.

[†] CIC. CATIL, i. SALLUST. de bello CATIL, VOL. II. I i

482 The NATURAL HISTORY of RELIGION.

fuch calm funshine of the mind, these spectres of false divinity never make their appearance. On the other hand, while we abandon ourselves to the natural undisciplined fuggestions of our timid and anxious hearts. every kind of barbarity is ascribed to the supreme Being, from the terrors with which we are agitated; and every kind of caprice, from the methods which we embrace in order to appeale him. Barbarity, caprice; these qualities, however nominally difguifed, we may univerfally observe, from the ruling character of the deity in popular religions. Even priefts, inflead of correcting these deprayed ideas of mankind, have often been found ready to foster and encourage them. The more tremendous the divinity is represented, the more tame and submissive do men become to his ministers: And the more unaccountable the measures of acceptance required by him, the more necessary does it become to abandon our natural reason, and yield to their ghostly guidance and direc-Thus it may be allowed, that the artificers of men aggravate our natural infirmities and follies of this kind, but never originally beget them. Their root strikes deeper into the mind, and springs from the essential and universal properties of human nature.

SECT. XV. General Corollary.

Though the stupidity of men, barbarous and uninstructed, be so great, that they may not see a sovereign author in the more obvious works of nature, to which they are so much familiarized; yet it scarce seems possible, that any one of good understanding should reject that idea, when once it is suggested to him. A purpose, an intention, a design is evident in every thing; and when our comprehension is so far enlarged as to contemplate the first rise of this visible system, we must adopt, adopt, with the strongest conviction, the idea of some intelligent cause or author. The uniform maxims too, which prevail throughout the whole frame of the universe, naturally, if not necessarily, lead us to conceive this intelligence as single and undivided, where the prejudices of education oppose not so reasonable a theory. Even the contrarieties of nature, by discovering themselves every where, become proofs of some consistent plan, and establish one single purpose or intention, however inexplicable and incomprehensible.

Good and ill are univerfally intermingled and confounded; happiness and misery, wisdom and folly, virtue and vice. Nothing is pure and entirely of a piece, All advantages are attended with disadvantages. An universal compensation prevails in all conditions of being and existence. And it is scarce possible for us, by our most chimerical wishes, to form the idea of a station or situation altogether desirable. The draughts of life, according to the poet's siction, are always mixed from the vessels on each hand of JUPITER: Or if any cup be presented altogether pure, it is drawn only, as the same poet tells us, from the left-handed vessel.

The more exquisite any good is, of which a small specimen is afforded us, the sharper is the evil, allied to it; and sew exceptions are found to this uniform law of nature. The most sprightly wit borders on madness; the highest effusions of joy produce the deepest melancholy; the most ravishing pleasures are attended with the most cruel lassitude and disgust; the most flattering hopes make way for the severest disappointments. And in general, no course of life has such safety (for happiness is not to be dreamed of) as the temperate and moderate, which maintains, as far as possible, a mediocrity, and a kind of insensibility, in every thing.

484 The NATURAL HISTORY of RELIGION.

As the good, the great, the sublime, the ravishing are found eminently in the genuine principles of theism; it may be expected, from the analogy of nature, that the base, the absurd, the mean, the terrifying will be discovered equally in religious sections and chimeras.

The universal propensity to believe in invisible, intelligent power, if not an original instinct, being at least a general attendant of human nature, may be considered as a kind of mark or stamp, which the divine workman has set upon his work; and nothing surely can more dignify mankind, than to be thus sclected from all the other parts of the universe, and to bear the image or impression of the supreme Creator. But consult this image, as it commonly appears in the popular religions of the world. How is the deity dissigured in our representations of him! What caprice, absurdity, and immorality are attributed to him! How much is he degraded even below the character which we should naturally, in common life, ascribe to a man of sense and virtue!

What a noble privilege is it of human reason to attain the knowledge of the supreme Being; and, from the visible works of nature, be enabled to infer so sublime a principle as its sovereign Author? But turn the reverse of the medal. Survey most nations and most ages. Examine the religious principles, which have, in fact, prevailed in the world. You will scarcely be persuaded, that they are other than sick men's dreams: or perhaps will regard them more as the playsome whimsies of monkeys in human shape, than the serious, positive, dogmatical asseverations of a being, who dignifies himself with the name of rational.

Hear the verbal protestations of all men: Nothing they are so certain of as their religious tenets. Examine their lives: You will scarcely think that they repose the smallest confidence in them.

The greatest and truest zeal gives us no security against hypocrify: The most open impiety is attended with a secret dread and compunction.

No theological abfurdities so glaring as have not, sometimes, been embraced by men of the greatest and most cultivated understanding. No religious precepts so rigorous as have not been adopted by the most voluptuous and most abandoned of men.

Ignorance is the mother of devotion: A maxim that is proverbial, and confirmed by general experience. Look out for a people, entirely void of religion: If you find them at all, be assured, that they are but few degrees removed from brutes.

What so pure as some of the morals, included in some theological systems? What so corrupt as some of the practices, to which these systems give rise?

The comfortable views exhibited by the belief of futurity, are ravishing and delightful. But how quickly vanish, on the appearance of its terrors, which keep a more firm and durable possession of the human mind?

The whole is a riddle, an ænigma, an inexplicable mystery. Doubt, uncertainty, suspence of judgment appear the only result of our most accurate scrutiny, concerning this subject. But such is the frailty of human reason, and such the irresistible contagion of opinion, that even this deliberate doubt could scarce be upheld; did we not enlarge our view, and opposing one species of superstition to another, set them a quarrelling; while we ourselves, during their sury and contention, happily make our escape, into the calm, though obscure, regions of philosophy.

INDEX.

** The Numeral Letters refer to the Volume, and the Figures to the Page.

Α.

BASEMENT, not the natural Consequence of Poly-Abstraction, what, ii. 177. Absurdity, not always the greatest in Polytheism, ii. 455. -, greedily coveted by popular Religions, ii. 456. Academy, French, their Harangues, i. 117. Acheans employed Force in forming their League, i. 498, –, their Number, i. 465. Addison quoted, i. 99, 151, 217. ii. 193. Æschynes quoted, i. 355, 460. Æschynes Socraticus quoted, ii. 391. Ætolians, their Number, i. 465. Agathocles, the Tyrant, his Cruelty, i. 441, 442. Agreeableness, a Source of Merit, ii. 321. ---, to Ourself, ii. 322, 323, &c. -, to Others, ii, 333, 334, &c. Agriculture, how best encouraged, i. 288, 451. Alcoran, its Ethics, i. 255. Alexander the Imposter of Lucian, his Artifice, ii. 134. Alexander the Great, his faying to Parmenio, ii. 323. his Toleration, ii. 452.
his Emulation of Bacchus, ii. 454. Alexandria, its Size, and Numbers of its Inhabitants, i, 472. Allegiance, its Obligation, whence, i. 504. ii. 273. Allegory of Avarice, i. 86. - has naturally place in Polytheism, ii. 436, 437. Anacreon quoted, ii. 406. Analogies, and sometimes slight, have Influence in Jurisprudence, ii, 262, 377. I i 4. Anaxa< Anaxagoras, the first Theist, and the first accused of Atheism, ii. 434.

Ancillarioli, what, ii. 410.

Angels, modern, equivalent to the Deities of the Philosophers, ii. 436.

Animals, their Reason, ii. 117, 118, &c.

Antioch, its Size, i. 472.

Antipater, the Cyreniac, his Saying, i. 198.

APPTAN Alexandrinus quoted, i. 356, 407, 428, 434, 438, 440, 445, 456, 482. ii. 398.

Arnobius quoted, ii. 431, 439.

Argens, Marques de, quoted, i. 212.

ARIOSTO, his Character, i. 258, quoted, i. 100, 146.

ARISTIDES the Sophist quoted, i 467, 486.

Aristocracy, Polish, Venetian, in what respects different, i. 17, 18.

ARISTOPHANES not impious according to the Ideas of Antiquity, ii. 431.

Answers reported in the second

ARISTOTLE quoted, i. 239, 423, 462, 475. ii. 27, 388, 423. Armstrong, Dr. quoted, ii. 387.

ARRIAN quoted, i. 140, 383, 448. ii. 452, 454.

Artaxerxes boafted of Drunkenness, i. 240. Atheism, whether possible, i. 167.

ATHENEUS quoted, i. 459, 460, 462, 487.

ATHENS, i. 105, 285, 355, 444, 459, 460. 462, 498.

Athenians, on what they chiefly valued themselves, ii. 330.

Athenian Man of Merit, ii. 393, 394. &c. Augustine (Saint) his Dogmatism, ii. 463.

Augustus, his impiety mixed with Supersition, ii. 432.

his Superstition, ii. 464.

Augustus, his Age compared with that of Camillus, i. 286.

Aunoi, Madame, quoted, i. 211.

Aurelius, Marcus, his Theism, ii. 435. his Superstition, 468.

Austria, House of, Causes of its Decay, i. 372.

Authority of Teachers, useful to check it, i. 134.

Ъ.

PACON, quoted, i. 51, 101, 236, 294. ii. 145, 288,

Ballance of Power, i. 34, 367, 368.—Of Trade, i. 341, 343.—Of Property, i. 34, 43.

Banks and Paper Credit, whether advantageous, i. 313, 350,

Barbarity, an Attribute of the Deity in popular Religions, ii.

Bar-

Bartoli's Plans of antient Buildings, i. 463. Bayle quoted, ii. 267, 455. Beauty, why the Object of pride, ii. 197. Belief, what, ii. 56, 57, &c. Bellarmine, Cardinal, his Saying, ii. 455. Benevolence, i. 94. difinterested real, ii. 233, 234, &c. its Kinds, 235, a Virtue, 241, from its Utility, 2433 from its Agreeableness, 329. Berkeley, Dr. a real Sceptic, ii. 173, quoted, i. 236. Berne, Canton of, its Treasure, i. 356. Bentivoglio quoted, i. 237. Boccace quoted, i. 200. Boileau quoted, ii. 324. Bolingbroke quoted, i. 28, 40, 67. Bossuet, i. 116. Boulainvilliers, quoted, i. 509. ii. 444. Braudas, his Saying, ii. 455. Brumoy, Pere, quoted, ii. 431.

. C. Æ SAR quoted, i. 228, 434, 481, 482. ii. 430. 448. Cambyfes, his Extravagance, ii. 458. Capitolinus quoted, i. 508. Caprice, an Attribute of the Deity in popular Religions, ii. Carlifle, Earl of, quoted, i. 148. Cartes, des, quoted, i. 271. ii. 85. Carthage, its Size and Number of its Inhabitants, i. 476. Carthaginians, their human Sacrifices, ii. 453. Catholics, Roman, Genius of their Religion, i. 80. - led into Absurdities, ii. 459. CATO de re rustica, quoted, i. 426. Cato of Utica, his Speech to Cæsar, i. 301. Cause and Effect its Idea, whence, ii. 34, 35, &c. Its Definition, 88, 107. Causes moral, how far they contribute to national Characters, i. - Physical, how far, i. 235. Causation, a Reason of Association, ii. 24, 61, 62, &c.

Cavalier Party, i. 65. Cervantes, his Merit, i. 217, quoted, 261. Chance, what, ii. 67. Its Influence in Society, i. 125. Characters, national, i. 223, 224. Charles, the 12th of Sweden, his Character, ii. 330. Chastity, its Merit, whence, ii. 275.

Cheer-

Cheerfulness, its Merit, whence, ii. 321.

China, its Excellence and Defects, i. 134.

Christian Religion sounded in Faith, not in Reason, ii. 145, 146.

Cicero quoted, i. 20, 62, 103, 108, 110, 112, 142, 198, 202, 187, 378, 418, 439, 449, 458, 470, 484. ii. 62, 242, 245, 256, 387, 312, 337, 407, 462, 468, 470, 481.

Circulation its Meaning, i. 386.

City, Reasons which limit the Greatness of every City, i. 475.

Cleanliness, its Merit, whence, ii. 339.

Clergy, why no Friends to Liberty, i. 63.

Cold, greater in antient Times, i. 477, 478.

Colonefi and Orsini, Parties in modern Rome, i. 53.

Columella quoted, i. 339, 412, 417, 421, 427, 428, 479, 480, 485.

Comitia centuriata & tributa, their different Powers, i. 495,

Commerce, its Advantages, i. 284, foreign, its advantages,

Commonwealth perfect, Idea of it, i. 539, 540, &c.

Companionable Qualities, ii. 334.

Comparison, its Effect, i. 91, necessary to forming the Taste, 266.

Comte, Pere le, quoted, ii. 430. Condé, Prince of, a Saying of his, i. 132.

Confucius, his Disciples Deists, i. 80.

Congreve, his Character, i. 213.

Conjunction, frequent, constant, the only Circumstance from which we know Cause and Effect, ii. 81, 85, 94, &c.

Connection necessary, our Idea of it, ii. 71, 72, &c.

Constantine, Emperor, his Innovation, i. 381.

Constitution, British, i. 28, 45, 46, 47.

Contiguity, a Reason of Association, ii. 24, 60.

Contract Original, i. 491, 492, &c.

Conventions, whether the Source of Justice, ii. 374, 375.

Conviction, strongest, but not more general, in Theism, ii. 458, 459.

Corn distributed in Rome, i. 469, 470.

Corneille his Character, i. 219, 25, quoted, ii. 323.

Corpus juris civilis quoted, i. 425, 438.

Courage, how far national, i. 239. ---- its Merit, whence, ii. 325.

Country Party, i. 29, 62, 63.

Court Party, i. 29, 62, 63.

Creation or Formation of the World enters not into the primitive Religion, ii. 429, 430.

Credit public, its Abuses, i. 383, 384.

Cromwel,

Cromwel, a Saying of his, i. 46.
CURTIUS, Quintus, quoted, i. 240, 472. ii. 432, 439.
CUSTOM or Habit the Source of experimental Reasoning, ii. 52.

the great Guide of Life, ii. 54.
Customs, some remarkable ones, i. 401, 402.

D.

ATAMES, the only Barbarian a General, i. 303. Decency, its Merit, whence, ii. 338. Debt, public, its advantages, i. 387.

its Difadvantages, i. 389, 390. Deists united with the Independents, i. 80. Delicacy of passion, how hurtful, i. 3, 4, 5, &c. --- of Taste, how advantageous, i. 3, 4, 5, what it is, 261. whence its Merit, ii. 332. Democracy without a Representative, hurtful, i. 17. Demosthenes his Character, i. 115. quoted, i. 110, 355, 368, 402, 404, 418, 423, 433, 444, 449, 461, 462, 463. ii. 325, 409. Desire, Aversion, ii. 186. Diodorus Siculus his Character, i. 454. ---- Superstitious, yet not a Theist, ii. 433. – quoted, i. 23, 115, 239, 285, 355, 369, 434, 435, 441, 442, 445, 447, 448, 450, 454, 455, 457, 459, 463, 465, 472, 478, 482, 487, 490. ii. 245, 315, 428, 430, 4332 434, 478, 481. Diogenes Laertius quoted, i. 455. ii. 439. Diogenes, the Cynic, his Character, ii. 412, 413. Dion Cassius quoted, i. 338. DIONYSIUS Halicarnassæus quoted, i. 216, 227, 445, 467. ii. 426, 435, Dionysius the Tyrant, his Massacre, i. 441. ——— his Army, i. 285, 457. Discretion, its Merit, whence, ii. 306. Division of Property, useful, i. 436. Domestic Situation of Antients and Moderns, i. 415, 416. Dorians and Ionians, i. 238. Dryden quoted, i. 225, 462. Dubos, Abbe, quoted, i. 244, 347, 477, 485. Duelling, i, 150,"

E.

Egyptians, why Perfecutors, ii. 451.

Egyptian Religion, a Difficulty in it, ii. 463. - and Jewish resembling, ii. 461. Elizabeth, Queen, whether her Resurrection could be proved, ii. 145. Eloquence, i. 107, 108. - English, i. 118. Empires great, destructive, i. 375. Energy, its Idea, ii. 73, 74. English, their national Character, whence, i. 233. Enthusiasm, defended and explained, i. 75, 76, 77. Envy, whence, ii. 208. Epaminondas, his Character, ii. 315. Epictetus, his Idea of Virtue, ii. 389. his Superstition, ii. 469. Epicurus, his Apology, ii. 152, 153. — why he took himfelf to Philosophy, ii. 434. The Epicorean, i. 155. Ergastula, very frequent antiently, i. 417, 429. Euclid treats not of the Beauty of the Circle, i. 188. Euripides quoted, ii. 427. Europe, its Advantages from its Situation, i. 135. Evidence, natural and moral, of the same Kind, ii. 101. Exchange helps to keep the Ballance of Trade, i. 345. Exchange, difficult to know, whether for or against a Nation, i. 341. Exiles in Greece, how numerous, i. 442. EXPERIENCE, Source of all our Reasoning with Regard to Fact, ii. 36, &c. Why we reason from Experience, ii. 40, 41, 99. - Often the same with what we call Reason, ii. 52. Exposing Children, i. 430. approved by Seneca, ibid. F.

Factions, violent and bloody, among the Antients, i. 439.
Fairies, modern, equivalent to the vulgar Deities of Antiquity, ii. 429.
Fame, why defired, ii. 199.
Fenelon, his Ethics, i. 254.
Flattery, its Influence in Religion, ii. 442.
Fletchier, his Character, i. 116.
Florus, quoted, i. 429.
Flux and Reflux of Theism and Polytheism, ii. 447, 448.
Folard, Chevalier, his Column, i. 437.
Fontaine, la, quoted, ii. 410.
FONTENELLE, Censure of his Pastorals, i. 219.

FONTENELLE, quoted, i. 7, 198, 245, 490. ii. 237, 431. French, Man of Merit, ii. 400, 401.

Their first question with Regard to a Stranger, ii. 334. Fregosi and Adorni, natives of Genoa, i. 53.

Frugality, its Merit, whence, ii. 308.

G.

Allantry of Civility, i. 146. I - of Intrigues, ii. 410. Gamesters and Sailors, why superstitious, ii. 426. Gaul, Number of its Inhabitants, i. 482. Gee, Mr. quoted, i. 342. General Rules, their Influence, ii. 205, 275. Genoa, its Government and Bank, i. 25. Getes, immortal, their Faith, ii. 447. Golden Age, not susceptible of Justice, ii. 255. Good Sense, how far essential to Taste, i. 268. Gorgias Leontinus, his Eloquence, i. 115. Greece, its Advantages from its Situation, i. 13; its whole military Force, i. 466. --- Numbers of its Inhabitants, i. 480. Grotius quoted, ii. 375. Guelf and Ghibelline Parties, i. 54. Guicciardin quoted, i. 302. ii. 390. Gustavus Vaza, i. 64.

H.

HANOVER, House of, i. 69, 70. Hardouen, Pere, quoted, i. 469. Harrington, his Oceana, censured, i. 541. -.- quoted, i. 43, 101, 540. Heliogabalus, a conic Stone, ii. 439. Henry IV. of France, his Character, ii. 330. — a Saying of his, i, 399. Henry the IVth and VIIth of England their Title, i. 408. Helvetia, its Inhabitants, i. 483. Hereditary Right, how important, i. 527. Herefy, Appellation refts commonly on the Side of Reason, Examples, ii. 457. Hero-worship, ii. 437. HERODIAN quoted, i. 472, 473, 481, 508. ii. 439. HERODOTUS quoted, i. 23, 448, 459, 466. ii. 326, 430. 432, 447, 451, 458, 473, 478. Hertha, Goddess of the Saxons, ii. 440. Hesion.

Hesion, not a Theist properly speaking, ii. 432. ---- Inconfishency in his Theology, ii. 445. ---- quoted, i. 427. ii. 423, 432, 437, 445. Hiero, King of Syracuse, his Policy, i. 371. Hirtius quoted, i. 484. Homer, his Character, i. 260, his Ethics, i. 255. ii. 327. Unity of his Fable, ii. 31. Inconsistency of his Theology, ii. 444. quoted, ii. 430, 437, 444. Homer and Hefiod, canonical books of ancient Paganism, ii, Honesty the best Policy, ii. 356. Honour, modern, i. 150. Hope and Fear defended, ii. 186, 187. Horace quoted, i. 101, 127, 141, 143, 156, 218, 274, 421, 422, 473, 477. ii. 190, 289, 321, 391, 348, 400, 462. Hortensius de re frumentaria, quoted, i. 470. Hostis, its Signification, in old Latin, i. 287. Human Life, general Idea of it, i. 204. --- Nature, its Dignity, i. 88. Humility, its Causes, ii. 394. Husbandmen, what Proportion they bear to Manufacturers. i. 284. Hutchinson, Mr. quoted, i. 395. Hyde de Religione veterum Persarum, quoted, 446, 452.

J.

TANSENISTS, their Genius, i. 81. ii. 141. Ice, Reports of it not credible to an Indian, ii. 128. ldeas, their Affociation, i. 23, 24, &c. 60. --- their Origin, ii. 15, 16, &c. Idolatry, its Origin from Polytheism, ii. 437. Jesuits, their Refinements, ii. 67. Jews, their national Character, whence, i. 281. - Reason of their Insurrection, ii. 464. Jewish Religion and Egyptian resembling, ii. 461. Ignorance of Causes Origin of Polytheism, ii. 424. Immaculate Conception, a popular Opinion, ii. 444. Immortality of the Soul, on what founded, ii. 158, 159. Impiety of popular Religions, ii. 472, 473. Impressions, what, ii. 16. Impotence and Barrenness, ii 316. Incest, whence its crime, ii. 276. Independents, their Genius, i. 78. Indians juftly incredulous with Regard to Ice, ii. 128. Industry, its Merit, whence, ii. 307. Instructions to Members, i. 34, 35. Interest Interest private, how far the foundation of Government. i. 31, public, ibid. Interest its Lowness, whence, i. 329. useful, 335. Johnson, Ben, his Character, i. 431. Ionians and Dorians, Tribes of Greeks, i. 238. Josephus quoted, i. 472, 486. Joy, Grief, explained, ii. 185. Iphicrates, a Saying of his, ii. 327. ISOCRATES quoted, i. 423, 442, 444. Ireland, factious, i. 441. Irish, their idea of Merit, ii. 327. Italians, Cause of their Effeminacy, i. 303. Italy, ancient and modern, Number of Inhabitants, i. 485. Julian quoted, i. 456. Justice, Source of its Merit, ii. 250. farther explained, 371. Justin quoted, ii. 466, 484. Justinian quoted; i. 152. Tuvenal quoted, i. 141, 237, 428, 477, 485. ii. 242, 470.

Ĺ,

AMPRIDIUS quoted, i. 453.

Laws of the twelve tables, i. 129.

Laws of Justice, whence derived, ii. 259.

of Nature, ii. 273.

Law, Mr. quoted, i. 386.

Louis XIV. Numbers of his Armies, i. 302.

LIBERTY and NECESSITY, a dispute of words, ii. 92, 93.

Liberty, civil, its Advantages, i. 97, 98, &c. 127, 128, 129.

Liberty of the Press, why peculiar to Great Britain, i. 9, 10,

11, 12. its Advantages, i. 12, 13. Lipsius, Justus, quoted, i. 426, 490. Livy, a fincere Religionist, ii. 468, quoted, i. 25, 54, 232, 286, 356, 370, 434, 438, 441, 448, 468. ii. 389, 478. LOCKE, Mr. quoted, i. 101, 510. ii. 21, 67, 75, 85, 192. Longinus quoted, i. 110, 114. ii. 324, 431. Louvestein Party in Holland, i. 64. Love and Hatred, whence derived, ii. 207, Lucan quoted, i. 429. LUCIAN quoted, i. 199, 202, 431, 463, 490. ii. 134, 149, 150, 312, 402, 430, 432, 468, 473, 474. Lucretius, his Character, i. 219, quoted, 141. ii. 142, Luxury, its different Senses, i. 297, its Advantages 299, 300, its Disadvantages, 307, 308. Luxurious Luxurious Ages most happy, i. 299, 300, most virtuous, ibid. Lysias, Genius of his Eloquence, i. 120, quoted, 439, 440, 449, 455, 458, 461, 464. ii. 408.

M.

MACHIAVEL, his Reflection on Christianity, ii. 455. quoted, i. 22, 23, 97, 273, 541. ii. 307, 455. Magians, their Faith, ii. 446. Maillet, Monsieur, his Account of Egypt, quoted, i. 429, 480. Malebranche, quoted, ii. 85, 264. Malice, whence it is derived, ii. 208. Mandeville, Dr. quoted, i. 309. Manilius quoted, ii. 430. Marcellinus, Ammianus, quoted, i. 472. MARTIAL quoted, i. 422, 428, 485. ii. 410. Mary, Virgin, became a Deity among the Catholics, ii. 444. Maffacres, ancient, enumerated from Diodorus Siculus, i. 441. Mathematics, their Foundation, ii. 177. their Advantages, 71. Maurice, Prince of Orange, his Saying, ii. 336. Melon, Monsieur, quoted, i. 284, 317. Memory, its Merit, whence derived, ii. 311. Menander, quoted, i. 225. Merit, personal, how the Object of Pride, ii. 195. – delineated, 341, 342, &c. Metaphysics, what, ii. 7, 8. Milton, the Unity of his Fable, ii. 31. Mine, Thine, ii. 262. MIRACLES, on what their Evidence is founded, ii. 123, 124. - defined, ii. 128. one mentioned by De Retz, 138. Mixture of Affections, ii. 190. Modesty, whence its Merit, ii. 335. Moliere, i. 153. Molinists, their Genius, i. 8t. ii. 140. Monarchy, elective, hereditary, which preferable, i. 19. Monarchy, and Republic, their Advantages and Disadvantages, with regard to the Arts, i. 136, 137, 138. Money, its continued Encrease advantageous, i. 315, 316. --- its Diffusion advantageous, i. 319, 320. Montaigne quoted, ii. 336. Montes Quieu quoted, i. 212, 413, 487. ii. 264. Monumentum Ancyrianum, quoted, i. 470. Morals, their Standard, i. 254. --- not fluctuating, ii. 404, 405. Morality hurt by popular Religions, ii. 477, 478, 479. Moral Causes, have chief Influence on Populousness, i. 415. Motte. Motte, Monsieur la, quoted, i. 85. Muscovites, their Manners, i. 148.

N.

ATURE, State of, described, ii. 255, imaginary, i. 209. Natural, in what Sense Justice natural, ii. 376. Navigation, ancient, how imperfect, i. 450. NECESSITY, its definition, ii. 93, 108. Negroes, their Character, i. 234. Nepos, Cornelius, quoted, i. 422. Neri, and Bianchi, Parties in Florence, i. 53. Newton, Sir Isaac, his Rule of philosophizing, ii. 471. Newton, Locke, Clarke, Arians, and fincere, ii. 470. Nicholas, Saint, became a Deity among the Mulcovites, ii. Nisus, or strong Endeavour, not the Origin of the Idea of power, ii. 78. Northern Nations, their Swarms, no Proof of Populousness, i. 481. Numatianus, Claudius Rutilius, his Contempt of the Jewish, and consequently of the Christian Religion, ii. 464.

0.

DEDIENCE, passive, i. 513, 514, 515.
Obligation, interested, to Virtue, ii. 352, 353.
Olympiodorus quoted, i. 470.
Opinion, the real Foundation of Government, i. 31.
Optimates and Populares, Parties of Rome, i. 62.
Orange, family of, their Partizans, i. 64.
Oratoribus, Dialog. de, quoted, i. 236.
Ostracism of Athens, Petalism of Syracuse, i. 368.
Ovid quoted, i. 4, 126, 141, 417, 478, 480.
ii. 430, 453, 477.

P.

PAINTERS, modern, unhappy in their Subjects, i. 252.
Paper Credit and Banks, whether advantageous, i. 313, 350, 351.
Paris, L'Abbe de, his Miracles, ii. 139, 140.
Parliament, how far it should be independent, i. 38, 39, &c. Parnel, Dr. quoted, i. 215.
Vol. II. K k Parties

Parties in general, i. 51, personal, 53, real, 55, 56. - of Great Britain, i. 61, 62, 63. Pascal, his Character, ii. 412, 413, quoted, 141. Passions, their Kinds, ii. 185, their Objects and Causes, 191, 192. PATERCULUS quoted, i. 356, 456, 484. Pathetic and Sublime, ii. 331. Patru, his Character, i. 117. Pausanias, quoted, i. 465. Pay, Proportion between Officers and Soldiers antiently, i. Pericles, his Eloquence, i. 221. Peripatetics, their Mediums, ii. 303. Persecution, whence derived, i. 57, 58. naturally attends the Principle of Unity of God, ii. 449. Persia, antient, whether possessed of an Aristocracy, i. 22. Personify, to, natural, and the Origin of Polytheism, ii. 425. Petrarch quoted, i. 277. PETRONIUS quoted, i. 422, 478. ii. 406. Phædrus quoted, i. 144. ii. 275. Philip of Macedon, his Character in Demosthenes, ii. 326. his Occupation in the infernal Regions, i. 199. Philip II. of Spain, i. 104. Philosophy the two Kinds of it, the obvious and abstruce, ii. 3. Physical Causes, their small Influence on Populousness, i. 412, Pindar, his Scholiast quoted, i. 462. PLATO quoted, i. 98, 383, 458, 465, 511. ii. 256, 275, 391, 404, 434, 469. Platonist, i. 175. Plautus quoted, ii. 460. PLINY the Elder quoted, i. 58, 148, 249, 325, 356, 427, 4354 469, 472, 473, 475, 485. ii. 421, 423, 450, 465. - A Passage of his examined, i. 469. PLINY the Younger, his House, i. 468, quoted, i. 148, 339. ii. 435. PLUTARCH quoted, i. 142, 145, 197, 198, 202, 230, 240, 315, 341, 383, 403, 404, 417, 424, 428, 430, 431, 435, 438, 441, 447, 456, 457, 458, 462, 465, 484, 488, 489. —ii. 242, 275, 296, 324, 404, 435, 451, 455, 474. - A Passage of his examined, i. 487. Politeness, whence its Merit, ii. 333. Politics, a Science, i. 15, 16, &c.

Political Customs of Antients and Moderns compared, i. 431,

Pollia

Pollia and Papiria, Roman Tribes, their animolity, i. 53. POLYBIUS quoted, i. 21, 41, 142, 287, 324, 355, 369, 371, 433, 438, 455, 462, 464, 465, 475, 479, 480, 488, 498,-ii. 284, 310, 387, 390. Polygamy, its Disadvantages, i. 205. Polytheism, the primitive Religion, ii. 416. Its Origin, 421. Pompey, his Superstition, ii. 464. Pope, Mr. his Character, i. 219, quoted, 15, 86, 197, 214, Power, what its idea, ii. 73, 89. Practice, how useful to Taste, i. 264. Prejudice, how hurtful to Taste, i. 266. Presbyterians, their Character, i. 64, 78. Presence, real, ii. 459. Pressing Seamen, i. 408. Priest, his Character, i. 225. Priests, their Origin, i. 77. Prior, Mr. quoted, i. 157. Pride, whence it arises, ii. 194. Probability, what, ii. 67, 125. Promife, what and whence its Obligation, i. 494. -- not the Origin of Government, ibid. Proof, what, ii. 67, 125. Property, its Equality impracticable, 260, defined, 264. - why the Source of Pride, ii. 201. Protestant Succession its Advantages and Disadvantages, i. 527. Providence, particular, on what founded, ii. 158. Provinces, under what Government most oppressed, i. 19. Pyrrhus, his Saying of the Romans, i. 303.

Q.

QUAKERS, their Character, i. 78, 79.
Quinctilian quoted, i. 110, 113, 221. ii. 294, 337, 468.

R.

Raleigh, Sir Walter, quoted, i. 530.

Ramfay, Chevalier, quoted, ii. 475.

Reason, when it influences Action, only a cooler Passion, ii.

214.

how far the Source of Morals, ii. 226.

Reason and Taste, their Boundaries, ii. 268.

Reason more precarious than Taste, i. 270.

Reasons of State, ii. 274.

K k z Ressented.

Refinement in what Respect useful, i. 323. Regnard, his Voyage to Lapland, quoted, ii. 430. Relations of Ideas, one Object of Reason, ii. 33. Religion, two principal Questions with Regard to it, ii. 4.15. - its first Principles, not primary but secondary, ii. 417. Resemblance, a Source of Association, ii. 24, 60. Retz, Cardinal de, quoted, i. 46, 117, 549. ii. 137. Revolution in 1688, no Contract or Promife, i. 497. Rhamadan of the Turks, ii. 479. Rhodes, Number of its Inhabitants, i. 463. Riches, why the Object of Pride or Esteem, ii. 199, 316. Rochefoucault quoted, ii. 219, 383. Rome, i. 53, 100, 103, 472. Rome, antient, its Size and Number of Inhabitants, i. 467. 468. - Name of its tutelar Deity concealed, ii. 450. Romans, when most corrupt, i. 25, antiently Pyrates, 287, their Government under the Empire not buithensome, 312. Roman Empire, whether advantageous, i. 486. Roundhead Party, i. 65. Rousseau, quoted, i. 140. Rowe, Mr. his Tragedy censured, i. 251.

S.

C ADDER contains little Morality, ii. 477. Salle, Prince of, his Saying of De Ruyter, ii. 460. SALLUST quoted, i. 103, 141, 304, 440, 470. ii. 315, 386, 470, 481. Saint Evremond's Character of Turenne, ii. 307. — quoted, ii. 323. Sannazarius, Censure of his Pastorals, ii. 291. Scapulaire, what, ii. 446. SCEPTICISM, ii. 33, 50, excessive, 167, &c. moderate, 168. with regard to the Senses, 169, with Regard to Reason, 174, Religious, 486. Sceptic, the, i. 181. Sciences, their Division, ii. 182. Scholastic Religion, its usual Absurdity, ii. 455. Scriptures, holy, quoted, ii. 250, 389. Scriptural and traditional Religions compared, ii. 466. Selfish and social not opposite, ii. 355. Self Love not the Foundation of moral Sentiment, ii. 345. Seneca quoted, i. 418, 422, 426, 430. ii. 240, 391, 410, 431, 470. Seneca the Elder quoted, i. 428. Sermons, English and French, their Character, i. 116. Sentiment,

Sentiment, how far the Source of Morals, ii. 226, 360. SEXTUS EMPIRICUS quoted, i. 430. ii. 245, 275, 434, 471. Shaftesbury, Lord, quoted, i. 99, 146, 202, 405. Shakespeare, his Artifice in Othello, i. 248. quoted, ii. 322. Simplicity in Writing, i. 217. Slavery prejudicial to Populousness, i. 419. - to Humanity, i. 417. Sneezing, God of, ii. 423. Socrates, his Character, ii. 328. Soil, very fertile, no Advantage, i. 295. Soldier, his Character, i. 224. Soldiers, what Proportion they commonly bear to the People, i. 285... Sophocles, his Character, i. 210. Spain, antient and modern, its Inhabitants, i. 484. Spanjard, his Politeness, ii. 334. Sparta, its Policy, i. 285. Number of its Inhabitants, 465. Spartian quoted, i. 470. ii. 464. Spencer quoted, i. 500. ii. 327. Sportula, their bad tendency, i. 485. Stanyan quoted, i. 356. States, small, their Advantage, i. 432. Stoic, the, i. 165. Stoics, their Idea of Providence, ii. 112. —— their Superstition, ii. 468. STRABO quoted, i. 228, 383, 421, 423, 426, 454, 457, 458, 467, 472, 476, 479, 480, 482, 484, 486, 488. ii. 428, Stuart Family, whether their Succession ought to have been retained, i. 527, whether restored, 538. Subjects, particular, suit not with Refinement, i. 282. Sueronius quoted, i. 21, 58, 417, 421, 468, 471, 473, 485. ii. 137, 398, 432, 453, 461, 465. Suidas quoted, i. 221, 487. Superstition defined, i. 76, 77, &c. Swift, Dr. quoted, i. 343, 358, 446. ii. 306.

T.

SYMPATHY, the great Source of moral Sentiment, ii. 200,

Syracuse, its Extent and Number of Inhabitants, i. 464.

Sycophant, its original Sense, i. 341.

ACITUS, fomewhat superstitious, though profane, ii. 467. quoted, i. 10, 21, 64, 128, 145, 312, 398, 421, 425, 430, 435, 437, 468, 481, 488, 501. ii. 137, 324, 326, 440, 461, 467.

Tasso quoted, i. 100, 160. Taste, its Standard, i. 253. Taxes, when hurtful, i. 377, 378. Temple, Sir Will. i. 101, 238, 378. Tendency of Actions, not their accidental Consequences, regarded in Morals, ii. 297. TERENCE, his Character, i. 221, quoted, 145, 273. Tertullian quoted, i. 486. Thebes, Number of its Inhabitants, i. 463. Theism, its Origin from Polytheism, ii. 440. Theifm and Polytheifm compared, ii. 449. Theocritus, i. 455. Thinkers, abstruse, how useful, i. 281, shallow, ibid. THUCYDIDES, the first Historian, i. 454. quoted, i. 23, 200, 285, 355, 368, 433, 434, 440, 449, 454, 459, 460, 463, 466. ii. 327, 454. Timon of Athens, his Affection to Alcibiades, ii. 296. Timotheus the Poet, his Hymn to Diana, ii. 473. Tillotson, his Argument against the real Presence, ii. 123. Toleration naturally attends Polytheism, ii. 449. Tory Party, i. 66. their speculative System, 491. Tot, Monf. du, quoted, i. 317. Tournefort, Mons. quoted, i. 210, 478. Tragedy, why it pleases, i. 243. Tranquillity of Mind, whence its Merit, ii. 327. Treasures, their Effects, i. 350. Turkish Government, i. 382. Tyrannicide, why blameable, ii. 246. Tyrants, antient, their Cruelty, i. 441.

Ù.

UNITY of action, what, ii. 26.
Ustariz, Geronimo de, quoted, i. 415.
Usurpation, what, i. 498.
UTILITY, a Source of Approbation, 244, why, 281.
Utility to Others, ii. 233, to Ourselves, 381.

V.

VALERIUS Maximus quoted, i. 468.
Vanity, allies easily to Virtue, i. 96, why blamed, ii. 338.
VARRO quoted, i. 421, 427, 428, 479, 485, 463.
Vauban quoted, i. 348.
Vega, Garcillasso de la, quoted, i. 337.
Verna, its Sense and Inferences from it, i. 421.

Verney,

Verney, Paris de, quoted, i. 317.

Vespassan, his Miracle, ii. 137.

Victor, Aurelius, quoted, i. 470.

Victor, Publius, quoted, i. 46 470.

Virgil, his Character, i. 21 quoted, 144, 484, ii. 240, 315.

Virtue and Vice defined, i. 229.

Vis inertiæ, ii. 84.

Vitellius, his Meannes ii. 324.

Vitruvius quoted, i. 467.

Voluntary and j. oluntary, why made by the Moderns so essential to Nurals, ii. 391.

Voltaire quoted, i. 11. ii. 28.

Vopiscus quoted, i. 465, 473, 474.

Vossius quoted, i. 413, 469.

W.

Waller, his Character, i. 153, his story of James I. 530, Walpole, Sir Robert, his Character, i. 30.
Wisdom, its Merit, whence, ii. 310.
Wit or Ingenuity, its Merit, whence, ii. 334.
Whig Party, i. 66. their speculative System, 491.
Wolsey, Cardinal, i. 145.
Women, timorous and superstitious, ii. 428.
Wonder, the Passion of, inclines us to believe Miracles, ii. 131.

х.

FINIS.

